INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION



RVSM/RNAV/RNP TF/10 MEETING REPORT

(DAKAR, 27 – 28 June 2006)

The RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force is a Task Force of the AFI Planning and Implementation Regional Group (APIRG).

Its Reports are therefore submitted to APIRG through the ATS/AIS/SAR Sub-Group for review and action.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of ICAO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Table of Contents

		Page
PART I -	HISTORY OF THE MEETING	1
Introduction		1
Officers and S	ecretariat	1
Attendance		1
Working Lang	guage	1
Agenda		2
Conclusions	······································	3
PART II -	REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS (1-5)	10
	Report on Agenda Item 1	10
	Report on Agenda Item 2	10
	Report on Agenda Item 3	19
	Report on Agenda Item 4	20
Appendices :		
Appendix A:	List of Participants	
Appendix B:	AFI RVSM Safety Policy	
Appendix C:	Questionnaire on RVSM State Readiness Status	
Appendix D:	Data on RVSM State Readiness Status	
Appendix E:	Pre-Implementation Safety Case (PISC)	
Appendix F:	Specimen on RVSM National switch-over plan	
Appendix G:	Comments of the National Safety Plan Validation Panel 2 (N	SPVP/2)
	and Guidance material.	
Appendix H:	Template ATS Letter of Procedure/Agreement	•
Appendix I:	Proposed amendments to the Regional Supplementary Proced Doc.7030/4	iures
Appendix J:	Updated AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan	
Appendix K:	ARMA Form 2	
Appendix L:	Sample AFI RVSM ATC OPS Manual	
A A	.	

PART I - HISTORY OF THE MEETING

1. Introduction

- 1.1 of the RVSM/RNAV/RNP The Tenth meeting Task Force (RVSM/RNAV/RNP/TF/10) convened pursuant to AFI/7 Meeting was Recommendations 5/7, 5/17 and APIRG/13 Decision 13/58 by the International Civil Aviation Organization in Dakar from 27 to 28 June 2006.
- Mr. A. K. MENSAH, Acting Regional Director, ICAO WACAF Office, Dakar. The acting Regional Director appreciated the Task Force's consistency in carrying out the tasks assigned to it by APIRG in taking crucial decisions in pursuance to the tasks in the Strategy/Action Plan. He highlighted some important elements of the Task Force's activities and urged the States to continue the appreciable commitment in the process of RVSM implementation in AFI airspace. He thanked the RVSM Task Force Core Team, for the guidance to the Task Force in order to promote the AFI RVSM implementation programme. He urged the Meeting to continue unabatedly in pursuing the AFI RVSM programme to meet the Target Level of Safety required for the implementation of RVSM in our Region. Furthermore he urged the participants to be frank in their discussions so that realistic conclusions can be recommended for the Stakeholders/Go/Delay meeting on 29 to 30 June 2006.
- 1.3 Mr. MENSAH's opening remarks were preceded by a welcome address from the Director General of ASECNA Mr. YOUSSOUF MAHAMAT who was ably represented by the Director of Operations Mr. Sadou MARAFA. He welcomed the participants to ASECNA and promised to continue assistance and cooperation with ICAO on all matters of air navigation safety in Africa in particular and globally in general.

2. Officers and Secretariat

- 2.1 The meeting nominated Mr. MANGA FOUDA Fidèle from Cameroon Civil Aviation Authority as its moderator.
- Mr. Apolo KHARUGA, Regional Officer, Air Traffic Management from the ICAO ESAF Office, Nairobi, was the Secretary of the meeting. He was assisted by Mr. Ibrahim Usman AUYO, Regional Officer ATM, WACAF Office, Dakar and Mr. Kevin EWELS, Manager of the AFI RVSM Monitoring Agency (ARMA).

3. Attendance

3.1 The meeting was attended by 71 participants from 25 States and 3 International Organizations namely ARMA, ASECNA and IFALPA and 2 Airline Operators (Air Senegal International and Air Mauritania). The list of participants is given at **Appendix A** to this report.

4. Working Language

4.1 The meeting was conducted in the English language.

5. AGENDA

5.1 The following Agenda was adopted:

Agenda Item 1

Review and follow-up action of conclusions of Ninth meeting of RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force.

Agenda Item 2

Review of major activities of the RVSM Task Force.

- 2.1 AFI RVSM State Readiness Survey.
- 2.2 AFI RVSM safety and consolidated readiness.
- 2.3 Status of PISC
- 2.4 Status of ATS Letters of Agreement/Procedure (LOA/LOP).
- 2.5 Status of amendment proposal to Doc.7030.

Agenda Item 3

RVSM safety assessment- way forward.

Agenda Item 4

- 4.1 Review and update the RVSM Strategy/Action Plan.
- 4.2 Funding of ARMA.
- 4.3 New receipt of flight plans.

Agenda Item 5

Any Other Business

List of Conclusions and Decisions:

Number	Title
Conclusion 10/1:	Safety assessment data, remedial actions
	That:
	a) States pursue stringent incident reporting measures and take appropriate remedial actions as required by the CRA report in order to contribute to a positive total TLS;
	b) States intensify their efforts in reducing the incident rates to support a positive CRA results.
	c) States provide refresher training to Controllers and ensure that proficiency checks for ATCOs are done;
	d) States continue to provide the required safety assessment data to ARMA on monthly basis using Forms 1, 2, 3 and the revised Form 4.
Conclusion 10/2:	Target date for AFI RVSM Implementation
	That:
	a) The actual date/time of implementation of RVSM will be determined taking into account:
	 i) The completion of the activities in the AFI Strategy/Action Plan; ii) The development of an acceptable PISC which includes an acceptable CRA and its subsequent approval by the ANC; iii) The approval by ICAO ANC of AFI RVSM Regional SUPP's (Doc.7030/4) relating to RVSM; and b) The target date for implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region will be determined by the Task Force, after the second CRA, which is to be undertaken, and the completion of the other outstanding elements of the
Conclusion 10/3:	PISC. Civil/Military Coordination/Seminars
Conclusion 10/3:	Civil/Manage Cool annuclon/Seminaro
	That:
	 a) in order to ensure the safe and coordinated implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region, States ensure that the military aviation authorities are fully involved in the planning and the implementation process. b) seminars and workshops be conducted on civil military coordination.
Conclusion 10/4:	Nomination of a National RVSM programme manager
	That States which have not done so, as a matter of urgency, nominate, a National RVSM Programme Manager who will be responsible for ensuring that the proper mechanisms are put in place for the safe implementation of the RVSM Programme and will also act as the State's focal point or contact person. Additionally NPMs keep this information upto date.

Number	Title		
Conclusion 10/5:	Reporting of data for monitoring and/or carrying out safety assessment		
	That:		
	a) All States institute the procedures for reporting of data, incidents and conditions necessary for performing the collision risk calculations prerequisite for RVSM implementation to the AFI Regional monitoring agency (ARMA). The data will include, but not necessarily be limited to:		
	 (i) Height deviations of 300 ft or more; (ii) Total number of IFR movements for each month; (iii) The average time per movement spent in the level band FL 290 to FL 410; (iv) ATC coordination failures; 		
	(v) Turbulence;		
	(vi) Traffic data; and		
	b) GPS Monitoring Unit (GMU) will be used for height monitoring where appropriate in AFI Region, which will be coordinated by the ARMA.c) ARMA compile a list of non contributing States, regarding traffic flow data, and submit to Task Force meetings as appropriate for consideration and remedial action.		
Conclusion 10/6:	Implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region		
	That:		
	 a) All RVSM implementation preparation works (safety, assessment, training) be done taking into consideration the FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive, being the AFI RVSM airspace; and b) Implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region be harmonized and coordinated within the AFI Region as well as with the adjacent Regions. 		
Conclusion 10/7:	Training of all personnel involved with the implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region		
	That:		
	 a) Seminars continue to be organized in the Region for training all personnel involved in the implementation of RVSM; b) States having difficulties in implementing RVSM implementation programme, may either individually or in group explore the possibility of seeking outside expertise; c) On site training courses be conducted to expedite the training process; and d) In order to ensure uniformity in the training, States shall use the AFI RVSM training material. e) IFALPA provide draft Pilot Training RVSM Guidance material for provision to specific States. 		

Number	Title			
Conclusion 10/8:	Guidance material for Airworthiness and Operational Approval			
	That, States in the AFI Region be urged to include in their national legislation and regulations the Airworthiness and Operational Approval process for aircraft and operators intending to operate within the RVSM airspace based on provisions of ICAO Annex 6 Part 1 Chapter 15 paragraph 15.2.3 and the guidance material contained in JAA Temporary Guidance Leaflet (TGL) N°6.			
Conclusion 10/9:	RVSM enforcement in national legislation			
	That States which have not done so, take the appropriate measures in order:			
	a) to publish as a matter of urgency, an AIC informing the users of their intention to implement RVSM; andb) to include the necessary provisions in their national legislation.			
Conclusion 10/10:	Funding of the RVSM implementation programme			
	That, National Governments, Regulatory bodies, operators, service providers and other stakeholders provide budgetary allocations for acquisitions and other activities necessary for ensuring that all the requirements are met in a timely manner in order to safely implement RVSM in the AFI Region.			
Conclusion 10/11:	Monitoring of Height Deviations			
	That:			
	 a) States which have radar at the ACC to conduct monitoring of aircraft height deviations, Assigned Altitude Deviations (AAD) in the AFI RVSM airspace; and b) The data collected in a) above be forwarded to ARMA for action. 			
Conclusion 10/12:	Revised AFI RVSM Safety Policy			
Conclusion 10/12.	That States expedite the publication of the revised version of the AFI RVSM Safety Policy in AIC at Appendix B.			
Conclusion 10/13:	National Safety Plan (NSP)			
	That States taking into consideration comments from NSPVP 2, shall submit their NSPs to the ARPO/ARMA, as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006.			

Number	Title			
Conclusion 10/14:	State RVSM Readiness Assessment			
	That:			
	 a) ICAO urge the States which have not completed their State RVSM readiness assessment to do so and forward to ARPO, as soon as possible however not later than 15 August 2006, using the questionnaire at Appendix C. b) States review the readiness survey data and provide factual updated information as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006 as at Appendix D. c) ICAO to quality assure data supplied by States for accuracy. 			
Conclusion 10/15:	Pre-Implementation Safety Case (PISC)			
	That:			
	 a) Core Team consider, the open issues as reflected in Appendix E (in cooperation with the consultants) and allocate priorities to the issues identified with target dates set as per agreement and; b) The AFI RVSM Task Force will determine the date of submission of PISC to the ANC. 			
Conclusion 10/16:	AFI RVSM Core Airspace			
	 a) That for Req_{core}_12 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Air/Ground Communication system shall be designed to ensure a total coverage of the RVSM Airspace with a minimum MTBF (Mean Time Between Failure) of two months for a given FIR"; and b) That for Req_{core}_88 (refer to FHA report at theICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Aircraft shall be equipped with ACAS II version 7". 			
Conclusion 10/17:	AFI RVSM Switch-Over Period			
	a) That for swit_24 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Use of Eastbound RVSM FL (FL310, FL350 and FL390) shall be suspended for a period of Two (2) hours after the Time Zero (To)";			
	b) That for swit_40 (refer AFI FHA report at theICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM). "Traffic density shall be limited during switch-over period as appropriate";			
	c) A Trigger NOTAM shall be published Two (2) weeks before Time Zero (To) notifying the implementation of RVSM and relevant procedures to be applied;			
	d) That for swit_25 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "A NOTAM shall be published to suspend FL310, FL350 and FL390 for RVSM operations after ToS during a period			

of Two hours";

Number	Title
	e) That for swit_35 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Transit of non-RVSM civil aircraft shall be suspended for a period of Two hours after Time Zero (To)"; and f) That for swit_36 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Operation above FL410 shall be suspended for non-RVSM aircraft for a period of Two (2) hours after Time Zero (To)".
Conclusion 10/18:	Regional Airworthiness Certification and Certification Agency for RVSM Operation.
	That:
	 a) States having difficulties with the implementation of operational airworthiness certification on the RVSM implementation should seek assistance from other States having this expertise; b) Seminars/Workshops be conducted for airworthiness/operations personnel on issues relating to RVSM certification; and
	c) Studies be conducted by IATA in cooperation with ICAO relating to the establishment of RVSM Certification Agencies for the AFI Region and results forwarded to the Task Force for their consideration.
Conclusion 10/19:	AFI RVSM Implementation – Cost recovery
	That:
	 a) IATA airlines continue to financially support the RVSM implementation effort in order to improve safety and economy of Air Traffic across Africa; b) IATA has put in place an RVSM cost recovery scheme based on a charge imposed on all international jet flights in Africa operated by its member airlines, which will end in June 2006; and c) Sufficient funds should be available to complete the project d) IATA to report to RVSM Task Force with the results of the study.
Conclusion 10/20:	RVSM Optimal Switch Over Time
	That:
	 a) The Task Force Secretariat Support Team composed of Nigeria, South Africa, Tanzania, ASECNA and IATA coordinate and research all the associated elements, including weather and human factors, that will have an effect on the switch over; and b) States complete their national switch-over plans utilizing the specimen at Appendix F as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006.

Number	Title	
Conclusion 10/21:	The National Safety Plan Validation Panel (NSPVP2) comments and Guidance material	
	That, the comments of the National Safety Plan Validation Panel 2 (NSPVP/2) and Guidance material at Appendix G be used by States to update their National Safety Plans also taking into account the FHA report.	
Conclusion 10/22:	ATS Letters of Procedure/Agreement	
	That the template ATS Letter of Procedure/Agreement at Appendix H be used by States to update their current letter of Procedure/Agreement to incorporate RVSM procedures as soon as possible but not later than 3 months before the RVSM implementation date.	
Conclusion10/23:	Amendment to the Regional Supplementary Procedures – Doc 7030/4	
	That the proposed amendments to the Regional Supplementary Procedures-Doc 7030/4 at Appendix I be processed by the secretariat in accordance with the established practice.	
Conclusion10/24:	Uncoordinated Military Operations in the Indian Ocean Region	
	That ICAO liaise with FAA and other concerned CAAs concerning the extensive military activities in the Indian Ocean in view of RVSM implementation in AFI Region on a continuous basis.	
Conclusion 10/25:	AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan	
	That the updated AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan at Appendix J be circulated to States for action.	
Conclusion 10/26:	Annex 10 - State Aircraft Addresses	
	That States establish and maintain their Mode S registers for inclusion in the ARMA F2 form at Appendix K relevant to RVSM operations.	
Conclusion 10/27:	AFI RVSM ATC OPS Manual	
	That the sample AFI RVSM ATC OPS Manual at Appendix L be circulated to States to assist them to update their procedures where appropriate.	
Conclusion 10/28:	AFI RVSM Safety Assessment	
	That the AFI RVSM safety assessment process remains as documented in the AFI RVSM Safety policy.	

Number	Title
Conclusion 10/29:	AFI GMU Height Monitoring
	That ARMA compile a sample AIC for distribution to States when the date for the availability of the service becomes available.
Conclusion 10/30:	Funding of ARMA's
	That the requirement to share the cost of maintaining the ARMA, for the benefit of the region, will need to be addressed in the foreseeable future in line with the global approach.
Conclusion 10/31:	Non Receipt of Flight Plans
	That:
	 a) AFI region undertake a survey relating to missing flight plans to understand the extent of the problem and identify the causes and propose remedial action and; b) the Project Management Team decide on the methodology to conduct such
	a survey.
Decision 10/1:	Aircraft/Operators readiness survey
	That, the results of ICAO/ARMA surveys be updated and presented at the RVSM TF meetings for consideration.
Decision 10/2:	Operations in RVSM Airspace (Oceanic)
	That MASPS failure during flight and the requirement to exit RVSM airspace taking fuel management into consideration needs to be taken up in relevant documentation.

PART II: REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS

Report on Agenda Item 1

1. Review and follow-up of action of conclusions of Ninth meeting of RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force (RVSM TF/9)

1.1 Under this Agenda Item the meeting reviewed and noted the action taken on the conclusions of the ninth meeting of the RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force. It reinstated conclusions which were still in force and proposed the action to be taken before the next Task Force meeting planned for the fourth quarter of 2006. The revised conclusions appear in Part 1 of this report.

Report on Agenda Item 2

Review of the major activities of the RVSM Task Force

- 2.1 The meeting was presented with several working papers relating to AFI RVSM covering the following:
 - a) AFI RVSM State Readiness Survey;
 - b) AFI RVSM Safety and Consolidated Readiness;
 - c) Status of PISC;
 - d) Status of ATS Letters of Agreement/Procedures;
 - e) Status of amendment proposal to Doc.7030;
 - f) RVSM Safety Assessment way forward;
 - g) Review and update of the RVSM Strategy/Action Plan;
 - h) Funding of ARMA;
 - i) Non-receipt of Flight Plans.

2.2 AFI RVSM State Readiness Survey

- 2.2.1 The meeting recalled that in order for the consultants for RVSM to complete the pre-implementation safety case (PISC) in a timely manner there were certain elements which needed to be in place namely, the ALTRAN Consultant-Functional Hazard Analysis, the Collision Risk Assessment and the National Safety Plans, in order to prepare the PISC. Other elements of concern was the publication of AIC, the revised Letters of Procedure/Agreement (LOPs/LOAs), the publication of AFI Safety Policy on RVSM the development and approval of the National Safety Plans.
- 2.2.2 The meeting noted in particular the previous State readiness survey and decided there was need to conduct another survey before the implementation of RVSM.

ARMA Safety and Consolidated Readiness Assessment Report

- 2.2.3 The meeting was apprised on the current status of various elements relating to safety assessment and readiness survey namely; Functional Hazard Assessment, Collision Risk Assessment, National Safety Plans, the Pre-Implementation Safety Case, consolidated readiness assessment, large height deviations, GMU Height monitoring program and monthly FIR Traffic and associated returns to ARMA. The meeting endorsed the ARMA assessment that:
 - a) a sufficiently high proportion of operations, within the proposed RVSM band, will be conducted by approved operators and aircraft when RVSM is introduced.
 - b) the continued timely and accurate submission of all ARMA data forms by all FIRs remains essential to the success of RVSM implementation in AFL.
 - c) The PISC process and contributory documentation are at an advanced stage of development and the second CRA is receiving the appropriate priority.
- 2.2.4 The meeting called for States to:
 - a) continue collection of ARMA traffic data by all FIR's with the timely and accurate submission thereof to the ARMA in view of the second CRA and;
 - b) finalize the NPS's and obtain the required signatures.

Amendment to Doc.7030

2.2.5 The meeting noted that the PISC called for the amendment to Doc.7030 to include material on RVSM. In this regard, the Secretariat was requested to finalize the amendment proposal in accordance with the established practice. It noted the date of RVSM implementation will be published on AIRAC NOTAM but the amendment of Doc.7030 shall be done before then.

Operation in RVSM Oceanic Airspaces

- 2.2.6 The meeting noted procedures should be put in place even of MASPS failure.
- 2.2.7 In view of the discussions on Agenda Item 2 the following conclusions and decisions were formulated:

Conclusion 10/1 - Safety assessment data, remedial actions

That:

- a) States pursue stringent incident reporting measures and take appropriate remedial actions as required by the CRA report in order to contribute to a positive total TLS;
- b) States intensify their efforts in reducing the incident rates to support a positive CRA results.

- c) States provide refresher training to Controllers and ensure that proficiency checks for ATCOs are done;
- d) States continue to provide the required safety assessment data to ARMA on monthly basis using Forms 1, 2, 3 and the revised Form 4.

Conclusion 10/2 - Target date for AFI RVSM Implementation

That:

- a) The actual date/time of implementation of RVSM will be determined taking into account:
 - i. The completion of the activities in the AFI Strategy/Action Plan;
 - ii. The development of an acceptable PISC which includes an acceptable CRA and its subsequent approval by the ANC;
 - iii. The approval by ICAO ANC of AFI RVSM Regional SUPP's (Doc.7030/4) relating to RVSM; and
- b) The target date for implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region will be determined by the Task Force, after the second CRA, which is to be undertaken, and the completion of the other outstanding elements of the PISC.

Conclusion 10/3 - Civil/Military Coordination/Seminars

That:

- a) in order to ensure the safe and coordinated implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region, States ensure that the military aviation authorities are fully involved in the planning and the implementation process.
- b) seminars and workshops be conducted on civil military coordination.

Conclusion 10/4 - Nomination of a National RVSM programme manager

That States which have not done so, as a matter of urgency, nominate, a National RVSM Programme Manager who will be responsible for ensuring that the proper mechanisms are put in place for the safe implementation of the RVSM Programme and will also act as the State's focal point or contact person. Additionally NPMs keep this information upto date.

Conclusion 10/5 - Reporting of data for monitoring and/or carrying out safety assessment

That:

- a) All States institute the procedures for reporting of data, incidents and conditions necessary for performing the collision risk calculations prerequisite for RVSM implementation to the AFI Regional monitoring agency (ARMA). The data will include, but not necessarily be limited to:
 - (i) Height deviations of 300 ft or more;
 - (ii) Total number of IFR movements for each month;
 - (iii) The average time per movement spent in the level band FL 290 to FL 410;
 - (iv) ATC coordination failures;
 - (v) Turbulence;
 - (vi) Traffic data; and
- b) GPS Monitoring Unit (GMU) will be used for height monitoring where appropriate in AFI Region, which will be coordinated by the ARMA.
- c) ARMA compile a list of non contributing States, regarding traffic flow data, and submit to Task Force meetings as appropriate for consideration and remedial action.

Conclusion 10/6 - Implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region

That:

- a) All RVSM implementation preparation works (safety, assessment, training) be done taking into consideration the FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive, being the AFI RVSM airspace; and
- b) Implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region be harmonized and coordinated within the AFI Region as well as with the adjacent Regions.

Conclusion 10/7 - Training of all personnel involved with the implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region

That:

- a) Seminars continue to be organized in the Region for training all personnel involved in the implementation of RVSM;
- b) States having difficulties in implementing RVSM implementation programme, may either individually or in group explore the possibility of seeking outside expertise;
- c) On site training courses be conducted to expedite the training process;

- d) In order to ensure uniformity in the training, States shall use the AFI RVSM training material.
- e) IFALPA provide draft Pilot Training RVSM Guidance material for provision to specific States.

Conclusion 10/8 - Guidance material for Airworthiness and Operational Approval

That, States in the AFI Region be urged to include in their national legislation and regulations the Airworthiness and Operational Approval process for aircraft and operators intending to operate within the RVSM airspace based on provisions of ICAO Annex 6 Part 1 Chapter 15 paragraph 15.2.3 and the guidance material contained in JAA Temporary Guidance Leaflet (TGL) N°6.

Conclusion 10/9 - RVSM enforcement in national legislation

That States which have not done so, take the appropriate measures in order:

- a) to publish as a matter of urgency, an AIC informing the users of their intention to implement RVSM; and
- b) to include the necessary provisions in their national legislation.

Conclusion 10/10 - Funding of the RVSM implementation programme

That, National Governments, Regulatory bodies, operators, service providers and other stakeholders provide budgetary allocations for acquisitions and other activities necessary for ensuring that all the requirements are met in a timely manner in order to safely implement RVSM in the AFI Region.

Conclusion 10/11 - Monitoring of Height Deviations

That:

- a) States which have radar at the ACC to conduct monitoring of aircraft height deviations, Assigned Altitude Deviations (AAD) in the AFI RVSM airspace; and
- b) The data collected in a) above be forwarded to ARMA for action.

Conclusion 10/12 - Revised AFI RVSM Safety Policy

That States expedite the publication of the revised version of the AFI RVSM Safety Policy in AIC at Appendix B.

Conclusion 10/13 - National Safety Plan (NSP)

That States taking into consideration comments from NSPVP 2, shall submit their NSPs to the ARPO/ARMA, as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006

Conclusion 10/14 - State RVSM Readiness Assessment

That:

- a) ICAO urge the States which have not completed their State RVSM readiness assessment to do so and forward to ARPO, as soon as possible however not later than 15 August 2006, using the questionnaire at Appendix C.
- b) States review the readiness survey and provide factual updated information as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006 as at Appendix D.
- c) ICAO to quality assure data supplied by States for accuracy.

Conclusion 10/15 - Pre-Implementation Safety Case (PISC)

That:

- a) Core Team consider, the open issues as reflected in Appendix E (in cooperation with the consultants) and allocate priorities to the issues identified with target dates set as per agreement and;
- b) The AFI RVSM Task Force will determine the date of submission of PISC to the ANC.

Conclusion 10/16 - AFI RVSM Core Airspace

- a) That for Req_{core}_12 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Air/Ground Communication system shall be designed to ensure a total coverage of the RVSM Airspace with a minimum MTBF (Mean Time Between Failure) of two months for a given FIR"; and
- b) That for Req_{core}_88 (refer to FHA report at theICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Aircraft shall be equipped with ACAS II version 7".

Conclusion 10/17 - AFI RVSM Switch-Over Period

- a) That for swit_24 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Use of Eastbound RVSM FL (FL310, FL350 and FL390) shall be suspended for a period of Two (2) hours after the Time Zero (To)";
- b) That for swit_40 (refer AFI FHA report at theICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM). "Traffic density shall be limited during switch-over period as appropriate";
- c) A Trigger NOTAM shall be published Two (2) weeks before Time Zero (To) notifying the implementation of RVSM and relevant procedures to be applied;

- d) That for swit_25 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "A NOTAM shall be published to suspend FL310, FL350 and FL390 for RVSM operations after ToS during a period of Two hours";
- e) That for swit_35 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Transit of non-RVSM civil aircraft shall be suspended for a period of Two hours after Time Zero (To)"; and
- f) That for swit_36 (refer AFI FHA report at the ICAO website: www.icao.int/ESAF/RVSM) "Operation above FL410 shall be suspended for non-RVSM aircraft for a period of Two (2) hours after Time Zero (To)".

Conclusion 10/18 - Regional Airworthiness Certification and Certification Agency for RVSM Operation.

That:

- a) States having difficulties with the implementation of operational airworthiness certification on the RVSM implementation should seek assistance from other States having this expertise;
- b) Seminars/Workshops be conducted for airworthiness/operations personnel on issues relating to RVSM certification; and
- c) Studies be conducted by IATA in cooperation with ICAO relating to the establishment of RVSM Certification Agencies for the AFI Region and results forwarded to the Task Force for their consideration.

Conclusion 10/19 - AFI RVSM Implementation – Cost recovery

That:

- a) IATA airlines continue to financially support the RVSM implementation effort in order to improve safety and economy of Air Traffic across Africa;
- b) IATA has put in place an RVSM cost recovery scheme based on a charge imposed on all international jet flights in Africa operated by its member airlines, which will end in June 2006; and
 - c) Sufficient funds should be available to complete the project
 - d) IATA to report to RVSM Task Force with the results of the study.

Conclusion 10/20 - RVSM Optimal Switch Over Time

That:

- a) The Task Force Secretariat Support Team composed of Nigeria, South Africa, Tanzania, ASECNA and IATA coordinate and research all the associated elements, including weather and human factors, that will have an effect on the switch over; and
- b) States complete their national switch-over plans utilizing the specimen at Appendix F as soon as possible but not later than 15 October 2006.

Conclusion 10/21 - The National Safety Plan Validation Panel (NSPVP2) comments and Guidance material

That, the comments of the National Safety Plan Validation Panel 2 (NSPVP/2) and Guidance material at Appendix G be used by States to update their National Safety Plans also taking into account the FHA report.

Conclusion 10/22 - ATS Letters of Procedure/Agreement

That the template ATS Letter of Procedure/Agreement at Appendix H be used by States to update their current letter of Procedure/Agreement to incorporate RVSM procedures as soon as possible but not later than 3 months before the RVSM implementation date.

Conclusion 10/23 - Amendment to the Regional Supplementary Procedures - Doc. 7030/4

That the proposed amendments to the Regional Supplementary Procedures-Doc 7030/4 at Appendix I be processed by the secretariat in accordance with the established practice.

Conclusion 10/24 - Uncoordinated Military Operations in the Indian Ocean Region

That ICAO liaise with FAA and other concerned CAAs concerning the extensive military activities in the Indian Ocean in view of RVSM implementation in AFI Region on a continuous basis.

Conclusion 10/26 - Annex 10 State Aircraft Addresses

That States establish and maintain their Mode S registers for inclusion in the ARMA F2 form at Appendix K relevant to RVSM operations.

Conclusion 10/27 - AFI RVSM ATC OPS Manual

That the sample AFI RVSM ATC OPS Manual at Appendix L be circulated to States to assist them to update their procedures where appropriate.

Conclusion 10/29 - AFI GMU Height Monitoring

That ARMA compile a sample AIC for distribution to States when the date for the availability of the service becomes available.

Decision 10/1 - Aircraft/Operators readiness survey

That, the results of ICAO/ARMA surveys be updated and presented at the RVSM TF meetings for consideration.

Decision 10/2 - Operations in RVSM Airspace (Oceanic)

That MASPS failure during flight and the requirement to exit RVSM airspace taking fuel management into consideration needs to be taken up in relevant documentation.

Report on Agenda Item 3

RVSM Safety Assessment - Way Forward

The meeting recalled that RVSM Safety Assessment, the AFI RVSM collision risk assessment (CRA) report as conducted by NLR was presented to the Task Force. The CRA concluded that AFI does not meet the target level of safety as required by ICAO for implementation. The study concluded that AFI exceeded the required TLS of 5x10-9 fatal accidents per flight hour by a factor of 13.

The meeting was apprised on SASP WP/3 deliberations on TLS and endorsed the following proposal to reach the acceptable CRA.

- a) use current TLS of 5×10^{-9} as the goal to achieve.
- b) Use 63 x 10⁻⁹ as the goal to achieve.
- c) Ensure States' compliance with a national safety plan.
- d) Continue to collect data from States.
- e) Carry out a second CRA.
- f) Determine new risk estimates
- g) If new risk estimate shows improvement 80% and a positive trend is estimated, then implement RVSM.
- h) Complete the PISC.
- In view of the discussions the following conclusions was formulated:

Conclusion 10/28 - AFI RVSM Safety Assessment

That the AFI RVSM safety assessment process remains as documented in the AFI RVSM Safety policy.

Funding of the RMA

3.3 The meeting was apprised on the discussions at ALLPIRG/5 relating to the Global approach to funding RMA. The meeting requested the Task Force to put in its programme all issues relating to funding of RMA and provide the update at all meetings of the Task Force.

In view of the discussions the following conclusion was formulated:

Conclusion 10/30 - Funding of ARMA's

That the requirement to share the cost of maintaining the ARMA, for the benefit of the region, will need to be addressed in the foreseeable future in line with the global approach.

Non-receipt of Flight Plans

3.4 The meeting also discussed relating to non-receipt of flight plans at the respective ACCs and reiterated that there was a need to investigate the root cause of the problems. In light of the discussions the meeting formulated the following conclusion:

Conclusion 10/31 - Non Receipt of Flight Plans

That:

- a) AFI region undertake a survey relating to missing flight plans to understand the extent of the problem and identify the causes and propose remedial action and;
- b) the Project Management Team decide on the methodology to conduct such a survey.

Report on Agenda Item 4

Review and update the AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan.

- 4.1 The meeting recalled that in noting the APIRG/14 Conclusion 14/21 (implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region) the ANC had expressed its concern that RVSM required a sophisticated implementation process and requested the States to monitor preparations and assist, to the extent possible, as an acceptable level of safety should be achieved and maintained.
- 4.2 The meeting noted that the ANC emphasized the provision of ATC and the required CNS facilities and services as a pre-requisite to the RVSM implementation. The ANC further requires the Pre-Implementation Safety Case to be presented for approval.
- 4.3 Furthermore, the meeting agreed that the updated AFI RVSM strategy/action plan at Appendix H be circulated to States for action.
- The meeting agreed that the implementation of RVSM in AFI should be pursued in a pragmatic manner and in detail following the steps in the updated strategy/action plan. The meeting agreed that the strategy/action plan will be reviewed at each of the TF meetings before any decision is made to implement the RVSM. In light of the discussion, the following conclusion was formulated:-

Conclusion 10/25 - AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan

That the updated AFI RVSM Strategy/Action Plan at Appendix J be circulated to States for action.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS/LISTE DES PARTICIPANTS

Tenth meeting of RVSM/RNAV/RNP/TF (Dakar, 27 – 28 June 2006)

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
1	ALGERIA	Mr. ZOUAOUI Omar	NPM	1, Avenue de l'Indépendance Alger – Algérie Tel.: 213 2166 96 14 E-mail: NMP_RVSM@Enna.dz
2		Mr. ALILI Smaïl	Head of Algiers ACC	ENNA – Route de Cherarba Oued Surar B.P. 70 D Dar-El-Beida – Alger Tel: 021 67 21 30 Fax 021 67 21 30 E-mail: dena.ccr@enna.dz
3		Mr. REKKAA Mohamed Lamine	Department of C.A	1, Avenue de l'Indépendance Alger – Algérie Tel. : 213 2166 96 14 E-mail : dena.dca@enna.dz
4	ANGOLA	Mr. PINTO da Cruz Abilio	Directeur de la Navigation Aérienne	Caixa Postal 841 Tel.: 244 2 351267 Tel.PBX: 244 2 354864 Ext. 335 E-mail: dnav@snet.co.ao

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
5	BENIN	Mr. LEGBA Karl	Chef Division Télécommunications	Agence Nationale de l'Aviation civile 01 BP 305 Cotonou
				Tel.: 229 95 055387
				Fax: 229 121304571
				E-mail: legba_karl@yahoo.fr
6	BOTSWANA	Mr. OGANNE Maroba	Chief Air Traffic Control Officer	PO Box 250 Gaborone
				Tel.: 267 3655203 / 72154081
				E-mail: omaroba@gov.bw
7	BURUNDI	Mr. NDAYISHIMIYE	Chef Contrôleur	Tel.: 257 223797 / 257 97214
		Jean		Fax: 254 223428
8	CAMEROON	MEROON Mr. TSAMO Christien	Director Air Navigation	Cameroon Civil Aviation Authority
				B.P. 6998 – Yaoundé – Cameroon
				Tel.: 237 2303090 / 237 9685700
				Fax: 237 2303362
				E-mail: ctsamo@yahoo.fr
9		Mr. MANGA FOUNA		Cameroon Civil Aviation Authority
		Fidèle		B.P. 6998 – Yaoundé – Cameroon
				Tel.: 237 7271085 / 237 9525214
				Fax: 237 2303362
				E-mail: mangaff@yahoo.fr
10	CENTRAL ARICAN	Mrs. DOUMTA Isabelle	Directrice de l'Aviation civile,	Tel.: 236 61 53 16 Cel.: 236 06 75 27
	REPUBLIC		Ingénieur des Etudes et de	Fax: 236 61 06 36
			l'Exploitation de l'Aviation civile,	E-mail: doumta@voila.fr

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
11		Mrs. GREBONGO Marie josée	Contrôleur de la Circulation Aérienne Formatrice RVSM	Tel.: 236 613380 Fax: 236 61 06 36 Cel.: 236 50 60 80 E-mail: mjogrebongo@yahoo.com
12	CHAD	Mr. WORIMI Ali M.Z.	Directeur Général Adjoint de l'Autorité de l'Aviation civile	Autorité de l'Aviation civile B.P. 96, N'djaména - Tchad Tel.: 235 52 2564 Fax: 235 52 29 09 E-mail: adac@untnet.td
13		Mr. N'GAKO Beyadi	Directeur de la Navigation aérienne et des Infrastructures	Autorité de l'Aviation civile B.P. 96, N'djaména - Tchad Tel. : 235 52 54 14 / 827836 Fax : 235 52 29 09 E-mail :
14		Mr. TRAOGUINGUE Sarahaoubaye	Inspecteur chargé de la Coordination pour la mise en œuvre du RVSM	Autorité de l'Aviation civile B.P. 96, N'djaména - Tchad Tel.: 235 52 54 14 Fax: 235 52 29 09 E-mail: adac@intnet.td
15	GHANA	Mr. ATOKLO Martey Boye	Manager ATC	Ghana Civil Aviation Authority P.M.B. K.I.A – Accra – Ghana Tel.: 233-27-7780586 Fax: 233-21-773293 E-mail: matoklo@hotmail.com

Nº	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
16		Mr. DUOPAH Thomas Kodjoe	ATC Watch Manager	Ghana Civil Aviation Authority P.M.B. K.I.A – Accra – Ghana Tel.: 233-27-7455790 Fax: 233-21-773293 E-mail: tkduopah@yahoo.com
17	KENYA	Mr. KINUTHIA Patrick	Chief Air Navigation Services (CANS)	Kenya Civil Aviation Authority P.O. Box 30163-00100 Nairobi – Kenya Tel.: 254 020 827470/1-5 Fax: 254 020 822300 E-mail: kcaa@nbnet.co.ke
18	MADAGASCAR	Mr. ROBINSON Eugène	Chef de Service de la Navigation Aérienne, Aviation civile de Madagascar	Tel: 261 20 22 224 38 Fax: 261 20 22 247 26 E-mail: acm@acm.mg
19	MAURITANIA	Mr. M'BODJ Ndoudory Aliou	Chef de Services des Aérodromes	ANAC, Mauritanie – Nouakchott, B.P. 91 Tel.: 222 6422310 / 222 5254005 Fax: 222 5253578 E-mail: ndoudac@yahoo.fr
20		Mr. OULD MOHAMED Lemine A.	Air Mauritanie	P.O. Box 41, Nouakchott – Mauritanie Tel.: 222 5252560 Fax: 222 5256470 E-mail: chefpilote@airmauritanie.mr

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
21		Mr. BAMBA Saloum Fall dit Mohamedou	Air Mauritanie	B.P 41 Nouakchott, Mauritanie Tel.: 222 5252560 Fax: 222 5256470 / 5250319 E-mail: saloumvall@yahoo.fr
22	NIGERIA	Mr. OKORO Hillary Kwanashie	Deputy General Manager/NPM	NAMA HQ Ikeja Lagos Tel.: 234-1-4977577 / 234-8055096135 Fax: 234-1-4977577 E-mail: kwashy2002@yahoo.co.uk
23		Mr. ONWUDINJO Wilfred Jerry	Air Traffic Operations Manager	NAMA Lagos Airport Tel.:234-802-3022032/234-803-6041082 E-mail: jerryonwudinjo@yahoo.co.uk
24		Mr. OLUMOGBA Abimbola	Air Traffic Operations Manager	NAMA Kano Airport Tel.: 234-64-633162 / 234-8033341359 Fax: 234-64-632254 E-mail: billyolu@yahoo.com
25		Mr. NKEMAKOLAM J.D.	Ag. DGM ATS OPS	NCAA, Murtala Muhammed airport P.M.B. 21029 Tel.: 234-1-7601800 Fax: 234-1-4931597 E-mail: jdnkem@yahoo.com

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
26	,	Mr. AJIBOYE Kayode Isiaka	Asst. General manager (Airworthiness)	NCAA, Murtala Muhammed airport P.M.B. 21029 Tel.: 234-1-4963305 Fax: 234-1-4963305 E-mail: ayomeye@yahoo.com
27		Mr. TUNDE Yahaya Saheed	NCAT Zaria	PMB 1031 – Zaria – Nigeria Tel.: 08052137988 Fax: 234 069 334569
28		Mr. DANIEL O.B.	NCAT Zaria	PMB 1031 – Zaria – Nigeria Tel.: 234 – 69 330122 Fax: 234 069 334754 E-mail: hats@nwlkad.com
29	NIGER	Mr. YACOUBA Boubacar	_ _	Direction de l'Aviation civile B.P. 727 – Niamey, Niger Tel.: 227 72 32 67 / 227 88 04 45 Fax: 227 73 80 56 E-mail: boubacaryacouba@hotmail.com
30	SENEGAL	Mr. MBODJ Amadou Bachir	DTNA	ANAC Sénégal Tel.: 221 8695335 Fax: 221 8200403

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
31		Mr. DIOP Papa Gorgui	Inspecteur NA/Aérodromes	ANAC Sénégal Tel.: 221 8695335 E-mail: pdiop5@caramail.com
32		Mr. FALL Papa Atoumane	Directeur Technique et de la Navigation Aérienne	B.P. 8184 Aéroport L. S. Senghor Tel.: 221 8695335 Fax: 221 8200403 E-mail: atoumanef@yahoo.com
33		Mrs. NIANG THIOUNE Ndoumbé	Cadre Navigation Aérienne	ANAC Sénégal Aéroport Léopold Senghor Tel: 221 8695335 Fax: 221 8200403 E-mail: ndoumbe-thioune@yahoo.fr
34		Mr. NDIAYE Issa	Cadre Navigation Aérienne	ANAC Sénégal Aéroport Léopold Senghor Tel: 221 8695335 Fax: 221 8200403 E-mail: daviacivile@sentoo.sn@yahoo.fr
35		Mr. N'DONG Emmanuel Mignane	Senegalese Pilots Association (SPLS)	B.P. 8652 Dakar Yoff Sénégal Tel.: 221 8374690 Mobile 6424572 Fax: 221 8650078 E-mail:emmaus41@hotmail.com
36		Mr. DIOP Lamine	Air Sénégal International	B.P. 29127 Tel.: 221 8650072 / Mobile 5693853 Fax: 221 8650078

Nº	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
37	SUDAN	Mr. TAHA Fathi Ibrahim Mohamed	Airworthiness Directorate	Sudan Civil Aviation Authority P.O. Box 13515 – Khartoum Airport, Sudan Tel.: 249 912307411 Fax: 249 183779620 E-mail: soukrabfti@hotmail.com
38		Mr. ROSTOM Mohamed E.M.A.	Director Air traffic Control Services	P.O. Box 430 – C.A.A Khartoum – Sudan Tel.: 249 912278164 Fax: 249 183784964 E-mail: aminrustom@yahoo.com
39		Mr. EISA Ahmed	Chief Air Traffic Services Section	P.O. Box 430 – C.A.A. Khartoum – Sudan Tel.: 249 773632 Fax: 249 773632 E-mail: eisa556@yahoo.com
40	SOUTH AFRICA	Mr. HARRY Roberts	National Program Manager	Private Bag X15, Kempton Park – RSA 1620 Tel: + 27 11 9610303 Fax: + 27 11 392 – 3946 E-mail: harryr@atns.co.za
41		Mr. MOTHUSI Ronnie	Inspector	P.O. Box 4218, The Recap 0158, South Africa Tel.: 011 5451065 Fax: 011 5451459 E-mail: mothusir@caa.co.za

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
42		Mr. MACHOBANE S.	General Manager Air Safety Infrastructure	P.O. Box 14834 Lyttelton 0140, South Africa Tel.: 27 11 5451405 Fax: 27 11 5451463 E-mail: machobanes@caa.co.za
43	TANZANIA	Mr. MAKOROMA Godwin	Chief of ATM	P.O. Box 2819 – Dar Es Salaam Tel: 255 0744335633 Fax: 255 22 2118905 E-mail: gmakoroma@tcaa.go.tz
44		Mr. MBULUKO Joseph	National RVSM Safety Manager	Box 18001 – Dar-Es-Salaam Tel.: 255 0744314166 Fax: 255 222110260 E-mail: mbuluko@yahoo.com
45	THE GAMBIA	Mr. SULAYMAN Jabang	ATS Manager	The Gambia Civil Aviation Authority P.O Box 285 Banjul – The Gambia Tel: 220 4472730 / 220 4472831 Fax: 220 4472190 E-mail: junkung78@hotmail.com
46		Mr. JAMMEH Kebba Lamin	Flight Safety Manager (OPS)	The Gambia Civil Aviation Authority P.O Box 285 Banjul – The Gambia Tel: 220 4472683 / 220 7759908 / 9902053 Fax: 220 4472190 E-mail: kebbalamin@hotmail.com

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
47	UGANDA	Mr. OCHAN Alex Albinus	ATM Manager / NPM	Box 5536 – Kampala , Uganda Tel.: 256 41 320368 Fax: 256 41 320964 E-mail: aochan@caa.co.ug E-mail: ochanalex@yahoo.co.uk
48		Mr. SEZIBWA Moses	C.A.A	P.O. Box 5536 – Kampala, Uganda Tel.: 256 712 320907 Fax: 256 41 320964 E-mail: msezibwa@caa.co.ug
49	ZAMBIA	Mr. N'GAMBI Davies	Director Air Traffic Services	P.O. Box 31291 – Lusaka – Zambia Tel.: 260 1254349 Fax: 260 1254480 E-mail: dngambi@yahoo.com
50		Mr. SIMWANDA Samson	Air Traffic Coordinator	P.O. Box 31291 – Lusaka – Zambia Tel.: 260 97789298 (m) 268 271181 Fax: 260 271169 E-mail: SamSimwanda@yahoo.com
51	ZIMBABWE	Mr. MUNYENYIWA Richard	RVSM National Programme Manager for Zimbabwe	Civil Aviation Authority of Zimbabwe Private Bag 6002, Harare Intern. Airport Tel.: 263 4 57 51 87 / 57 51 83 Fax: 263 4 57 51 63 / 58 51 00 E-mail: rmunyenyiwa@yahoo.co.uk

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
IN	ΓERNATIONAL ORG	GANIZATIONS		
52	ARMA	Mr. EWELS Kevin	ARMA	Tel.: 27 11 9286506 Fax: 27 11 9286420 E-mail: afirma@atns.co.za
53	ALTRAN TECHNOLOGIES	Mr. LAPIE Julien	ATM Safety Expert	Immeuble Socrate - Parc des Algorihmes, 17 Avenue Didier Daurat – 31700 Blagnac France Tel: + 33 5 34561356 / 33 632653562 Fax: + 33 534561357 E-mail: jlapie@altran-tech.net
54		Mr. BEAULIEU Richard	Altran Technologies	Immeuble Socrate - Parc des Algorihmes, 17 Avenue Didier Daurat – 31700 Blagnac France Tel: + 33 5 34561351 Fax: + 33 534561357 E-mail: 1-beaulieu@altran-tech.net
53	ASECNA	Mr. SACRAMENTO Martin	Chargé de mission du Directeur de l'Exploitation	B.P. 3144 – Dakar – Sénégal Tel : 221 8695746 E-mail : sacramentomar@asecna.org
55		NSANA Bernard	Chef Bureau réglementation	Tel: 221 8695722 Fax: 221 8207546 E-mail: <u>nsanaber@asecna.org</u>

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
56		Mr. NIANG Mamadou	ASECNA / SENEGAL	Aéroport Léopold S. Senghor – B.P. 8132 Tel: 221 8692305 / 6515567 Fax 221 8200600 E-mail:mod_niang @yahoo.fr
57		Mr. GNINGUE Mamadou	ASECNA / SENEGAL	B.P. 29329 Dakar – Yoff Tel.: 221 8695337 / 5218873 E-mail: magningue@yahoo.fr
58		Mr. DIALLO Amadou Yoro	ASECNA D.G.	B.P. 3144 – Dakar Tel. : 221 8695664 Fax : 221 8207495 E-mail : dialloamad@asecna.org
59		Mr. GASSETO Jean Hermion	ASECNA	B.P. 8153 Dakar, Sénégal Tel.: 221 8695723 / Cel.: 221 6110587 E-mail: gassetojea@asecna.org
60		Mrs. DJIOLEU Micheline	ASECNA DG.	Tel.: 221 8695729 Fax: 221 6121918 E-mail: djioleumic@asecna.org
		Mr. YOGUELIM Kadjibaye	ASECNA D.G	Tel.: 221 8695700 E-mail: yoguelimkad@asecna.org
61	AIR SENEGAL INTERNATIONALE	Mr. NDIAYE Jean-Louis	Air Sénégal International	Aéroport LS.S. Yoff Tel.: 221 8650072 (DEV)

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
62		Mr. THIAW Galandou	Air Sénégal International	B.P. 29127 – Dakar-Yoff Tel.: 221 6447728 / 221 8652372 Fax: 221 8650078 E- mail:Gathiaw@airsenegalinternational.sn
63	IFALPA	Mr. DALLEL Souhaiel	Delegate – IFALPA	Tel: 00 216098320771 Fax: 00 21671861334 E-mail: souhaiel.dallel@topnet.tn
64		Mr. Mohamad Kheir Hassoun	IFALPA	
65	ROBERTS FIR (SATCO)	Mr. CONTEH Alimamy Dixon	Roberts FIR Senior Air Traffic Control Officer (SATCO)	B.P. 5294 – Conakry - Guinea Tel.: 224 63404391 Fax: 224 404987 E-mail: alimamydixon@yahoo.co.uk
66		Mr. DIALLO Mamadou Saliou	Roberts FIR Assistant (SATCO)	Tel.: 224 60333916 Fax: 224 461861
67		Mr. SESAY John Suffian	Roberts FIR ATC (SATCO)	SATCO Sierra Leone Tel.: 232 22 338307/30204948 23276636807 Fax: 232 22 223188

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
68		Mr. TRAORE Morlaye	Roberts FIR Assistant (SATCO)	SATCO P.O. Box 5294 –Conakry Tel.: 224 63404360 / 60547149 Fax: 224 404987 E-mail: traoremorlaye@yahoo.co.uk
69		MARCUS K. ENDERS	Roberts FIR – SATCO	Roberts Int'l Airport (FIA) P.O. Box 1, Robertsfield Tel.: 231 7203772 E-mail: towerroberts@yahoo.com
70	NATIONAL AEROSPACE LABORATORY (NLR)	Mr. MOEK G.	National Aerospace Laboratory (NLR)	PO Box 90502 1006 BM Amsterdam – The Netherlands Tel.: 31 20 511 3464 Fax: 31 20 511 3210 E-mail: moek@nlr.nl
71		Mr. SMELTINK J.	National Aerospace Laboratory (NLR)	PO Box 90502 1006 BM Amsterdam – The Netherlands Tel.: 31 20 511 3040 E-mail: smeltink@nlr.nl
72	ICAO	Mr. MENSAH A.	Acting Regional Director, ICAO Office, Dakar	ICAO B.P. 2356 – Dakar - Sénégal Tel: 221 839 93 69
73		Mr. KHARUGA Apollo	Regional Officer / ATM	ICAO Nairobi, Kenya Tel: 254 20 622374 Fax: 254 20 520135 E-mail: apolo.kharuga@icao.unon.org

N°	STATE/ETAT	NAME/NOM	TITLE/TITRE/DESIGNATION	ADDRESS
74		Mr. AUYO Ibrahim Usman	Regional Officer / ATM	ICAO B.P. 2356 – Dakar – Sénégal Tel: 221 839 93 90

_ _ **_ _ _ _ _**

AFI REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMUM (RVSM) SAFETY POLICY

APRIL 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CONTE	ENTS P	AGE
SECTIO	ON 1: INTRODUCTION	1
SECTIO	ON 2: RVSM OPERATIONAL CONCEPT	1
SECTIO	ON 3: AFI RVSM PROGRAM SAFETY POLICY	2
SECTIO	ON 4: RVSM IMPLEMENTATION SAFETY OBJECTIVES	2
SECTIO	ON5: SAFETY OBJECTIVES OF RVSM IMPLEMENTATION	3
SECTIO	ON 6: RVSM SAFETY DELIVERABLES	3
	Detailed RVSM Functional Hazard Analysis	
	Collision Risk Assessment	
	National Safety Plans	
	AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case	
6.5	AFI RVSM Post-Implementation Safety Case	4

AFI REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMUM (RVSM) SAFETY POLICY

1. INTRODUCTION

This document, the RVSM Safety Policy Document, sets out the Safety Policy, the Safety Objectives and describes the RVSM Safety Sub-Program tasks and actions necessary to ensure the safe implementation of RVSM in the AFI region.

The RVSM Safety Policy Document is intended to provide a framework to facilitate the safety regulation process of the AFI RVSM Program. As such, it is considered to be a formal deliverable of the RVSM Program.

The RVSM Safety Policy Document describes the deliverables of the RVSM Safety Sub-Program together with their role in the overall AFI RVSM Program and in the national safety assurance programs.

2. RVSM OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

The principal concept behind RVSM is the reduction of the vertical separation minimum between adjacent aircraft from 2000 feet to 1000 feet between the Flight Levels FL290 and FL410 inclusive. This will provide six additional cruising levels to air traffic, increase the capacity of the Air Traffic Management system and facilitate the task of Air Traffic Services in maintaining a safe, orderly and expeditious flow of traffic. It can be expected that the capacity and system benefits of RVSM will, by facilitating the Air Traffic Control function, also have the potential for possible safety benefits.

This vertical separation minimum shall be applied between RVSM approved aircraft within the airspace of the designated RVSM airspace. Therefore, all operators proposing to operate across the lateral limits of the RVSM airspace shall be required to indicate on Filed Flight Plans their RVSM status. Non-RVSM approved aircraft, other than state aircraft, shall not be permitted to operate within RVSM airspace.

There will be no RVSM Transition Airspace within the AFI Region.

The RVSM Program requires that specific training for aircrew and ATC staff shall be performed prior to the start of RVSM operations. The Program also requires ATC equipment and procedures to be modified according to specific Program requirements prior to the start of RVSM operations.

3. AFI RVSM PROGRAM SAFETY POLICY

The Safety Policy for RVSM implementation has been established to meet the requirements of ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices and guidance material on managing collision risk consequent on the implementation of RVSM.

The following statements define the Safety Policy of the RVSM Program:

- (i) The AFI RVSM Program uses an explicit, pro-active approach to safety management in the development, implementation and continued operation of RVSM.
- (ii) The responsibility of management for the safety performance of the RVSM Program is recognised. The RVSM Program Manager is responsible for the overall management of the Program. The RVSM Safety Program Manager is responsible to the RVSM Program Manager for ensuring the compliance of the Program with AFI Safety Policy and appropriate international standards and requirements. The RVSM Safety Program Manager is also responsible for liaison with the Regulation Authorities.
- (iii) The implementation of RVSM shall be conducted in accordance with ICAO requirements and requires ninety percent RVSM approved aircraft operating within the Region;
- (iv) The safety of air navigation has been given the highest priority in the development of the RVSM operational concept and the Implementation Program;
- (v) The RVSM Program shall minimise the program's contribution to the serious or risk bearing incidents or aircraft accidents as far as is reasonably practicable.

4. RVSM IMPLEMENTATION SAFETY OBJECTIVES

- (i) The RVSM Program shall conduct a full Functional Hazard Analysis looking at the whole system including air and ground segments and the proposed operational concept. This analysis shall adopt a total aviation system perspective and a risk based approach to the classification of hazards. The analysis shall include, but not be restricted to, those risks already identified by ICAO for RVSM implementation;
- (ii) The RVSM Program shall, as its principal safety objective, minimise the program's contribution to the risk of an aircraft accident. The RVSM Program recognises the AFI Safety Objectives and Strategy, in particular the general objective to improve safety levels by ensuring that the number of ATM induced accidents and serious or risk bearing incidents do not increase and, where possible, decrease. Therefore, the implementation of RVSM shall not adversely affect the risk of en-route mid-air collision;
- (iii) The RVSM Program shall establish an explicit Safety Sub-Program to ensure that Program's contribution to the risk of an aircraft accident is minimised in accordance with the principal safety objective;

- (iv) In accordance with ICAO Guidance Material the management of vertical collision risk within RVSM airspace shall meet the Target Level of Safety of 5 x 10⁻⁹ fatal accidents per flight hour;
- (v) In accordance with ICAO Guidance Material, the risk of mid-air collision in the vertical dimension within RVSM airspace, due to technical height keeping performance, shall meet a Target Level of Safety of 2.5 x 10⁻⁹ fatal accidents per flight hour.
- (vi) Guidance shall be given to the States to explain the necessary activities to provide evidence about the safe implementation of RVSM on the national level and subsequently assure the preparedness of the States.

Safety Requirements that may arise as results from the detailed Functional Hazard Analysis that yet has to be carried out will complement these Safety Objectives.

5. RVSM IMPLEMENTATION SAFETY OBJECTIVES

As part of the RVSM Program, an RVSM Safety Sub-Program has been developed to provide evidence on the compliance of the Implementation Program with the RVSM Safety Policy and the RVSM Safety Objectives.

The work program of the RVSM Safety Program comprises the following elements:

- (i) Detailed Hazard Analysis, Preliminary System Safety Assessment and System Safety Assessment of the proposed RVSM operational concept;
- (ii) Assessment of operational error reports, both prior to and after implementation, to identify any additional risks and hazards associated with the proposed operational concept and to provide data for the assessment of the target levels of safety;
- (iii) Establishment of formal requirements for participating states to demonstrate that all necessary national activities and actions have been undertaken prior to implementation.
- (iv) Assessment of the risk of mid-air collision, using methods specified in ICAO guidance material;
- (v) A major assessment of aircraft height keeping performance to monitor compliance with height keeping requirements.

Each of these elements will produce deliverables, in the form of reports, which will be formally presented to the ARTF as the Program proceeds.

6. RVSM SAFETY DELIVERABLES

In this section, the major deliverables of the RVSM Safety Sub-Program are described. Although the deliverables are in the form of formal documents, interim reports will be provided for review prior to completion of the final version of a deliverable document.

6.1 RVSM Functional Hazard Analysis

A detailed Functional Hazard Analysis (FHA) shall be carried out to provide assurance that all hazards and risks associated with RVSM have been identified and classified. The FHA shall cover (i) the situation that RVSM is operational one year after its introduction, (ii) the change-over on the day of RVSM introduction. The results of the FHA shall be documented in a detailed report and a hazard/risk matrix. It will be used as input to the Collision Risk Assessment and the National Safety Cases where appropriate. A summary of the results will constitute one chapter of the AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case and the detailed report will appear as an Annex.

6.2 Collision Risk Assessment

A Collision Risk Assessment (CRA) shall be carried out in order to provide the evidence that the collision risk in RVSM airspace meets the Target Level of Safety required by ICAO. A summary of the results will form one chapter of the AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case and the detailed report will appear as an Annex.

6.3 National Safety Plans

Guidance shall be given to the States to explain the necessary activities to provide evidence about the safe implementation of RVSM on the national level. Using the guidance material National Safety Plans should be produced by the States, submitted to the National Regulator as appropriate and shall be summarised by the RVSM Safety Sub-Program in to order to form one section of the AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case.

6.4 AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case

The AFI RVSM Pre-Implementation Safety Case shall provide the assurance that the objectives stated in the AFI RVSM Safety Policy Document are met. Evidence will be provided that (i) all identified hazards and risks are managed and mitigated, (ii) the collision risk meets the ICAO Target Level of Safety and (iii) States show they will safely implement RVSM through the development of national safety documentation.

6.5 AFI RVSM Post-Implementation Safety Case

The required contents of the Post-Implementation Safety Case will be developed as a result of the pre-implementation safety activities. However, the main objective will be to confirm assumptions and estimations being made in order to determine if in an operational RVSM environment the safety objectives can be met. It is expected that the document demonstrates *inter alia* that safety is continuously ensured, the aircraft approval process is effective, the target levels of safety are being met, operational errors do not increase and ATC procedures introduced for RVSM remain effective.

RVSM IMPLEMENTATION READINESS ASSESSMENT SURVEY: AFI REGION

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Implementation Progr	am	<u></u>			
1. RVSM	Is the National RVSM					Conclusion: ARTF 4/
Implementation Program – Target	Implementation plan/Program harmonized with the AFI RVSM Regional Implementation Plan?					
Date 28 September 2006	Has your administration developed an RVSM aircraft and operators approval program?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Has your Administration submitted a National RVSM Implementation plan/Program to ICAO Regional Program Office?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/1 National RVSM Plan
	Has the National RVSM Implementation plan/Program taken into account the users requirements?		ī			Doc. 9574 Chapter 3 National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration determined the RVSM status of the national fleet?					Doc. 9574 Chap 3 Conclusion: ARTF 4/1 & ARTF 4/12
	Has your administration disseminated the National RVSM Implementation Program to all stakeholders?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/1 National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration designated the National Program Manager for the RVSM implementation program?					Conclusion: 4/3 National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Implementation Progr	am				
	Has your administration designated an ATS Manger responsible for the ATM RVSM Sub-program?					National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration designated a Manager responsible for aircraft OPS/Airworthiness sub-program?					National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration designated a Manager responsible RVSM Safety Sub-Program?					Conclusion: 4/18 National RVSM Plan
	Will RVSM be implemented in the airspace on the date agreed upon by AFI?					Conclusion : ARTF 4/5
	Has your administration published the procedures to accommodate aircraft in RVSM airspace?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration made provision to accommodate non-RVSM State aircraft in RVSM airspace?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/9 ICAO Doc 7030/4 National RVSM Plan
	Have national rules/regulations been developed/published for RVSM implementation?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/8

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Implementation Progr	ram				
	Has your administration assess the impact of RVSM implementation on controller automation systems and plan for upgrades/ modifications?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Have documents related with RVSM approval of aircraft and operators of the JAA Temporary Guidance Leaflet (TGL) 6 y/o FAA Document 91 RVSM been adopted?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Has the RVSM Advisory Circular been adopted for RVSM approval of aircraft and operators?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Has your Administration established National RVSM approved Aircraft Database?				-	Doc. 9574 Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Are RVSM approvals granted to aircraft and/or operators registered in your State? Is a letter of Authorization issued when RVSM approval to individual aircraft granted?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/12
	Has AFI Regional monitoring Agency (ARMA) form been completed to communicate the status of RVSM approval or withdrawal to ARMA?		5 5 9 9 9 9 1 1			Conclusion: ARTF 4/4

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Implementation Progr	ram				
	Has the Guidance material on the implementation of a 300 M (1000 FT) vertical separation minimum between FL290 and FL410 inclusive for application in the airspace of the AFI Region					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	been adopted? Has National RVSM implementation legislation been published?					Doc. 9574 Conclusion: ARTF 4/8
	Has the AIC been published in advance informing stakeholders of the date for RVSM implementation?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Is the administration disseminating RVSM legislation and documentation through adequate means?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Has the Guidance material on the implementation of a 300 M (1000 FT) vertical separation minimum between FL290 and FL410 inclusive for application in the airspace of the AFI Region been adopted?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
		_				Conclusion: ARTF 4/18

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Operations & Airworthin	ess				
	Has your administration analysed the impact that would have in RVSM implementation if the required documentation were not taken into account?					
2. RVSM Operations &Airworthiness	Has your administration implemented the National RVSM Operator/ Aircraft approval Program?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4.2 Conclusion: ARTF 2/8 & ARTF 4/11
	Does the program cover aircraft airworthiness certification (approval of modifications and major repairs) and operational separately?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4 National RVSM Plan
	Will the program be completed before the RVSM implementation date 28 September 2006?					National RVSM Plan Conclusion: APIRG 14/21
	Has your Administration adopted TGL6 Revision 1 for approval of operators/aircraft for RVSM Operations?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4 Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Has your administration published the National RVSM Operator/ Aircraft approval Legislation?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4 Conclusion: ARTF4 2/8 & ARTF 4/8

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Operations & Airworthin	ess				
	Has your administration published the required maintenance program to ensure RVSM airworthiness?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration developed a Database for RVSM approved aircraft?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: ARTF4 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration completed a RVSM approved aircraft readiness assessment?					Conclusion: ARTF4 4/12
3. RVSM Operations & Airworthiness	Has an RVSM training program been prepared for OPS/Airworthiness personnel?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4/5 Conclusion: ARTF 4/6 & ARTF 4/11
Training	Does the program cover aircraft airworthiness certification (approval of modifications and major repairs) and operational (procedures approval and operator training program) separately?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 4 Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Will the program be completed before the RVSM implementation date 28 September 2006? If such were the case, the finalization of the training program?					Conclusion: APIRG 14/21
	Does the program have the RVSM training material in OPS/ Airworthiness areas?					

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Operations & Airworthin	ess				
	Which documentation did the administration use to prepare RVSM training material? Has the training material been approved by the corresponding					
	authority? How many phases are envisaged for the training?					-
	Has OJT been foreseen and completed before RVSM implementation date?					
	Does the administration make sure that personnel training is appropriate and carried out in a professional manner?		:			
	Do OPS/Airworthiness instructors have sufficient experience?					
	Are the OPS/Airworthiness instructors used for training qualified to provide on the job training (OJT)?					
	Can the administration assure that the necessary time for an appropriate training was used or will be used?					
	Does training include the establishment of adequate refresher courses, if necessary?					

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
1 11 12 12 1	Air Traffic Management					
	Has the administration analysed the impact that would have in RVSM implementation if the requirements for personnel training were not taken into account?					
4. Modification in the Airspace Structure	Has your Administration implemented your RVSM National Plan?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/3 National RVSM Plan
Structure	Will your Administration implement RVSM in the Airspace as identified by AFI?					
	Has your administration identified new entry/exit points to RVSM airspace?					Doc. 9574 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration identified modifications to the existing route network?					Doc. 9574 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration designated transition airspaces between RVSM and non-RVSM airspaces?					Doc. 9574 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration identified Modifications in airspace sectorization for RVSM purposes?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: 2/13
	If such were the case, was the airspace structure subject to simulations?					Doc. 9574 National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Air Traffic Management		<u> </u>		· •	
5. ATC Procedures	Has your administration identified changes in civil/military coordination?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: ARTF 4/2
	Does you administration consider air traffic flow management for your State?					
	Has the administration adopted the Cruise Levels Table of Appendix to ICAO Annex for the assignment of cruise levels in RVSM airspace?					Annex 2 Conclusion : ARTF 2/13
	Has the administration adopted adequate national contingency procedures?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 ICAO Doc 7030/4 Conclusion: ARTF 4/9 National RVSM Plan
	Have the procedures been duly supervised in order not to affect the safety in air operations?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 3
	Has ICAO guidance material been used in the preparation of procedures?	_				Conclusion: ARTF 2/13 National RVSM Plan
	The procedures and associated phraseology been included in the operational manual of the ATS unit?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: ARTF 2/13 National RVSM Plan
	Has ATC procedures been reviewed with operational personnel from ATC units?				<u> </u>	Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: ARTF 3/6 National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Air Traffic Managemei	nt	•			
	Have the procedures affecting adjacent ATS been duly coordinated, approved and included in the letters of operational agreement?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Have ATC procedures and associated phraseology been subject to simulations?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 Conclusion : ARTF 3/6 National RVSM Plan
	Are RVSM ATC procedures being disseminated by the adequate means?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
_	Has the administration analysed the impact it would have in RVSM implementation if the changes required have not been taken into account?					Doc. 9574 Chapters 3/5. National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Air Traffic Management					
6. ATC Equipment	Does your administration has a modification plan of ATC equipment as a result of RVSM?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 Conclusion: ARTF2/13 National RVSM Plan Doc. 9574 Chap. 3
	Has your administration ensured that modifications in ATC equipment are appropriate?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Do changes circumscribe to FDPS?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to RDPS?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to visualizing?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to STCA?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to MTCA?	:				Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to the systems software?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Do changes circumscribe to ATC simulators?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 National RVSM Plan
	Does your administration have a contingency plan in case of delays in case of suffering delays in ATC equipment updating?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Air Traffic Management					
7. RVSM ATCO Training	Has an RVSM training program been prepared for ATCOs?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 Conclusion: ARTF 3/6
Ü	Is the program addressed for all ATC personnel?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 Conclusion: ARTF4/11
	Shall the program be completed before the RVSM implementation dated 28 September 2006? If such were the case, indicate finalization date of training program.					Conclusion: APIRG 14/21 Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Does the program contemplate aspects related with the responsibilities of ATCOs?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Does the program have RVSM training material?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 Conclusion: ARTF2/13 National RVSM Plan
	Which documentation did the administration use to elaborate RVSM?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Has the training material been prepared under strict control and approved by the Operational Unit or the corresponding training centre?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 Conclusion: ARTF 3/6 National RVSM Plan
	Has OJT been programmed? When will this program end?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	Air Traffic Management					
	Does the administration ensure that the personnel training is appropriate and is carried out professionally?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Do instructors have training and sufficient knowledge of RVSM Operations and do/did they have experience enough?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Are instructors used in training or were they qualified to provide OJT training?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	May the administration ensure that the necessary time is or was used for an appropriate training?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Does your administration foresee to establish adequate refreshing courses?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration analysed the impact it would have in RVSM implementation if no personnel training requirements were taken into account?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 5 National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Safety Assuranc	e				
8. RVSM Safety Assurance from FL 290 to	Has your Administration implemented your RVSM National Safety Plan?					Doc. 9574 Chap. 3 Conclusion: ARTF 4/18 & ARTF 4/19
FL 410 inclusive	Is the National RVSM Safety plan harmonized with the AFI RVSM Safety Policy?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Has your Administration submitted a National RVSM Safety plan to ICAO Regional Program Office?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Has your Administration informed National Operators of RVSM Implementation requirements?					National RVSM Plan
	Has your Administration adopted TGL6 Revision 1 for approval of operators/aircraft for RVSM Operations?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 3 Conclusion: ARTF 4/7
	Has your administration implemented the National RVSM Operator/ Aircraft approval Program?					Doc. 9574 Chap. Conclusion: ARTF 4/12
	Has your administration disseminated the National RVSM Implementation Program to all stakeholders?					Conclusion: ARTF4/11

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Safety Assurance	e				
	Has your administration implemented the National RVSM ATS Training Program?					
	Has your administration published guidelines for RVSM Pilot Training?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Has your administration developed a program for changes to ATC equipment to support the implementation of RVSM?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Has the changes to ATS Equipment satisfactorily been installed?					Conclusion: ARTF4/17 National RVSM Plan
	Has the changes to ATS Procedures been approved?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/5 & 4/17
	Has your administration published the procedures to accommodate aircraft in RVSM airspace?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/8 & 4/9 National RVSM Plan
	Has the ATC Manual been approved?					Conclusion: ARTF 2/7 & ARTF4/11 National RVSM Plan
	Is the ATC Manual consistent with ICAO Doc 7030/4?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/9
	Has your administration coordinated the procedures required for RVSM at the ACC with adjacent ACCs?					

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Safety Assurance	:				
	Has your administration amended the required Letters of Agreement (LoA) with adjacent ACCs for RVSM Operations?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11
	Has the ATSU Operations Manual been amended to include changes as a result of RVSM?					
	Has your administration approved the changes to airspace design to support the implementation of RVSM?					
	Has your administration developed special procedures to enable safe switchover to RVSM?					
	Has your administration developed a program for ATC to report operational data errors?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
·	RVSM Monitoring					
9. RVSM Operations Monitoring	Has the administration established adequate measures so that there is a monitoring before, during and after RVSM implementation in order to verify that the safety level					Annex 11 Para. 2.26 Conclusion: ARTF 2/1 Conclusion: ARTF 4/4 National RVSM Plan
	is met? Does the administration demand the operators/users the presentation of a monitoring program of aircraft for its approval?					
	Has the administration implemented a data collection program of large height deviations (LHD)?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Is this information submitted to ARMA monthly basis?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Is there a database with such information?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4 National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration implemented a monthly data collection program for errors in the ATC communications circuit?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan
	Does the administration have a database with such information?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Is the information submitted to ARMA on the total of IFR movements on a monthly basis?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Monitoring	•		<u> </u>	· ·	
	Is there a database with such information?	i			-	Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Is information related to turbulence reports submitted to ARMA?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Is there a database with such information?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/4
	Has the administration established a continuous monitoring of the system?					Annex 11 para. 2.26 Doc. 9574 Chapter 6
	Has the administration assessed the impact that the lack of a continuous monitoring program and RVSM operations monitoring would have in air safety?					National RVSM Plan

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Switch-Over				-	
10. RVSM Switchover	Has your administration adopted or will it adopt the measures to ensure a safe and effective transition to RVSM?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan Conclusion: ARTF4/11
	Have special procedures been established for the switchover period?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan
	Are contingency plan adequate for the switchover period?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration foreseen the information process to ARMA during the next tour for RVSM implementation?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration foreseen the information process to ARMA during the following 12 and 24 hours after RVSM implementation?					Doc. 9574 Chapter 5 National RVSM Plan)
	Has the administration assessed the impact that the lack of an RVSM transition plan and associated contingency measures could have in safety?		:			National RVSM Plan.

SUBJECT	ITEMS ASSESSED	Target Date (TD)	Date Completed (DC)	Not Applicable (NA)	REMARKS	Ref. ICAO Regional/National Doc
	RVSM Resources		5····			
11. Assignment of Resources for the Implementation of RVSM	Have adequate measures been adopted in order to have the necessary resources for a successful RVSM					Conclusion: ARTF 4/11 National RVSM Plan
program	implementation? For changes in ATC equipment?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/17 & 4/18
	For personnel training and associated material?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/17 & 4/18
	For training of OPS/Airworthiness inspectors?					Conclusion: ARTF 4/17 & 4/18
	To face administrative costs?		,			National RVSM Plan
	Has the administration evaluated the impact that the lack of assignment of sufficient resources in the RVSM national implementation program would have in air safety?					National RVSM Plan

	National				1	<u> </u>	RVSM STAT						National		
STATES	Programme Manager	AIC	LOA/ LOP	NSP	AFI Safety Policy		A/C RVSM Readiness	1	Pilot Training	State A/C Approval	Legis lation	ARMA traffic Forms		Civil/ Military Coordin.	Seminar
Algeria	Y	Y		Υ		Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ		Υ	Y	Υ	Y
Angola	Y	Υ		Y	Υ			Υ				Y			Y
Benin	Υ	Y		Y		Υ		Y				Y	Y	Y	Y
Botswana	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y		Y		Υ		Y	Y
Burkina Faso	Y		Y	Y											Y
Burundi	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ			Υ	Y		Y	Υ	Y			Υ
Cameroon	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y		Y	Υ
Cape Verde	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y		Y	Υ
Central African Republic		Y				Y		Y							Y
Chad	Y							Y			Υ	N/A			
Congo	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y		Y	Υ
Comores	Y	Y	Y	Υ		Υ						Y			
Cote d'Ivoire	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y
DRC	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Y	Υ
Djibouti	Y	Y	Y	Υ								Υ			
Egypt	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y		Υ	Υ
Ethiopia	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	N/A	Υ	N/A	Υ	Υ	Υ		İ	Υ
Eritrea	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ			Υ
Equatorial Guinea	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y		Y	Υ
Gabon	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Ŷ	Y	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ
Gambia						N/A	N/A	N/A	Y	Y		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Ghana	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ
Guinea	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Υ	N/A	N/A		Y		N/A	Υ
Guinea Bissau	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ		Y		Y			Υ
Kenya	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ		Υ	Y
Lesotho	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ		N/A	Υ	N/A	Y		Y		N/A	

				,	STATUS	OF AFI	RVSM STA	ΓES _ RE.	ADINESS	SURVEY					
STATES	National Programme Manager	AIC	LOA/ LOP	NSP	AFI Safety Policy		A/C RVSM Readiness		Pilot Training	State A/C Approval	Legis lation	ARMA traffic Forms	National Switch over Plan	Civil/ Military Coordin.	Seminar
Liberia	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Υ	N/A	N/A		Y		N/A	Υ
Libya	Y			Υ											
Madagascar	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ		Y	Υ	Y			Υ
Mali	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y		Y	Υ
Malawi	Y		Υ	Y			Υ	Υ							Υ
Mauritania	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ			Y		Y	Υ
Mauritius	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	July 2006	Υ	Y	Υ	Y			Y
Morocco	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y		Y	Υ
Mozambique	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y			Υ				Y			Y
Namibia	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Y	Υ				Y			Υ
Niger	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ			Υ	Y		Υ	Υ
Nigeria	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ			Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ
Réunion	Υ	Υ	Y	Y		Y					Υ	Υ			Υ
Rwanda	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ				Υ	Y	Y				Y	Υ
Sao Tome and Principe	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y				Y			Υ _
Senegal	Υ	Υ		Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y		Y	Υ _
Seychelles	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y		Y				Υ		N/A	Y
Sierra Leone	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	N/A	Υ	N/A	N/A		Υ			Υ
Somalia (CACAS)	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Y		N/A	Υ
South Africa	Υ	Υ		Υ	Y	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ			Υ
Sudan	Y	Y		Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ	Υ		Y	Y
Swaziland	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Υ	Υ
Tanzania	Y	Υ	Υ	Y		Y		Y	Y	Υ		Y	<u></u>		Υ
Tunisia	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ		Y	Y
Togo	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y		Y	Υ

	•				STATUS	OF AFI	RVSM STAT	TES _ RE	ADINESS	SURVEY					
STATES	National Programme Manager	AIC	LOA/ LOP	NSP	AFI Safety Policy		A/C RVSM Readiness		Pilot Training	State A/C Approval	Legis lation	ARMA traffic Forms	National Switch over Plan	Civil/ Military Coordin.	Seminar
Uganda	Υ	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y	Υ	Υ	Y		Υ	Υ
Zambia	Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	N/A	Υ	Υ	Y		Y	Υ
Zimbabwe	Y	Y	Υ	Υ			Y	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	Y		Y	Υ

OPEN PISC ISSUES SUMMARY

- 1.1 The Pre-Implementation Safety Case for AFI RVSM aims to show by means of argument and supporting evidence that the application of the ICAO RVSM Concept in the AFI Region and the Implementation of RVSM by the participating States satisfy all the safety objectives and safety requirements resulting from the AFI RVSM Safety Policy.
- 1.2 To this end, an overall safety argument has been developed, starting from the overall claim that AFI RVSM is safe. This overall claim is broken down into the following four main arguments:
 - A1: A complete and correct set of safety requirements has been specified;
 - A2: The application of the AFI RVSM concept is safe;
 - A3: The implementation of the AFI RVSM concept by the AFI States is safe; and
 - A4: The switch-over from CVSM operations to RVSM operations is safe.
- 1.3 At this date, the PISC is in an interim state in that not all the arguments have been demonstrated meaning that not all safety requirements could be shown to be met by the concept and the implementation. Argument A1 has been fully demonstrated, but some important open issues remain to demonstrate Arguments A2, A3 and A4.
- 1.4 With regards to the safety of the concept (A2), the main open issue is the completion of the Collision Risk Assessment (CRA) with a positive result. The other important issues concern the current editions of the material promulgated by the AFI RVSM Programme, namely the ICAO Doc. 7030, the AFI ATC operations manual for RVSM and the AFI ATS RVSM Training Guidance Material. Indeed, these documents do not address all the safety requirements and need to be reviewed.
- 1.5 With regards to the safety of the implementation (A3), not all the States' National Safety Plans (NSPs) have been submitted to ICAO and/or reviewed as acceptable by the NSP Validation Panel (NSPVP).
- 1.6 Formal confirmation by States' DGCA of compliance to the NSP has not yet been received and is not expected to be received until States have completed the implementation of the NSP. Indeed, it constitutes the ultimate step of the implementation process.
- 1.7 With regards to the safety of switch-over from CVSM to RVSM (A4), not all the FHA-identified mitigations have been addressed in the material promulgated for the switch-over period (such as switch-over plan, flight crew and controller bulletins). As a result, the AFI RVSM switch-over plan (SWOP) remains to be reviewed and amended as appropriate, the flight crew and controller bulletins need to be produced.
- 1.8 A list of PISC open issues has been compiled and provided to the AFI RVSM Core Team for appropriate action.

PISC open issues

This document summarises all the actual open issues of the PISC document. It addresses not only the important issues to be considered by ARTF for appropriate resolution, but also any issues/items for which a response from the Core Team is needed in order to complete the document.

The open issues to be presented in the TF/10 WP (and the format of presentation) need to be discussed with Core Team.

PISC §	Issues	Comments
1.1	Approval process to be included	Discuss with ARPO
1.3	Business justification paragraph to be included	ARMA
2.2.3	Requirement for 90% of RVSM approved aircraft in the AFI Region to be addressed by reference to an external	ARMA
	reference	
2.2.4.4	POSC planning to be confirmed	ARMA/SA
2.3.3	Assumption on the safety of "transition" (from switch-	Telephone
	over to mature) operations. The POSC $(T0 + 6, +12, +18)$	Conferencing
	could be a mean to monitor the RVSM operations and to	
	verify if the maturity is achieved after one year of	
	implementation + another mean is the post	
	implementation activities of States (section 8 of NSP).	
	What measures are in place to address teething problems	
	during the initial stage of RVSM? The initial stage should	
	not last any longer than a few days or weeks at most. This	
	requires in essence an "immediate response" team.	
		777'77
4.3.1.3	ICAO 7030 section 16 providing procedures is in both	Will provide revised
	strikeout and grey shading	document to Altran
4.3.1.3	FC procedures for ENV3 and ENV4 are not provided in	Subject PM team
	that sense that non controlled airspace are not addressed in	research
	ICAO 7030	
4.3.1.3	Procedures facilitating non RVSM civil aircraft is not	PM research
12.7.7	provided in ICAO 7030	ADMA
4.3.1.5	Process for notifying the ARMA of the	ARMA
	applicability/extension to the AFI Region of the existing	
	RVSM approval to be discussed with ARMA and	
	paragraph to be completed	A 1:
4.3.1.6	SER with status "No" in Appendix D to be fixed by	Altran to discuss
	providing in docs the appropriate evidence or proposing	with PM
L	another approach of realisation	

PISC §	Issues	Comments
4.3.1.6	SER with status "Part." in Appendix D to be confirmed by answering the following questions: Does the evidence	Altran to discuss with PM
	covers the whole requirement (all ENV, all items)?	WILLI IVI
	and/or can the evidence be considered as sufficient to	
	meet the SER? (e.g. is the Doc 8168 issued in 2003	
	incorporated the revised TCAS operations and reflected in	
	EUR TCAS bulletin? Does the section 2.3.3 of ICAO	
	7030 covers all types of flight plans and is it sufficient to	
	meet FCOP2-2)	
4.3.1.7	What documents could be used as evidence of successful	ARMA
4.3.4.6	implementation of RVSM in EUR and CAR? Post-	
	monitoring report or safety case?	
4.3.2.7	Which document could be used to provide evidence of	ARMA
	successful implementation of RVSM in Europe? Post	
	monitoring report?	
4.3.3	Realisation of SER in Appendix D needs to be completed	Altran to discuss
	by addressing the requirements which could not been	
	shown as met and the requirements for which the	
	supporting evidence is to be confirmed.	
4.3.4.3	Which TF has promulgated the AFI ATS RVSM Training	TF 3 ?
4.3.4.6	Guidance material?	
4.3.4.3	ATST3 on training on transit of non RVSM civil approved	TF11 Amendment
	aircraft not included in the training guidance material and	
	needs to demonstrated	
4.3.4.5	Evidence document on ATS instructors seminars and	Altran to discuss
	details of these seminars needs to be provided	
4.3.4.6	SER with status 'No' and 'Part.' in Appendix D needs to	Altran to discuss
	be fixed and confirmed respectively. To this end, the	
	following question could be answered "if a SER is not	
	realised through the development of the training guidance	
	material, what could be another approach of realisation?"	
	especially with regards to SER not specifically related to	
	RVSM	
4.3.5.3	Modifications to ADS systems, all backup systems are not	Altran to discuss
	addressed in ATC manual	
4.3.5.3	Could it be relevant that the ATCO could manually	Altran to dsicuss
	manipulate the RVSM status in FDPS in order to update it	i
	when necessary?	<u> </u>
4.3.5.4	Modifications to conflict detection capabilities other than	Altran to discuss
	STCA and MTCD (if provided) are not addressed in ATC	
	manual	
4.3.5.5	SER with status 'No' in Appendix D needs to be fixed.	Altran to discuss
	The following question is to be answered: "if a SER is not	
	realised through the development of the ATC manual,	
	what could be another approach of realisation?" especially	
	with regards to the SER related to reliability of A/G and	
	G/G communications and the SER not specifically related	
	to RVSM	

PISC §	Issues	Comments
4.3.6.3	References [46] (suitability of FLOS) needs to be provided	Altran to discuss
4.3.6.3	Connection with EUR, NAT, CAR and SAM RVSM airspaces	Altran to discuss
4.3.6.3	"Hot spots", i.e. locations were routes with different flight level usage are joining needs to be addressed	Altran to discuss
4.3.6.3	Ref. [47] (route network) needs to be provided	Doc 7474
4.3.6.3	Ref. [48] (Division Flight level) issue needs to be provided	Altran to discuss
4.3.8.3	Are there sufficient measures in place to exclude non-RVSM aircraft from RVSM airspace, given the fact that only approximately 50% of the aircraft are RVSM-approved.	Yes
4.3.8.4	Summary of the achieved monitoring targets to be updated on the bases of Kevin's most recent figures (Appendix F)	ARMA
4.3.8.4	Summary of initial GMU monitoring results (Appendix F)	ARMA
4.3.8.5	A summary of a new set of operational error data needs to be provided. This can only be done if a new set of operational error data has been made available (Appendix I).	ARMA to discuss with Altran
4.4.4	CRA no 2 needs to be performed	Yes
4.7.2	RVSM Guidance Material to States to be provided	Yes
4.7.2	Flight Crew awareness video to be provided	No however a bulletin
4.7.3	Date to be provided on which ACAS II carriage is mandated in the AFI region	January 2005
4.7.3	Review of actual ACAS II carriage by AFI RVSM Programme (reference)	Altran to discuss
4.7.3	ICAO reference to be provided (ICAO point of view on ACAS/TCAS RA)	Annex 6?
4.7.5	Review of Mountain waves effects, if any, by the AFI RVSM Programme.	Discussed
4.7.5	Flight crew information notice on weather-related phenomena should be provided	Altran to discuss
5.3.3	Update of NSP submission needs to be discussed in Dakar	Yes
5.3.4	Update of NSP review and follow needs to be discussed in Dakar	Yes
5.3.5	Formal confirmation of State readiness by DGCAs to be discussed in Dakar	Yes
5.4	Specific case of Tunisia is to be confirmed	Will be discussed
5.5	Implementation of SM programme is to be discussed with ARMA	Yes
6.3.1.2	How can we conclude that the dissemination of the switch-over flight crew bulletin will reach all pilots and not only IFALP ones? If it is not possible, what could be an alternative/complementary process?	AIC

RVSM/RNAV/RNP/TF/10 Report Appendix E

PISC §	Issues	Comments
6.3.1.2	Realisation of SER through SOP (refer to Appendix D) is	Altran to discuss
	to be confirmed for main of the SERs. Some of them are	
	not addressed in the SOP, should they be? Or what could	
	be a process in complement to SOP (e.g. these SER could	
	be addressed in the switch-over controller bulletin?)	
6.3.2	Is there a specific action for the ARTF or Core Team to	Yes however discuss
	continuously review the SOP after the Go decision?	
6.4	Have States already acknowledge receipt of the SOP and	Yes by TF 11
	certify the development of a national version (only by	
	including additional requirements/items in order to ensure	
	compliance with regional SOP)?	

AFI RVSM

SWITCH - OVER PLAN

T-24 ToS T+24

AFI RVSM SWITCHOVER PLAN

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The AFI RSVM Task Force has been tasked to provide guidance material for the States Switchover Plans so that they can refer to and adapt to their own local requirements. The AFI Functional Hazard Assessment final version, as accepted by Task Force 6, was referred to as guidance material when compiling the AFI Switchover Plan.

The switchover document satisfies the identified major switchover hazards resulting from the Functional Hazard Assessment that will need to be considered in order to accomplish a safe and successful switchover from CVSM to RVSM at time of switchover.

The switchover plan only addresses the switchover period and does not address the timelines as reflected in the action plan required to implement RVSM. The actions to RVSM implementation should be considered as the RVSM Task Force timetable that is issued periodically by the Task Force and lodged with the ARPO.

RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that States:

- Review the switchover plan, against their own detailed switchover plan and incorporate any amendments as required.
- Action any additional requirements as issued periodically by the ARPO appropriate to the States switchover plans.

ARPO ICAO ESAF NAIROBI KENYA

AFI RVSM SWITCHOVER PLAN

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The AFI RVSM Task Force has been tasked to provide a Switchover Plan for States to adapt to their own local requirements. The principle concerns of the Aviation Community have been addressed in the AFI Switchover Plan. This document should be referred to by States/FIR's to produce a plan that will be relevant to their ACC. This will in effect mean that there will need to be greater detail for individual actions within each FIR.
- 1.2 The assumption within this switchover plan is that the Go decision will only be made if the fundamental processes for the implementation of RVSM are in place:
 - The Safety Case, with special reference to the CRA and TLS, would have been presented, and assurances provided that the level of safety preparedness of the States as reflected in the NSP's is sufficient for the task.
- 1.3 The AFI RVSM implementation Decision Process should result in a Go/Delay decision being verified in late June 2006. In the event of a Go decision, the Switchover Plan will be continually reviewed and checked for the commencement of RVSM operations on 28th September 2006 with special reference to the switchover period. The process will culminate in the switchover from CVSM to RVSM at ToS, which will require specific attention to ensure a safe and effective changeover with the minimum disruption to the flow of air traffic. At the ToS the whole of the RVSM airspace will be in a transition phase for controllers and aircrews until it is reported that all aircraft are at the required RVSM FLAS.
- 1.4 The ARMA and ASECNA (via WACAF) will serve as the focal points of contact during the switchover period. ASECNA will be required to report all significant operations/events relating to the switchover, in the ASECNA area of operations to the ARMA.

2. ACTIONS PRIOR TO ToS AFI RVSM SWITCHOVER T-24

2.1 AFI RVSM implementation readiness reporting will continue throughout the switchover period with the National Program Managers reporting to the ARMA.

2.2 Airspace

It is essential that there is a stable airspace configuration during the Switchover period from CVSM to RVSM. The stability of the airspace will also require the utmost co-operation from military organizations.

2.3 Flight Planning

Flight planning, will continuously be checked during the switchover period for irregularities including incorrect RVSM status in Flight Plan and the flight level in the filed ATC Flight Plan not being in accordance with FLAS.

Within the switchover period there will continue to be increased contact between Aircraft Operators and ATS and the overall awareness as to the necessary flight planning and approval requirements for entry into RVSM airspace will be reinforced. Warnings will be provided to non-RVSM approved aircraft that would incorrectly penetrate AFI RVSM airspace.

Aircraft Operators and ATS should note that the submission of RPLs will require specific and separate attention. Operators of RVSM approved aircraft shall indicate the approval status by inserting the letter W in Field 10 of the ICAO FPL, regardless of the Requested Flight Level (RFL). From 26 September 2006, ATS may invalidate a flight plan that does not comply with the RVSM requirements at ToS.

2.4 Civil/Military Coordination

Military exercises during switch over period should be suspended as per the FHA. If they do need to take place they should be coordinated with the greatest of care.

2.5 Ground Communications

During the switchover period redundant ground communication facilities must be available and ready for immediate use and adequately supported by competent technical staff.

2.6 Letters of Agreement/Procedures LOAs/LOP's.

States/FIR'/ACC's will ensure that the current LOA/LOP is easily accessible for reference purposes during the Switchover period. The following points should be carefully and continuously reviewed with regard to:

- FLAS for consistency with RVSM
- RVSM status of aircraft on the flight plan and if in doubt verify information with ARMA

3. **AWARENESS CAMPAIGN**

3.1 During the switchover period operators should be reminded of the flight planning requirements as well as the requirement of RVSM operator/aircraft approvals in order to operate within the AFI RVSM airspace.

SWITCHOVER (28 SEPTEMBER 2006) ToS 4.

4.1 Switchover

A prime activity of the switchover period will be the switchover from CVSM to RVSM.

- Non RVSM approved flights airborne in the immediate period prior to the switchover may be adjusted to their new levels below FL290
- Operators must manage contingency fuel requirements as appropriate.
- At ToS, aircraft will be reassigned to their new levels.
- Operation above FL410 will not be permitted during the specified interval during the Switchover period by non RVSM approved aircraft.

4.2 Timing of Change.

The AFI RSVM Task Force has conducted a traffic analysis to determine a quiet and stable period, which confirmed the suitability for the switchover at 0001 HRS (UTC) 28 September 2006 ToS.

4.3 Aircraft in Flight at Time of Switchover.

The sequence of events at switchover will be:

- Warning of Switchover from CVSM to RVSM by all ground stations
- Implementation of Switchover from CVSM to RVSM by all RVSM approved aircraft and the exclusion of all non-RVSM approved aircraft. State aircraft will be managed accordingly.
- An on going verification of Operator/Aircraft approval status
- Heightened vigilance for any irregularities and reporting to ARMA

Inevitably, there will be a mixed population of air traffic being handled at the time of switchover however preparations to limit the amount of non RVSM aircraft should be increased prior to the switchover.

Repeated broadcasts of the pending switchover will be made to aircraft in flight commencing 45 minutes before switchover. Phraseology for broadcast as an example is:

"All stations, All stations, (*ACC identification*) Control Broadcast, RVSM operations commence at time 0001 HRS 28th September 2006."

4.4 Flow Management.

ACC's should apply flow management during the switchover period if required.

4.5 Staffing Levels at Time of Switchover.

- ACC staffing will be a major focus of attention with a need for backup staff, engineering staff and in particular software support as reflected in the FHA.
- Comprehensive briefings will be provided by supervisors to all operational staff during ToS.
- ACC management shall suspend operational training during the switchover period.

4.6 Weather Phenomena during Switchover Period

Any adverse weather phenomena, sand storms or volcanic activity will be reported immediately to the ARMA during the Switchover period to assist with contingency planning.

4.7 Contingency Planning.

Contingency plans are already in place for the normal operation of ACCs. The RVSM ATC manual provides some guidance on contingency procedures for degradation of aircraft equipment associated with height keeping or the occurrence of weather phenomena, which directly affect the ability of aircraft to maintain their allocated flight level.

ACCs should therefore review their contingency arrangements prior to switchover and then have them readily available during the switchover period for any eventuality. Various failure conditions will have to be considered.

4.8 Hazard Identification and Mitigation.

National Safety Plans shall satisfy the requirements of the AFI FHA Appendix E.2 (AFI RVSM Switchover Period) and Appendix F.2 (allocated safety requirements for AFI RVSM Switch over period.)

5. IMMEDIATE POST IMPLEMENTATION PHASE (SWITCHOVER – 28 SEPTEMBER 2006 PLUS 24 HOURS

Twenty four hours after the introduction of RVSM each FIR will be required to provide a report to ARMA. FIR's experiencing problems or envisaging problems will report as such so that remedial action can be suggested. The report shall also include any large height deviations, wake vortex encounters and any other reportable incident brought about by the implementation of RVSM.

6. CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The AFI RVSM Task Force has been tasked to provide a switchover plan for States to utilize as guidance material. The launch of the Switchover Plan will commence on acceptance by the AFI RVSM Task Force.
- 6.2 National Program Managers must action any additional requirements as issued periodically by the ARPO appropriate to the States switchover plans.
- 6.3 The Switchover from CVSM to RVSM will require further activity within States with further guidance and direction provided to ACCs by their Civil Aviation Authorities. Aircraft Operators will also need to note the flight planning aspects and the operational aspects of the switchover.

THE NATIONAL SAFETY PLAN VALIDATION PANEL (NSPVP)

COMMENTS AND GUIDANCE REPORT

The Panel was satisfied to see that the vast majority of the States used the NSP template as it was developed by the RVSM Task Force earlier this year. However, the Panel was concerned by what it perceived to be a lack of detail and substance behind the plans. In many cases, it seemed that the plans were completed simply by replacing blanks in the template with the name of the State but without specifying details of how the State is complying or what specific actions are being taken to comply with requirements or make its plans a reality.

It appeared to the Panel that often the text on the template was simply replaced with the name of the State and that no further examination of the text was made, often resulting in confusing or contradictory information. In that regard, the Panel recommends that States conduct a through examination of the template for application in the State.

Many of the details of activities are written in the past tense and they need to be updated to reflect current realities. For example, plans sometimes mention that training was conducted but there is no evidence to show that the training actually took place. In addition, the NSP template proposes two different texts for certain sections and States were expected to select the most appropriate and often this was not the case. States are reminded that they will need to continue to reflect the current situation and change the selected paragraph if so required.

The comments offered in this cover document apply to a majority of the States that sent NSPs for validation and assessment by this Panel. The attached document contains detailed comments on the NSP as submitted by your State. The NSP assessments were conducted by evaluating the State's compliance with each of the following eight sections:

- 1. Section 1: Introduction
- 2. Section 2: Aircraft and Operator Approvals for RVSM
- 3. Section 3: ATS Training
- 4. Section 4: ATS Equipment
- 5. Section 5: ATS Procedures
- 6. Section 6: Airspace Design
- 7. Section 7: RVSM Switchover
- 8. Section 8: Operational Monitoring of RVSM

In addition, the Panel reviewed NSPs for references to various documents that must be part of the NSP such as ICAO documentation, manuals, switchover plans, and others. An example of the minimum reference documentation is at **Attachment A**.

During the review process, the Panel found serious deficiencies with significant portions of the plans of the majority of States. Although those deficiencies are identified in the State's individual document, the Panel was of the opinion that these matters required further clarification and correction. The common areas requiring particular attention by the States are found below with suggestions on how they may be improved. The eight sections mentioned above share two elements judged to be deficient in the opinion of the Panel. The Panel was very concerned to find that there seems to be broad misunderstanding and confusion on how to address these two activities. The two activities are:

- 1. RVSM RISK MANAGEMENT and,
- 2. CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL OF ACTIVITIES

Given the importance of these two activities, the Panel decided to include particular comments about these in addition to the general comments about the eight sections mentioned above.

RISK MANAGEMENT

Given that Risk Management is a key activity to be described in each of the significant sections of the plan, the Panel is very concerned by the unsatisfactory responses received from the majority of the States. The Panel was of the opinion that there appears to be a broad misunderstanding of the activities that need to be undertaken in the area of Risk Management. It is the intent of the Panel to clarify the concept of Risk Management and what the Panel expects from States in future submissions of the NSP for review.

The templates on Risk Management provided to the States to assist them in the preparation of their safety plans, contained a list of Hazard Identification as well as proposed Mitigations for those hazards. States in their plans were requested to include in their NSPs the actions or activities to be undertaken by the States in order to comply or fulfill the various mitigations identified.

The responses that were reviewed by the Panel under the Actions/Activities column of the template showed that there was broad confusion and/or misunderstanding by States on what constituted appropriate actions/activities to fulfill the various mitigations listed. An example of a properly completed hazard log and mitigation tables is attached at **Attachment B**.

Risk is an integral part of any activity and in this case it is the responsibility of the civil aviation authorities to mitigate those risks by undertaking activities that bring those risks to an acceptable level. These activities need to have a level of detail and granularity that guarantees that the acceptable levels of risk will not be exceeded. This level of detail is missing from this plan.

Many plans seemed to have the necessary text to indicate that the actions have been taken but upon closer examination there is no backing evidence to indicate that the actions mentioned have actually occurred or how the State intends to ensure that the actions are appropriately executed.

In addition to the description of activities related to risk management, States should explain the process/methodology they used to review and adapt the FHA results to their national airspace. This explanation can be provided in the Appendix related to the State's hazard log matrices. To this end, States can refer to the guidance material provided during the NSP workshops (material is available from ARPO).

CRITERIA FOR APPROVAL OF ACTIVITIES

Criteria for approval of the necessary activities (ATS Training, ATS Equipment Changes, etc), prior to implementation are in the opinion of the Panel widely misunderstood by a majority of States. Consequently, NSPs were found to be generally vague when specifying and documenting criteria.

The Panel acknowledges that some States may have problems with identifying criteria. However, these criteria must be explicitly stated in the subsequent editions of the NSP. To this end, States are encouraged to use the regional guidance material as developed by the RVSM Task Force as appropriate as a basis for the criteria. Attachment C contains an example of approval criteria related to ATS training activities.

Included below are general comments by the Panel about each of the sections of the NSP. Specific comments about each of these sections related to your State NSP are included in the attached document.

DOCUMENT APPROVAL

The Panel found that the table that identifies the authorities that are responsible for various levels of implementation activities are often not filled or only filled partially. The Panel would like to remind authorities that the final edition of these plans will need to be signed by the designated authorities as reflected in the NSP. The Panel is of the opinion that this will help in certifying that the activities and plans contained in the document are substantiated and carried out in the State.

DOCUMENT CHANGE RECORD

The document change table needs to reflect all the successive editions of the plan including the present edition.

INTRODUCTION

Generally this section was properly completed with the appropriate substitutions on the part of States. This section contains information from States on their approach to RVSM implementation as well as information on individuals who will be responsible for RVSM implementation in that State. The Panel would like to stress the fact that this section is critical in that it places responsibility for various implementation activities on specific personnel within the administration. In that regard, States and specifically the authorities and personnel named as responsible for the activities in these plans are to be reminded that these documents will become a permanent part of Regional ICAO documentation for future reference.

States should note that the guidance material on the safe implementation of RVSM requires not only pre-implementation planning to assure a smooth transition but also specifies that specific follow up and monitoring activities need to be carried out in order to support post implementation safety assessment. These follow-up activities are critical in helping to ensure that safety levels are maintained at an acceptable level after implementation. The Panel would like to urge States that have not done so, to immediately consider the inclusion of the responsible team members for post implementation activities in their RVSM planning efforts in the introduction section.

AIRCRAFT AND OPERATOR APPROVALS

The Panel was concerned with the level of detail provided in the description of the awareness activities related to aircraft and operator approval. In this regard, the level of detail was, in the opinion of the Panel, not sufficiently documented. For example, there are no references made to mandatory AICs or to the existence of required committees that need to be established with the local operators.

The Panel would also like to remind that status reports of aircraft and operator approvals are required in this section. These reports among other details should include the number of operators, aircraft (civil and military), approved and not approved. In that regard, the Panel was concerned by the fact that most of the plans contain information not consistent with the ARMA database.

ATS TRAINING

A review of this section by the Panel found that there was a general lack of detailed training programs including refresher training related to RVSM. Panel commentaries are contained in the attached individual report.

In reviewing some training syllabus submitted the Panel noted that those documents were not consistent with mitigations related to training. States need to ensure that their training syllabus complies with the mitigation activities related to ATS training.

ATS EQUIPMENT

In reviewing this section the Panel found that the necessary equipment changes and associated planning activities in individual States were often poorly documented. The Panel would like to remind States that should these changes not be completed or carried out before implementation, States should develop a contingency plan to be included in the appendix of their NSP. This contingency plan should be able to accommodate possible changes in dates of implementation. In addition, States are reminded that both, technical and operational approvals of modified ATS equipment is required

ATS PROCEDURES

In general the Panel found that the need for simulation activities was often misunderstood. When simulations activities are planned, States need to describe those activities in detail, even if the simulations consist of desktop studies.

AIRSPACE DESIGN

The Panel was of the opinion that the Flight Level Allocation Scheme was often overlooked and that it needed to be considered and included as part of the airspace redesign effort. In that regard, States are reminded that they need to include this activity as part of their NSPs.

When simulations activities are planned, States need to describe those activities in detail, even if the simulations consist of desktop studies.

RVSM SWITCHOVER

In reviewing this section the Panel found that this item would need to be delayed due to the lack of guidance material at a regional level. However, States should start working on the mitigations reflected in the FHA with regard to the switchover period.

OPERATIONAL SAFETY MONITORING

The Panel found a significant number of NSP that utilised earlier editions of the templates and as a result, there was a wide variation in the quality and level of detail in the submitted plans. The Panel urges States to ensure that their operational safety monitoring plans use the newest templates as issued by the RVSM NSP Workshops.

In relation to Quality Assurance of Operational Safety Monitoring, States can describe any elements that provide confidence in the efficiency and quality of the post-implementation activities. For example, one element could be the review of the data collected, the documentation of that review, the experience of the people responsible for these activities, etc.

APPENDICES TO BE INCLUDED

In reviewing the NSPs, the Panel found that a significant number of NSPs did not include the required appendix listing the supporting reference documents as shown in Attachment A.

In addition, the following documents must be attached to the NSP:

- National RVSM Hazard Log Matrices (Core airspace and Switchover period)
- National Switchover Plan
- Reference Documents List
- ATS Equipment Contingency Plan (if appropriate)

ATTACHEMENT A: REFERENCES DOCUMENTATION APPENDIX

Note from the NSPVP: the following constitute the minimum references to be included in a specific appendix of the National Safety Plan. These documents should be available to the NSPVP upon request.

ICAO Document:

• ICAO Doc 9574

Regional documents:

- TGL6 edition 1 or FAA 91-RVSM
- ICAO Document 7030/4 AFI Regional Supps
- AFI RVSM ATC Manual
- AFI RVSM Switch-over Plan
- AFI RVSM Functional Hazard Assessment edition 0.1 12 May 2005

References related to the necessary activities prior to RVSM:

- [State] National RVSM Action Plan
- [State] RVSM ATC Manual
- [References of document(s) related to aircraft and operator RVSM approval awareness activities: AICs references, RVSM workshops reports, RVSM committee terms of reference...]
- [References of the State ATS training material]
- [reference of contract with the external supplier who will/has perform(ed) the necessary changes to ATS Equipment]

References related to the Approval of the necessary activities prior to RVSM:

- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the State training program: report or minutes of approval meeting...]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the modified ATS Equipment (technical approval): report or minutes of approval meeting...]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the modified ATS Equipment for operational use in ACC(s): report or minutes of approval meeting...]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the ATSU operations manual (for each ACC)]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the ACC amended agreements (LoA/P)(for each ACC)]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the Airspace Design changes: report or minutes of approval meeting...]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the approval of the State switch-over plan]

References related to the Quality Assurance Activities:

• [Reference of the documented evidence of the review of the training material by ACC operational and management staff]

- [Reference of the documented specification of the functional requirements for ATS Equipment changes]
- [Reference of the internal contractor software development procedures (for ATS Equipment changes)]
- [Reference of the modified ATS Equipment acceptance criteria]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the review of the ATSU operations manual by ACC operational and management staff]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the review of the amended LoA/Ps]
- [Reference of the documented description of the ATS simulations (or desktop exercises) for ATS procedures and Airspace Design changes]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the review of the Airspace Design changes: report or minutes of meeting...]
- [Reference of the documented evidence of the review of the State switch-over plan by ACC operational and management staff: report or minutes of meeting...]

ATTACHEMENT B: RVSM RISK MANAGEMENT - EXAMPLES

The process of review of the FHA results and of their adaptation to the national airspace is presented in detail in the guidance material provided during the NSP workshops (material is available from ARPO).

This process is based on a 4-steps approach that can be summarised as follows:

- Step 1 : Development of the hazard/risk log matrices (for the core airspace and the switch-over period) applicable to the State national airspace
- Step 2 : Assessment of hazard severity and specification of the safety objectives
- Step 3: Development of the mitigation strategy to ensure the acceptability of the risks associated to the hazards
- Step 4:
 - o Step 4a: Allocation of the mitigations to the RVSM System elements (ATS Training, ATS Equipment...)
 - o Step 4b: Identification of the project activities to be undertaken to ensure the implementation of the mitigations

Step 1 aims to develop the list of the hazards relevant to the national airspace. It is composed of FHA-proposed hazard (FHA Appendix D) and of additional hazards identified by the State (if any). The FHA-proposed hazards judged as not relevant (if any) should also be listed and the rationale of their exclusion should be provided.

Step 2 is an intermediate step. It should be reflected in the tables at State discretion. It aims to assess the effects of the hazards on the safety of RVSM operations (severity) and then to specify the safety objectives (maximum likelihood) according to these severities. It should be remembered that the combination of the severity and the safety objective of a given hazard represent the acceptable level of risk to be achieved.

Step 3 aims to specify the mitigation strategies. These strategies are derived from the severities and the safety objectives along two approaches and they are, the hazard control (reduction of the operational effects) and the hazard reduction (reduction of the likelihood). They are composed of mitigating factors expressed as safety requirements to be fulfilled to ensure an acceptable level of risk. States should review the mitigations proposed by the FHA (Appendix E) and to identify additional ones if appropriate.

Step 4 firstly aims to allocate these mitigations (safety requirements) to the national RVSM System (ATS Training, ATS Procedures...). States should review the allocation proposed by the FHA (Appendix F) and to allocate additional safety requirements as appropriate. Secondly, each mitigation should be associated to project actions/activities to be undertaken to ensure its implementation. These activities provide evidence that the State has/will undertake(n) the appropriate actions to ensure that the mitigation will be efficiently in place prior to the RVSM implementation.

It should be remembered that the FHA results are classified by operational environment. It means that States should identify the FHA operational environment(s) (ENV_X) applicable to their national airspace.

The following tables contain some examples that are illustrative and aim to provide clarifications:

For a given State in ENV 1 (controlled airspace with surveillance capabilities).

Extract of the State Hazard Log for its RVSM Core Airspace (Appendix of the Safety Plan)

Hazard ID	Hazard Description	Mitigations (safety requirements)
AH core_6	Loss of aircraft communications capabilities (voice)	Req Core_9 Radio Communications Failure procedures shall be defined. Req Core_10 Controllers shall be trained appropriately with regards to Radio Communications Failure procedures. Req Core_11 Flight crew shall be trained appropriately with regards to Radio Communications Failure procedures.
AH core_7	Loss of ground/air (ATC R/T) communications capabilities	Req Core_9 Radio Communications Failure procedures shall be defined. Req Core_10 Controllers shall be trained appropriately with regards to Radio Communications Failure procedures. Req Core_11 Flight crew shall be trained appropriately with regards to Radio Communications Failure procedures. Req Core_12 Air/Ground Communication system shall be designed to ensure a total coverage of the RVSM Airspace with a minimum MTBF of 2 months for a given FIR Req Core_13 Air/Ground Communications system maintenance procedures shall be defined to ensure a communication system recovery in MTTR defined in Service Level Agreement Req Core_14 Air/Ground Communications Maintenance team shall be trained appropriately with regards to Air/Ground Communication system maintenance procedures

Extract of the State table for excluded hazards (Appendix of the Safety Plan)

Hazard ID	Hazard description	Rationale for exclusion
AH core 10	Controller provides	This hazard is applicable to an uncontrolled airspace and is judged as not relevant to [State]
	incorrect traffic	airspace
	information	

Extract of the mitigation table related to ATS Training (§3.7 of the Safety Plan)

Mitigation	Actions / Activities	Hazard ID
Req Core_10 Controllers shall be	To update the State training material to reflect that RCF procedures (Ref:	AH core 6
trained appropriately with regards to	7030) will be addressed during training courses	AH core 7
Radio Communications Failure	To organise training sessions on contingency procedures	
procedures.	Responsible: Name of Head of Operational Training Services	
Req Core_14 Air/Ground	To check the Training Manual of Engineers to ensure that a course about	AH core 7
Communications Maintenance team	Air/Ground Communications maintenance has been run	_
shall be trained appropriately with	If not, to specify a specific course for Air/Ground Communications	
regards to Air/Ground	Maintenance to be attended by all technicians by not later than [date].	
Communication system maintenance	Responsible: Name of Head of Technical Training Services	
procedures.		

Extract of the mitigation table related to ATS Equipment (§4.7 of the Safety Plan)

Mitigation	Actions / Activities	Hazard ID
Req Core_12 Air/Ground	To verify if the Air/Ground Communication system provide a full	AH core_7
Communication system shall be	coverage of the FIR and if its performances meets a MTBF of 2 Months.	_
designed to ensure a total coverage	Evidence to be documented.	
of the RVSM Airspace with a	If the FIR is not full covered, to identify the required new/upgrade of the	
minimum MTBF of 2 months for a	equipment.	
given FIR	If the MTBF does not achieve the required level, to conduct a study to	
	improve equipment robustness	
	Responsible: Name of Head of Engineering Services	

Extract of the mitigation table related to ATS Procedures (§5.7 of the Safety Plan)

Mitigation	Actions / Activities	Hazard ID
Req Core_9 Radio Communications	To update the ACC Operations Manual to reflect that RCF procedures	AH core 6
Failure procedures shall be defined.	described in ICAO 7030/4 are addressed	AH core_7
	Responsible : Name of Head of ACC Operations	_
Req Core_13 Air/Ground	To define the acceptable MTTR at a service level	AH core 7
Communications system	To verify if the procedure in place are sufficient to meet this MTTR.	_
maintenance procedures shall be	If not, to improve the current procedure.	
defined to ensure a communication	Responsible : Name of Head of Engineering Services	
system recovery in MTTR defined in		
Service Level Agreement		

ATTACHEMENT C: APPROVAL CRITERIA - EXAMPLES

This attachment aims to provide guidance to States on how to provide supporting criteria for the various activities that need to be carried out during the RVSM planning and implementation process. The example below refers to criteria related to the approval of the State's training material. The same rationale or methodology can be applied to specify approval criteria applicable to other activities such as ATS equipment, airspace design, etc. This example is illustrative and aims to provide clarification.

In this case the assumption is made that:

Firstly, the basis for the State Training Material is the AFI RVSM Training Guidance Material approved by the AFI RVSM Task Force for application within the AFI Region. The approval could thus be based on this regional material.

Secondly, States have developed mitigations related to ATS Training. These mitigations should be reflected in the Training Material as appropriate.

Thirdly, quality assurance activities have been undertaken during the development of the Training material. These activities include the review of the material by ACC management and operational staff and the approval can be based on the outcome of this review.

As a conclusion, the approval of the State Training Material can be based on the following criteria:

- Consistent with the AFI RVSM Training Guidance Material
- Reflecting and addressing the State mitigations related to ATS Training
- The outcome of the review of the material by ACC management and operational staff is documented and available.

TEMPLATE

LETTER OF PROCEDURE/LETTER OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN

	AREA CONTROL CENTRE ANDAREA CONTROL CENTRE
1.	PREAMBLE
-	The authorized representatives of
and	This letter of Agreement supersedes and cancels the existing Letters of Agreement between dated
2.	EFFECTIVE DATE
	The provisions in the Letter of Agreement shall be implemented on at 0001 UTC.
3.	OBJECTIVE
	The objective of this Letter of Agreement is to specify co-ordination procedures between and
4.	SCOPE
and the Reg	The procedures contained herein are supplementary to the ICAO Standards and ded Practices in Annexes 2 and 11, the Procedures for Air Navigation Services in Document 4444 ional Supplementary Procedures (Doc 7030). They detail the conditions under which the for the provision of air traffic services shall be transferred between the ATS units mentioned in above.
of airspace v defined in pa	This Letter of Agreement also formalises the delegation of responsibility from to
5.	AMENDMENTS
5.1 the consent of applicability.	Any change to this Letter of Agreement, including its cancellation or replacement, requires of the ATS units concerned. This applies to the substance of the change as well as to its date of Any change shall be made either in the context of a meeting between the two units, or by

exchange of correspondence, or by exchange of AFTN messages, with acknowledgement by all signatories.

concerned, as specified in paragraph 8.1 below, permanent amendments to this document shall be effective

only in the form of a written amendment duly signed by authorized representatives.

5.2

Whilst temporary deviations from these procedures may be agreed between the ACC supervisors

6 AFI RVSM AIRSPACE

- 6.1 The AFI Region airspace between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive, encompassing all FIRs in the AFI Region is the designated AFI RVSM airspace.
- 6.2 There is no transition airspace in the AFI RVSM airspace.

6.3 PROCEDURES FOR THE AFI RVSM AIRSPACE

- 6.3.1 The applicable RVSM procedures in the AFI RVSM airspace are contained in the Regional Supplementary Procedures Doc. 7030/4 African Indian Ocean Region. The detailed procedures are contained in the ATC Operations Manual for RVSM in AFI Region.
- 6.3.2 RVSM compliant aircraft and non-RVSM compliant aircraft entering RVSM airsapce from a non-RVSM airspace shall be established at a flight level in accordance with the ICAO Table of Cruising Levels, as published in ICAO, Annex 2, Appendix 3, (a).
 - 6.3.3 The following table contains RVSM FL applicable in the AFI RVSM airspace.

$\leftarrow \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Route from	m 180 degrees to 359 degrees*	Route from 000 degrees to 179 degree	egrees *
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		← FL 430 (nor	RVSM level above RVSM airspace)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			FL410	→
		FL400		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			FL390	→
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	←	FL380		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			FL370	>
 ← FL340 ← FL330 ← FL320 ← FL310 ← FL300 	←	FL360		
FL330 → ← FL320 FL310 → ← FL300			FL350	\rightarrow
← FL320 FL310 → FL300	←	FL340		
FL310 → ← FL300			FL330	→
← FL300	←	FL320		
			FL310	\rightarrow
FL290 →	←	FL300		
			FL290	\rightarrow

6.3.4 Flight operations within the AFI RVSM airspace.

6.3.4.1 Except for State aircraft as defined in Article 2 to the Chicago Convention (Doc. 7333) only RVSM approved aircraft shall be approved to operate within the AFI RVSM airspace.

6.4 CONTINGENCY <u>PROCEDURES FOR INCREASED SEPARATION</u>

6.4.1 (Name) ACC will consider increasing vertical separation within affected areas of the (Name) FIR RVSM airspace when there are pilot reports of greater than moderate turbulence. Within areas where significant turbulence is reported, vertical separation minimum between all aircraft will be increased.

7. PROCEDURES

7.1 Movement and control messages

7.1.1 Flight plans

Filed Flight Plan (FPL) messages shall be transmitted for flights originating within one FIR and entering the other, not less than minutes before the estimated time of the aircraft over the common FIR boundary.

7.1.2 Departures

Departure (DEP) messages shall be transmitted for all flights mentioned in 7.1.1 above, as soon as practicable after the aircraft is airborne.

7.1.3 Estimates

Estimate (EST) messages shall be transmitted for all flights crossing the common FIR boundary, in sufficient time to permit its receipt by the receiving ATS unit at least minutes before the estimated time of the aircraft over the transfer points specified in paragraph 7.4.1 below.

7.1.4 Revisions

Co-ordination (CDN) messages shall be transmitted as soon as practicable whenever the estimated time of the aircraft over the transfer point differs by minutes or more from the estimated time originally passed or when a change of cleared level and/or crossing condition is planned.

7.1.5 Acceptance

Co-ordination messages (EST and CDN) require an operational acceptance, in the form of an acceptance (ACP) message, to be transmitted to the transferring unit.

7.2 Message transmission and co-ordination procedures

- 7.2.1 FPL Messages shall be transmitted via AFTN. DEP messages shall be transmitted by AFTN or ATS/DS or both as applicable.
- 7.2.2 Co-ordination messages (EST, CDN and ACP) shall be transmitted using (the ATS direct speech circuits (ATS/DS) as applicable.

- 7.2.3 In case of non-availability of the ATS direct speech circuit between the ATS units concerned, the transferring ATS unit shall forward the relevant flight data to the receiving ATS unit by means of HF radiotelephone (RTF) and/or AFTN.
- 7.2.4 When effecting the necessary co-ordination by use of the AFTN or HF RTF the transferring ATS unit shall send the appropriate co-ordination message in sufficient time to permit its receipt by the receiving ATS unit at least minutes prior to the aircraft's estimated time over the transfer point.
- 7.2.5 After co-ordination of the transfer, the conditions of transfer shall not be changed by the transferring unit, unless prior agreement has been obtained from the accepting unit.
- 7.2.6 In case of flights departing from aerodromes (.....) for which, due to their proximity to the FIR boundary, application of the procedures set out in 7.1.2 above would not be possible after departure, coordination between the transferring ATS unit and the accepting ATS unit shall be effected prior to the issuance of the ATC clearance to the aircraft concerned.
- 7.2.7 In the event of communications failure between the ATS units concerned, a departing aircraft shall be cleared only to such a level as can be reached before it arrives within 10 minutes flying time from the transfer of control point. If such a level is lower than that specified in the flight plan, the aircraft shall be instructed to request approval for a higher level direct from the accepting unit and then obtain clearance from the transferring unit to climb to the level approved by the accepting unit.

7.3 Transfer of communications

- 7.3.1 Aircraft shall be instructed to establish communications with the accepting unit 5 minutes before the transfer of control point. Transfer of communications does not constitute transfer of control.
- 7.3.2 In case of communications failure between the ATS units concerned, the transferring ATS unit will inform the aircraft of the absence of co-ordination between the two ATS units and will instruct the aircraft to establish contact with the accepting ATS unit 10 minutes before the boundary in order to provide it with the necessary flight data.
- 7.3.3 Whenever the accepting ATS unit is unable to establish contact with an aircraft within minutes after its estimated time over the transfer point, it shall inform the transferring ATS unit so that appropriate measures may be taken.
- 7.3.4 With reference to paragraph 10.4.2.4.4 of Part VIII of the PANS-ATM, the accepting ATS unit need not, as a matter of routine, notify the transferring ATS unit that radiocommunication has been established with an aircraft being transferred.
- 7.3.5 Whenever an aircraft is unable to establish or maintain radio communication with the ATS unit responsible for the provision of air traffic services in the airspace in which it is operating, other ATS units shall, if possible, assume relay functions between them.
- 7.3.6 Primary frequency assignment for transfer of communications is as follows:

ATS route ATS unit call sign Frequency

7.3.7 Secondary frequency assignment, for use when no contact can be made on the primary frequencies, is as follows:

ATS route ATS unit call sign Frequency

7.4 Transfer of responsibility

7.4.1 Responsibility for the provision of air traffic services shall be transferred to the accepting unit at the following significant points:

ATS route	Transfer of Control point
a)	(e.g. ABAB at 3030S 9015E, or bearing a distance
	from a VOR/DME)
b)	(or bearing a distance from a VOR/DME)

- 7.4.2 If transfer of responsibility is required at points other than those specified in 6.4.1 above, this shall be co-ordinated individually for each flight.
- 7.4.3 The accepting unit shall assume responsibility of a transferred aircraft as soon as it has reported to that unit passing the appropriate transfer point. There is no requirement for additional transfer or acceptance messages unless requested.
- 7.4.4 Control of traffic communicating with the accepting unit shall not be assumed prior to the aircraft passing the transfer point, unless specifically agreed by the transferring unit.

7.5 Flight levels

7.5.1 Aircraft outside ATS route shall be assigned flight levels as follows:

ATS route From To Flight Levels

7.6 Separation

- 7.6.1 Aircraft at the same level shall be longitudinally separated by not less than 10 minutes.
- 7.6.2 When the succeeding aircraft is faster than the preceding aircraft, the transferring unit shall notify the accepting unit and seek its approval of the transfer of control. The accepting unit shall have the right to determine the transfer of control conditions.

7.7 Clearance limit

7.7.1 The clearance limit shall normally be the destination aerodrome. However, if the necessary co-ordination cannot be effected in good time (paragraph 6.4 refers) e.g. due to communications failure

between ATS units, the clearance limit shall be the transfer point and the aircraft instructed to request onward clearance from the accepting unit before proceeding beyond that point.

7.8

Weather Information

	ATS units shall keep each other informed of SIGMET information and of weather conditions aerodromes within their respective FIRs whenever such conditions may fall below aircraft time and consequently may result in diversion or holding for weather improvement.
7.9	Flow control (if applicable)
acceptable nu soon as circu	Should it become necessary to implement flow control to avoid excessive delays at erodromes within their respective FIRs, ATS units shall negotiate and agree a mutually imber of aircraft per hour. All such agreements shall be terminated at as mustances permit resumption of normal operations. The decision of the ACC supervisors shall authority in all such cases.
8.	Deviations
8.1 in exceptional	Deviation from the procedures specified in this Letter of Agreement shall only be permitted circumstances and not without prior co-ordination on a case-by-case basis.
	Any deviations from these provisions, that arise due to an emergency or are applied to fety of air traffic, shall immediately be notified to the other ATS unit(s) concerned and shall be soon as the circumstances that caused the deviation cease to exist.
9.	Search and Rescue
9.1 and indicated in A procedure.	Search and Rescue operation within the respective areas of responsibility of shall be conducted in full compliance with the Standards and Recommended practices annex 12 to the Chicago Convention and the related organization of National Search and Rescue
10. Auth	orized signatories
For	
Place	
Date	

PROPOSAL FOR AMENDMENT TO THE REGIONAL SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEDURES – DOC.7030/4 AFRICAN INDIAN OCEAN (AFI) REGION

a) Proposed by:

AFI Planning and Implementation Regional Group (APIRG)

b) Proposed amendment: (cf. Regional Supplementary Procedures, Doc.7030/4 – AFI, Part 1, Rules of the Air, Air Traffic Services and Search and Rescue, incorporating Amendment No...). Editorial note: Amendments are arranged to show added text with grey shading (text to be inserted).

Amend the SUPPs in the AFI Region as follows:

AFI REGIONAL SUPPLEMENTARY PROCEDURES

PART 1 – RULES OF THE AIR, AIR TRAFFIC SERVICES AND SEARCH AND RESCUE

These procedures are supplementary to the provisions contained in Annex 2, Annex 6 (Part II), Annex 11, PANS-ATM (Doc 4444) and PANS-OPS (Doc 8168).

1.0 FLIGHT RULES

1.5 Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum (RVSM) of 300 m (1,000 ft)

- 1.5.1 Area of Applicability
- 1.5.2 RVSM shall be applicable in that volume of airspace between FL290 and FL410 inclusive in the following flight information regions/upper flight information regions (FIRs/UIRs):

Accra, Addis Ababa, Algiers, Antananarivo, Asmara, Beira, Brazzaville, Cairo, Canarias, Cape Town, Casablanca, Dakar, Dakar Oceanic, Dar es Salaam, Entebbe, Gaborone, Harare, Johannesburg, Johannesburg Oceanic, Kano, Khartoum, Kinshasa, Lilongwe, Luanda, Lusaka, Mauritius, Mogadishu, Nairobi, N'Djamena, Niamey, Roberts, Sal Oceanic, Seychelles, Tripoli, Tunis, Windhoek.

Note. - The volume of airspace specified in 1.5.2 will be referred to as "AFI RVSM airspace

2.0 FLIGHT PLANS

2.1..3 RVSM Approval status and aircraft registration

2.1.3.1 Item 10 of the flight plan (Equipment) shall be annotated with the letter W if the aircraft and operator have received RVSM State approval. Furthermore, the aircraft registration shall be indicated in Item 18 of the flight plan.

2.3 RVSM Approval status and aircraft registration

2.3.1 Item 10 of the flight plan (Equipment) shall be annotated with the letter W if the aircraft and operator have received RVSM State approval. Furthermore, the aircraft registration shall be indicated in Item 18 of the flight plan.

2.3.2 Submission of a flight plan

- 2.3.2.1 Information relative to an intended flight or portion of a flight, to be provided to air traffic services units, shall be in the form of a flight plan.
- 2.3.2.2 In addition to military operations, operators of customs or police aircraft shall insert the letter M in Item 8 of the ICAO flight plan form.

2.3.3 Use of repetitive flight plans

- 2.3.3.1 Provision shall be made so that: repetitive flight plans be accepted for any flight conducted in the AFI RVSM airspace.
- 2.3.3.2 Operators of RVSM approved aircraft shall also include the letter W in Item Q of the RPL, regardless of the requested flight level. If a change of aircraft operated in accordance with a repetitive flight plan results in a modification of the RVSM approval status as stated in Item Q, a modification message (CHG) shall be submitted by the operator

2.3.4 Flight planning for RVSM approved aircraft

- 2.3 4.1 Operators of RVSM approved aircraft shall indicate the approval status by inserting the letter W in Item 10 of the ICAO flight plan form, regardless of the requested flight level.
- 2.3.4.2 Operators of RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft intending to operate within the AFI RVSM airspace, as specified in 2.1, shall include the following in Item 15 of the ICAO flight plan form:
- a) the entry point at the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the RVSM entry point; and
- b) the exit point at the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the RVSM exit point.
- 2.3.4.3 Operators of non-RVSM approved State aircraft with a requested flight level of FL 290 or above shall insert STS/NON RVSM in Item 18 of the ICAO flight plan form.

2.3.5. Flight planning for non-RVSM approved aircraft

- 2.3.5.1 Operators of non-RVSM approved aircraft shall flight plan to operate outside the AFI RVSM airspace.
- 2.3.5.2 Operators of non-RVSM approved aircraft intending to operate from a departure aerodrome outside the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace to a destination aerodrome within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace shall include the following in Item 15 of the ICAO flight plan form:
- a) the entry point at the lateral limit of the AFI RVSM airspace; and
- b) a requested flight level below FL 290 or above FL410 for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the entry point.
- 2.3.5.3 Operators of non-RVSM approved aircraft intending to operate from a departure aerodrome to a destination aerodrome which are both within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace shall include in Item 15 of the ICAO flight plan form a requested flight level below FL 290 or above FL410.

- 2.3.5.4 Operators of non-RVSM approved aircraft intending to operate from a departure aerodrome within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace to a destination aerodrome outside the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace shall include the following in Item 15 of the ICAO flight plan form:
- a) a requested flight level below FL 290 or above FL410 for that portion of the route within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace; and
- b) the exit point at the lateral limit of the AFI RVSM airspace, and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the exit point.
- 2.3.5.5 Operators of non-RVSM approved aircraft intending to operate from a departure aerodrome to a destination aerodrome which are both outside the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace, with a portion of the route within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace, shall include the following in Item 15 of the ICAO flight plan form:
- a) the entry point at the lateral limit of the AFI RVSM airspace, and a requested flight level below FL 290 or above FL 410 for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the entry point; and
- b) the exit point at the lateral limit of the AFI RVSM airspace, and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the exit point.

Note. Non-RVSM aircraft intending to operate above FL 410 will need to flight plan in accordance with RVSM procedures of neighbouring regions should the flight commence or terminate in those regions.

3.0 AIR-GROUND COMMUNICATIONS AND IN-FLIGHT REPORTING

3.4 Air-Ground Communication Failure Procedures

3.4.1 As soon as it is known that two-way communication has failed, ATC shall maintain a vertical separation of 600m (2000ft) between an aircraft with radio communication failure and another aircraft when both aircraft are operating within the AFI RVSM airspace in accordance with the FLAS, unless the horizontal separation between the aircraft is considered adequate. The foregoing is based on the assumption that the aircraft will operate in accordance with 3.4.2 or 3.4.3.

4.0 SPECIAL PROCEDURES FOR IN-FLIGHT CONTINGENCIES

4.1.4 Although all possible contingencies cannot be covered, they provide for cases of inability to maintain assigned level due to weather, aircraft performance, pressurization failure and problems associated with high-level supersonic flight. They are applicable primarily when rapid descent and/or turnback or diversion to an alternate airport are required. The pilot's judgment shall determine the sequence of actions taken, taking into account specific circumstances.

4.6 Special Procedures for in-flight contingencies involving a loss of vertical navigation performance required for flight within the AFI RVSM airspace

4.6.1 General

- 4.6.1.1 An in-flight contingency affecting flight in the AFI RVSM airspace pertains to unforeseen circumstances that directly impact on the ability of one or more aircraft to operate in accordance with the vertical navigation performance requirements of the AFI RVSM airspace, as specified in 1.5.2 Such inflight contingencies can result from degradation of aircraft equipment associated with height-keeping, and from turbulent atmospheric conditions.
- **4.6.**1.2 The pilot shall inform air traffic control as soon as possible of any circumstances where the vertical navigation performance requirements for the AFI RVSM airspace cannot be maintained. In such cases, the pilot shall obtain a revised air traffic control clearance prior to initiating any deviation from the cleared route and/or flight level, whenever possible. Where a revised air traffic control clearance could not be obtained prior to such a deviation, the pilot shall obtain a revised clearance as soon as possible thereafter.
- **4.6.1.3** Air traffic control shall render all possible assistance to a pilot experiencing an in-flight contingency. Subsequent air traffic control actions will be based on the intentions of the pilot, the over-all air traffic situation, and the real-time dynamics of the contingency.

4.6.2 Degradation of aircraft equipment — pilot reported

- **4.6.2.1** When informed by the pilot of an RVSM approved aircraft operating in the AFI RVSM airspace that the aircraft's equipment no longer meets the RVSM MASPS, as specified in 18, air traffic control shall consider the aircraft as non-RVSM approved.
- **4.6.2.2** Air traffic control shall take action immediately to provide a minimum vertical separation of 600 m (2 000 ft) in accordance with FLAS, or an appropriate horizontal separation from all other aircraft concerned operating in the AFI RVSM airspace. An aircraft rendered non-RVSM approved shall normally be cleared out of the AFI RVSM airspace by air traffic control, when it is possible to do so.
- **4.6.2.3** Pilots shall inform air traffic control, as soon as practicable, of any restoration of the proper functioning of equipment required to meet the RVSM MASPS.
- **4.6**.2.4 The first ACC/UAC to become aware of a change in an aircraft's RVSM status shall coordinate with adjacent ACCs/UACs, as appropriate.

4.6.3 Severe turbulence — not forecast

- **4.6**.3.1 When an aircraft operating in the AFI RVSM airspace encounters severe turbulence due to weather or wake vortex that the pilot believes will impact the aircraft's capability to maintain its cleared flight level, the pilot shall inform ATC. Air traffic control shall establish either an appropriate horizontal separation or an increased minimum vertical separation.
- **4.6**.3.2 Air traffic control shall, to the extent possible, accommodate pilot requests for flight level and/or route changes, and pass traffic information, as required.

- **4.6.3.3** Air traffic control shall solicit reports from other aircraft to determine whether RVSM should be suspended entirely or within a specific flight level band and/or area.
- **4.6.**3.4 The ACC/UAC suspending RVSM shall coordinate any such suspension(s), and any required adjustments to sector capacities with adjacent ACCs/UACs, as appropriate, to ensure an orderly progression to the transfer of traffic.

4.6.4 Severe turbulence — forecast

- **4.6.4.**1 Where a meteorological forecast is predicting severe turbulence within the AFI RVSM airspace, air traffic control shall determine whether RVSM should be suspended and, if so, the period of time, and specific flight level(s) and/or area.
- **4.6.4.2** In cases where RVSM will be suspended, the ACC/UAC suspending RVSM shall coordinate with adjacent ACCs/UACs with regard to the flight levels appropriate for the transfer of traffic, unless a contingency flight level allocation scheme has been determined by letter of agreement. The ACC/UAC suspending RVSM shall also coordinate applicable sector capacities with adjacent ACCs/UACs, as appropriate.

5.0 ATC clearance into the AFI RVSM airspace

- 5.1 Only RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft shall, subject to ATM capacity be issued an air traffic control clearance to join and operate within the AFI RVSM airspace.
- 5.2 Non-RVSM aircraft intending to climb or descend uninterrupted through the AFI RVSM airspace shall be given appropriate ATC clearance.
- 5.3 Air traffic control clearance into the AFI RVSM airspace shall <u>not</u> be issued to formation flights of aircraft.

6.0 SEPARATION OF AIRCRAFT

6.3 Reduced vertical separation minimum (RVSM)

- 6.3.1. Between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive within the AFI RVSM airspace, the vertical separation minimum shall be:
- a) 300 m (1 000 ft) between RVSM approved aircraft;
- b) 600 m (2 000 ft) between:
 - non-RVSM approved State aircraft and any other aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM airspace in accordance with FLAS;
- 6.3.2 ATC shall provide a minimum vertical separation of 600 m (2 000 ft) between an aircraft experiencing a communications failure in flight and any other aircraft, where both aircraft are operating within the AFI RVSM airspace in accordance with FLAS.

10.2 Computer-assisted coordination process

- 10.2.1 Procedures
- 10.2.2 Operational procedure
- 10.2. 2.1 The following basic rules shall apply for the use of EST and ACT messages:
- a) These messages shall be automatically generated, exchanged and processed to obviate human intervention to the extent practicable.
- b) A single message shall be sent in respect of each flight due to be transferred and any subsequent revision shall be the subject of verbal coordination.
- c) The message shall provide the most recent information available on all transfer conditions at the time of transmission.
- d) Acceptance by the receiving unit of the transfer conditions implied in the message shall be assumed, unless the receiving unit initiates verbal coordination to amend the transfer conditions.

Note.— Bilateral arrangement may be required to cover the event of failure of the ATS direct speech circuit.

- e) There shall be bilateral agreement as to the boundary point and transmission times for each route. The normal transmission time shall be 15 minutes before the flight concerned is expected to cross the boundary.
- f) In the event of data not being correlated by the receiving computer with an appropriate entry in its flight plan database, the computer shall originate a warning to the appropriate air traffic control sector to take necessary action for the acquisition of missing flight plan details. This shall normally involve a telephone inquiry.
- g) In the event of incomprehensible or illogical data being detected within the message, the computer shall initiate an appropriate warning to the air traffic control sector involved, if this can be determined, for further action.

Note. — Any system-initiated warning shall require reversion to verbal coordination.

- h) If the receiving unit has not received a flight plan, the sending air traffic control unit shall verbally inform the receiving unit of whether or not the aircraft is RVSM approved.
- i) When an automated message does not contain the information filed in Item 18 of the ICAO flight plan form relevant to RVSM operations, the sending air traffic control unit shall inform the receiving unit of that information by supplementing the ACT message verbally, using the term "NEGATIVE RVSM" or "NEGATIVE RVSM STATE AIRCRAFT", as applicable.

- j) When a verbal coordination process is being used, the sending air traffic control unit shall include the information filed in Item 18 of the ICAO flight plan form relevant to RVSM operations at the end of the verbal estimate message, using the term "NEGATIVE RVSM" or "NEGATIVE RVSM STATE AIRCRAFT", as applicable.
- When a single aircraft is experiencing an in-flight contingency which impacts on RVSM operations, the associated coordination message(s) shall be supplemented verbally by a description of the cause of the contingency.

11.0 ALERTING AND SEARCH AND RESCUE SERVICES

14. Special procedures applicable to designated airspaces

- **14**.1 RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft entering the AFI RVSM airspace from a non-RVSM environment
- 14.2 RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft entering the AFI RVSM airspace from a non-RVSM environment shall be established at a flight level in accordance with:
- a) the Tables of Cruising Levels, as published in ICAO Annex 2, Appendix 3, a); and/or
- b) a flight level allocation scheme, if applicable; and/or
- c) as specified in an inter area control centre (ACC) letter of agreement.
- 14.3 Any changes from non-RVSM levels to RVSM flight levels shall be initiated by the first ACC/upper area control centre (UAC) providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC, unless otherwise specified in an inter ACC letter of agreement.
- 14.4 Aircraft entering a non-RVSM environment from the AFI RVSM airspace
- 14.4.1 Aircraft entering a non-RVSM environment from the AFI RVSM airspace shall be established with the applicable vertical separation minimum.
- 14.4.2 The applicable vertical separation minimum shall be established by the last ACC/UAC providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC.
- **14.**4.3 Such aircraft shall be established at a flight level in accordance with:
- a) the Tables of Cruising Levels, as published in ICAO Annex 2, Appendix 3, b); and/or
- b) a flight level allocation scheme, if applicable; and/or

c) as specified in an inter ACC letter of agreement.

14.5 Non-RVSM approved civil aircraft operations

- 14.5.1 Non-RVSM approved civil aircraft operating from a departure aerodrome outside the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace with a destination aerodrome within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace:
- a) shall be cleared to a flight level below FL 290; and
- b) any such flight level changes shall be initiated by the first ACC/UAC providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC.
- 14.5.2 Non-RVSM approved aircraft operating from a departure aerodrome to a destination aerodrome which are both within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace shall be cleared to a flight level below FL 290.
- 14.5.3 Non-RVSM approved aircraft operating from a departure aerodrome within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace to a destination aerodrome outside the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace:
- a) shall be cleared to a flight level below FL 290; and
- b) may be cleared to FL 290 or above by the last ACC/UAC providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and any such flight level changes shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC.
- 14.5.4 Non-RVSM approved aircraft operating from a departure aerodrome to a destination aerodrome limits of the AFI RVSM airspace, with a portion of the route RVSM airspace:

 which are both outside the lateral within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace:
- a) shall be cleared to a flight level below FL 290 or above FL 410 by the first ACC/UAC providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and any such flight level changes shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC, in accordance with the flight level allocation system (FLAS), if applicable, and/or as specified in an inter ACC letter of agreement; and
- b) may subsequently be cleared to a requested flight level within, or through, the AFI RVSM airspace by the last ACC/UAC providing air traffic control service to the aircraft within the AFI RVSM airspace, and any such flight level changes shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC.

15. Phraseology related to RVSM Operations in the AFI RVSM AIRSPACE (P-ATM)

15.1 Controller/pilot RTF phraseology

Phrase Meaning	Phrase Meaning
(call sign) CONFIRM RVSM APPROVED	For a controller to ascertain the RVSM approval status of an aircraft.
NEGATIVE RVSM*	For a pilot to report non-RVSM approval status:
	a) on the initial call on any frequency within the AFI RVSM airspace (controllers shall provide read back with this same phrase); and
	b) in all requests for flight level changes pertaining to flight levels within the AFI RVSM airspace; and
	c) in all read backs to flight level clearances pertaining to flight levels within the AFI RVSM airspace. Additionally, except for State aircraft, pilots shall include this RTF phrase to read back flight level clearances involving the vertical transit through FL 290 or FL 410.
AFFIRM RVSM*	For a pilot to report RVSM approval status.
NEGATIVE RVSM STATE AIRCRAFT*	For a pilot of a non-RVSM approved State aircraft to report non-RVSM approval status, in response to the RTF phrase (call sign) CONFIRM RVSM APPROVED.
UNABLE RVSM DUE TURBULENCE*	Denial of air traffic control clearance into the AFI RVSM airspace.
UNABLE RVSM DUE EQUIPMENT*	For a pilot to report that the aircraft's equipment has degraded below the MASPS required for flight within the AFI RVSM airspace. This phrase is to be used to convey both the initial indication of the non-MASPS compliance, and henceforth, on initial contact on all frequencies within the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM airspace until such time as the problem ceases to exist, or the aircraft has exited RVSM airspace.
READY TO RESUME RVSM*	For a pilot to report the ability to resume operation within the AFI RVSM airspace after an equipment or weather-related contingency.

Phrase Meaning	Phrase Meaning
REPORT ABLE TO RESUME RVSM	For a controller to confirm that an aircraft has regained its RVSM approval status, or to confirm that the pilot is ready to
	resume RVSM operations.

Note.-*indicates a pilot transmission

15.2 Phraseology between ATS units

NEGATIVE RVSM or NEGATIVE RVSM STATE AIRCRAFT [as applicable]	To verbally supplement an automated estimate message exchange that does not automatically transfer Item 18 information. Also used to verbally supplement estimate messages of non-RVSM approved aircraft.
UNABLE RVSM DUE TURBULENCE [or EQUIPMENT, as applicable]	To communicate the cause of a contingency relating to an aircraft that is unable to conduct RVSM operations due to severe turbulence or other severe weather-related phenomenon [or equipment failure, as applicable]. End of new text.

16 RVSM Approval

- 16.1 Except for State aircraft, operators intending to conduct flights within the volume of airspace specified in 14.1.2 where RVSM is applied shall require an RVSM approval either from the State in which the operator is based or from the State in which the aircraft is registered. To obtain RVSM approval, operators shall satisfy the said State that:
- a) aircraft for which the RVSM approval is sought have the vertical navigation performance capability required for RVSM operations through compliance with criteria of the RVSM minimum aircraft systems performance specifications (MASPS);
- b) they have instituted procedures in respect of continued airworthiness (maintenance and repair) practices and programmes; and they have instituted flight crew procedures for operations in AFI RVSM airspace specified in 14.1.2
- Note 1.— An RVSM approval is not restricted to a specific region. Instead, it is valid globally on the understanding that any operating procedures specific to a given region, in this case the AFI Region, should be stated in the operations manual or appropriate crew guidance.
- Note 2.— Aircraft that have received State approval for RVSM operations will be referred to as "RVSM approved aircraft".
- Note 3.— Aircraft that have not received State approval for RVSM operations will be referred to as "non-RVSM approved aircraft".

17 Minimum Aircraft Systems Performance Specification (MASPS) (Doc 9426-ATS Planning Manuel)

- 17.1 The characteristics of total vertical error (TVE) distribution form the basis of the MASPS which were developed to support the introduction of RVSM operations in accordance with agreed global safety standards. The MASPS were designed to ensure that:
- a) in respect of groups of aircraft that with respect to all details that could influence the accuracy of height-keeping performance, height-keeping capability shall be such that TVE for the group of aircraft shall have a mean no greater than 25 m (80ft) in magnitude and shall have standard deviation no greater than 92 0.004z for 0<_z<0 where z is the magnitude of the mean TVE in feet or 28 0.013z for 0 < z < 25 when z is in metres. In addition, the components of TVE must have the following characteristics:
 - the mean altimetry system error (ASE) of the group shall not exceed 25 m (80ft) in magnitude;
 - 2) the sum of the absolute value of the mean ASE and of three standard deviations of ASE shall not exceed 75 m (245 ft); and
 - 3) the differences between cleared flight levels and the indicated pressure altitude actually flown shall be symmetric about a mean of 0 m, with standard deviation no greater than 13.3 m (43.7 ft), and in addition, the decrease in frequency of differences with increasing difference magnitude shall be at least exponential.
 - b) in respect of a non-group aircraft for which the characteristics of the airframe and altimetry system fit are unique and so cannot be classified as belongings to a group of aircraft, height-keeping performance capability shall be such that the components of the TVE of the aircraft have the following characteristics:
 - 1) the ASE of non-group aircraft shall not exceed 60 m (200 ft) in magnitude under all flight conditions; and
 - 2) the differences between the cleared flight level and the indicated pressure altitude actually flown shall be symmetric about a mean of 0 m, with a standard deviation no greater than 13.3 m (43.7 ft), and in addition, the decrease in frequency of differences with increasing difference magnitude shall be at least exponential.
- 17.2 Guidance material of use to those involved in the initial achievement and continued maintenance of the height-keeping performance capability has been issued by ICAO under the title Manual on the Implementation of a 300 m (1,000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum (VSM) between FL290 and FL410 Inclusive. Detailed technical guidance material on the airworthiness, continued airworthiness, and the operational practices and procedures for AFI airspace is provided in the Joint Aviation Authorities Administrative and Guidance Material, Section one: General, part 3: Leaflet No. 6

18. RVSM Monitoring

18.1 Adequate monitoring of flight operations in the AFI RVSM airspace shall be conducted to assist in the assessment of continuing compliance of aircraft with the height-keeping capabilities in 17. Monitoring shall include assessment of other sources of risk to ensure that the TLS specified in 19-18, is not exceeded.

Note.— Details of the policy and procedures for monitoring established by the AFI Monitoring Agency (South Africa) are contained in the Guidance Material on the Implementation of a 300 m (1000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum (VSM) for Application in the AFI Region are contained in ICAO Doc 9574 and other appropriate documentations on the subject.

19. Target level of safety (TLS)

19..1 Application of RVSM in the airspace designated in 6.3.1.1 shall meet a TLS of 5×10^{-9} fatal accidents per aircraft flight hour due to all causes of risk in the vertical dimension.

20. Special procedures for strategic lateral offsets in Oceanic Controlled Area (OCA) and remote continental airspace within AFI Region (P – ATM)

Note. — The following incorporates lateral offset procedures for both the mitigation of the increasing lateral overlap probability due to increased navigation accuracy, and wake turbulence encounters.

- 20.1 The use of highly accurate navigation systems (such as the global navigation satellite system (GNSS)) by an increasing proportion of the aircraft population has had the effect of reducing the magnitude of lateral deviations from the route centre line and consequently increasing the probability of a collision should a loss of vertical separation between aircraft on the same route occur.
- 20.2 The application of lateral offsets to provide lateral spacing between aircraft, in accordance with the procedures specified in 19.3 and 19.4, can be used to mitigate the effect of this reduction in random lateral deviations, thereby improving overall system safety.

A - Implementation considerations for ATS authorities

- 20.3 The application of lateral offsets requires authorization from the ATS authority responsible for the airspace concerned. The following considerations shall be taken into account by the ATS authority when planning authorization of the use of strategic lateral offsets in a particular airspace:
- a) Strategic lateral offsets shall only be authorized in en-route oceanic or remote continental airspace. Where part of the airspace in question is within radar coverage, transiting aircraft should normally be allowed to initiate or continue offset tracking.
- b) Strategic lateral offsets may be authorized for the following types of routes (including where routes or route systems intersect):

- 1) uni-directional and bi-directional routes; and
- 2) parallel route systems where the spacing between route centre lines is not less than 55.5km (30 NM).
- 3) In some instances it may be necessary to impose restrictions on the use of strategic lateral offsets, e.g. where their application may be inappropriate for reasons related to obstacle clearance.
- 4) These offset procedures should be implemented on a regional basis after coordination between all States involved.
- 5) The routes or airspace where application of strategic lateral offsets is authorized, and the procedures to be followed by pilots, shall be promulgated in aeronautical information publications (AIPs).
- 6) Air traffic controllers shall be made aware of the airspace within which strategic lateral offsets are authorized.

B - Lateral offset procedures to be applied by pilots

- 20.4 In the application of strategic lateral offsets, pilots should take the following points into consideration:
 - a) Offsets shall only be applied in airspace where this has been approved by the appropriate ATS authority.
 - b) Offsets shall be applied only by aircraft with automatic offset tracking capability.
 - c) The decision to apply a strategic lateral offset is the responsibility of the flight crew.
 - d) The offset shall be established at a distance of one or two nautical miles to the right of the centre line relative to the direction of flight.
 - e) The strategic lateral offset procedure has been designed to include offsets to mitigate the effects of wake turbulence of preceding aircraft. If wake turbulence needs to be avoided, one of the three available options-(centreline, 1 NM or 2 NM right offset) shall be used.
 - f) In airspace where the use of lateral offsets has been authorized, pilots are not required to inform air traffic control (ATC) that an offset is being applied.
 - g) Aircraft transiting areas of radar coverage in airspace where offset tracking is permitted may initiate or continue an offset.
- 20.5 Pilots may, if necessary, contact other aircraft on the air-to-air frequency 123.45 MHz to coordinate offsets.

C - Proposer's reason for amendment:

Implementation of Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum (RVSM) in the AFI Region. The reduction in vertical separation will improve the provision of air traffic services in the areas concerned and is in line with the implementation strategy adopted in the AFI CNS/ATM implementation plan. This will improve ATC efficiency and airspace capacity.

D - Proposed implementation date of the amendment:

Upon approval by Council.

E - Proposal has been circulated to the following States and International Organizations:

Afghanistan	Cape Verde	Ethiopia	Japan
Algeria	Central African Republic	Finland	Jordan
Angola	Chad	France	Kenya
Argentina	Chile	Gabon	Kuwait
Armenia	China	Gambia	Lebanon
Australia	Colombia	Germany	Lesotho
Austria	Congo	Ghana	Libyan Arab Jamahiriya
Bahrain	Comoros	Greece	Liberia
Bangladesh	Cote d'Ivoire	Guinea	Luxembourg
Belarus	Croatia	Guinea Bissau	Madagascar
Belgium	Cuba	Hungary	Malawi
Benin	Cyprus	Iceland	Malaysia
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Czech Republic	India	Maldives
Botswana	Democratic Republic of	Indonesia	Mali
Brazil	Congo Democratic Peoples' Republic of Korea	Iran, Islamic Republic of	Malta
Bulgaria	Denmark	Iraq	Mauritania
Burkina Faso	Djibouti	Ireland	Mauritius
Burundi	Egypt	Israel	Mexico
Cameroon	Equatorial Guinea	Italy	Morocco
Canada	Eritrea	Jamaica	Mozambique

Namibia Sweden

Netherlands Switzerland

New Zealand Syrian Arab Republic

Niger Thailand

Nigeria The former Yogoslav

Republic of Macedonia

Norway Togo
Oman Tunisia
Pakistan Turkey
Philippines Uganda

Poland United Arab Emirates

Portugal United Kingdom

Qatar United Republic of

Tanzania

Republic of Korea United States

Romania Uruguay
Rwanda Viet Nam

Russian Federation Yemen

Sao Tome and Principe Zambia

Saudi Arabia Zimbabwe

Senegal ASECNA

Seychelles IATA

Sierra Leone IFALPA

Singapore IFATCA

Slovakia

Slovenia

Somalia

South Africa

Spain

Sri Lanka

Sudan

Swaziland

F - Secretariat comments

- a) This amendment proposal has been developed within the framework or the APIRG/12, 13 and 14 Meetings Conclusions/Decisions 12/66, 13/58 and 14/21 respectively concerning the planning and evolutionary implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region.
- b) Implementation of RVSM in the AFI Region would enable aircraft operating in the AFI RVSM airspace to continue under RVSM in EUR/NAT, MID/ASIA, CAR/SAM and ASIA/PAC RVSM airspaces, thereby enhancing the efficiency of seamless flight operations.

AFI RVSM STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMA IN THE AFRICA-INDIAN OCEAN REGION



JUNE 2006

	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN						
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks		
	Program Management						
1	Agree on structure of TF to enable efficient handling of specialist technical tasks	21/11/03	Completed	Secretariat Support Team: ASECNA, SA, IATA, Nigeria, Tunisia	Completed 21 Nov 2003		
2	RVSM SIP Report	21/11/03	Completed	RVSM/ITF2	Completed 21 Nov 2003		
3	RVSM/RNAV/RNP TF/2 Meeting	21/11/03	Completed	RVSM/ITF2	Completed 21 Nov 2003		
4	Identify resources for performing specialist technical tasks	21/11/03	Completed	RVSM/ITF2	Completed 21 Nov 2003		
5	Investigate methods of funding any outside assistance required	31/03/04	Completed	ICAO/IATA	To address future funding as/when required		
6	Finalize the RVSM Implementation Strategy/ Action Plan	31/12/03	Completed	ICAO	Sent 05 Dec 2003		
7	Circulate RVSM Implementation Strategy/Action	5/01/04	Completed	ICAO	Sent 05 Dec 2003		
8	Plan for comments from States a) Doc 7030 amendment Proposal b) Circulate proposal to States (c) ANC Approval	To Be Detrermin ed (TBD)	In progress In progress In Progress	ICAO ICAO ICAO	Amendment proposal will be circulated subject to HQ input		
9	States comments on RVSM implementation Strategy/Action Plan	31/-3/04	Completed				
10	Regional RVSM informational Website	31/03/04	Completed		www.icao.int/esaf/RVSM		
11	RVSM Seminar/RVSM ITF3	19- 22/04/04	Completed				

	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN					
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks	
12	RVSM Seminar /RVSM/ITF/4	26- 30/07/04	Completed			
13	Coordination and harmonization of procedures with adjacent Regions	In Progress	In Progress	ICAO and AFI RMA	Continuous contact	
14	States to send AIC to notify their intention to Implementation of RVSM	Oct 05	In Progress	ICAO/States	Continuous	
15	Determine target AIRAC implementation date (AIP Supplement to be published)	TBD	In progress	ICAO/States	TF/11 to review progress 24-25 October 06	
16	Regional RVSM implementation status reports	In Progress	In Progress	ICAO	Monthly Report to website	
17	State Readiness Assessment, CRA, PISC,NSP	October 2006	In Progress	ICAO	TF/11 to review progress	
18	RVSM/ARTF/5	15- 16/11/04	Completed			
19	RVSM/ARTF/6	25- 27/05/05	Completed			
20	RVSM/ARTF/7 ATS/AIS/SAR/SG/8	08- 09/08/05 10-12/08/5	Completed Completed			
21.	RVSM/ARTF/8 and RVSM Seminar	10 – 14 October	Completed			

10	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN						
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks		
		2005					
22.	RVSM/ARTF/9 meeting	April 06	Completed				
23.	RVSM TF/10 meeting and GO/Delay meeting	June 06	Completed				
24	Publish Trigger NOTAM	TBD	Pending	States	States to be advised of date		
25	Develop AFI switch over plan (SWOP)	Apr 06	Completed				
26	Develop/Publish National SWOP	October 06	In Progress	States	TF 11 to review progress		
		24-25 Oct			Nairobi		
27	RVSM Task Force 11 Meeting	06	Pending	All			
	Air	craft Operatio	ns and Airwort	hiness			
28	Regional OPS/Airworthiness RVSM	21/11/03	Completed				
	Guidance						
29	Develop regional Pilot Training RVSM	30/04/04	Completed	IATA	Sent to Operators for action May		
	Guidance Material				2004.		
30	Provide pilot training RVSM guidance	30 July 06	Completed				
	material to specific States						
	Aircraft Operational approval process	24 10 7 10 1					
31	guidelines	31/05/04	Completed	70.10.10.			
31	Aircraft RVSM Approval Survey	In progress	In progress	ICAO/States	Continuous		
32	Ensure aircraft/operator approval process	In progress	In progress	ICAO/ARMA	Seminar		
					Seminar to be coordinated with		
33	Ops/Airworthiness seminar	Nov 06	In progress	ICAO	ICAO		
	Air Traffic Management						
34	National RVSM plan	31/03/04	In progress	States, ICAO	States to complete by 15 Oct 2006.		
35	National Safety Plan Validation Panel	March 06	Completed	NSPVP	Future validations ICAO/ARMA		
36	APIRG/15 Consideration of TF Reports	25-30-9-05	Completed				
					States to incorporate in National		
37	Regional ATC OPS Manual	Apr 06	In progress	States	documentation		
38	Determine the limits of RVSM airspace	30/06/04	Completed	States/ICAO			

_	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN						
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks		
39	Regional ATC Training Program & Guidance Material	July 06	In progress	ASECNA/ATNS	Training institutions to incorporate elements highlighted by PISC		
40	Letters of Agreement/Procedure (LOA/LOP)	3 months before Time of switch over	In progress	States	States to incorporate RVSM procedures.		
41	Civil/Military coordination	TBD	In progress	States	In National RVSM Plan		
42	National RVSM Regulatory Material	October 06	In progress	States	States to publish		
43	Collect weather and turbulence data for analysis	On Going	In progress	ARMA ICAO/States	ARMA to Collate		
		RVSM	Safety Assurance				
44	State Implementation of CNS infrastructure to support provision of ATC Service in the AFI RVSM airspace	Sept. 2006	In progress	States of Angola, DRC, Libya, Seychelles, Sudan	TF/11 to review progress		
45	Provision of ATC Service in the AFI RVSM Airspace	October 2006	In progress	All States	TF/11 to review progress		
46	Conduct data collection and compile readiness assessment	In progress	In progress	ARMA/States	States to continue supplying data as required by ARMA to support Safety Assessments		

	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN						
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks		
47	Develop AFI RVSM Safety Policy	30/06/04	In progress	States	States to ensure publication		
48	a) Submit final NSP's after validation comments have being taken into account	October 06	In progress	States	States to ensure development of NSP., TF/11 to review progress		
	b) Conduct NSP workshops facilitated by ATC experts	July 05	Completed				
	c) Once NSP's are implemented, DCA's to confirm State readiness to Implement RVSM in writing	Subject to State implement ation status	In progress	States	TF/11 to review progress		
	d) Update State readiness document	October 06	In progress	ICAO	TF/11 to review progress		
49	RVSM Functional Hazard Assessment (FHA)	4-8/04/05	Completed				
50	Validate Functional Hazard Assessment	31/05/05	Completed				
51	RVSM Collision Risk Assessment II	Oct 06	In progress	ARMA/ICAO/IA TA/Consultants	Progress report to TF/11		
52	Validate Collision Risk Assessment	TBD	To Be Advised (TBA)	Core Team			
53	AFI Pre-Implementation Safety Case Development	TBD	In Progress	ARMA/ICAO/IA TA/Consultants	TF/11 review PISC progress		
54	AFI Pre-Implementation Safety Case: APIRG/ANC	TBD			PISC approval and Doc7030 by ANC		

	AFI RVSM IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY/ACTION PLAN						
ID	Description	Target Date	Status	Resources	Remarks		
55	RVSM Implementation date	TBD	ТВА		To be determined at TF/11 after consideration of completion strategy/action Plan activities and activity No.54		
56	Improved incident reporting and investigation to reduce incident rates to support positive CRA results	With Immediate Effect	In progress	States	States to provide record of incidents and remedial action for Safety Assessments		
		N	Ionitoring Agency	<i>!</i>			
57	Evaluate options for setting up AFI RMA	21/11/03	Completed				
58	Identify an AFI RMA	21/11/03	Completed				
59	Establish an AFI RMA.	31/03/04	Completed				
60	Validate State readiness template	15/11/04	Completed				
		Post Implem	entation Safety Ca	ase (POSC)			
61	Data collection to continue for submission to ARMA	Monthly	In Progress	States	Data Collection to Continue after implementation		
62	Evaluate system safety after implementation	6, 12 and 24 months	ТВА	ARMA/ICAO	Compliance with AFI policy		
63	Monitor system safety in adjacent Regions	Continuous	In Progress	ARMA/ICAO			

ARMA FORM 2

AFI REGIONAL MONITORING AGENCY (ARMA)					
	MONTHLY MOVEMENTS				
STATE:	ACC:	MONTH:			
TOTAL IFR MOVEME	ENTS FOR THE MONTH:				
TOTAL MONTHLY IF	R MOVEMENTS IN THE BAND F290 – F410				
AVERAGE TIME PER	MOVEMENT IN LEVEL BAND F290 – F410				
-	LEVEL FLIGHT				
	CLIMBING AND DESCENDING		<u> </u>		

International Civil Aviation Organization



SAMPLE AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL OPERATIONS MANUAL FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMUM IN THE AFI REGION

(State Name)

(Date)

DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION SHEET					
DOCUMENT	DESCRIPTION				
Docum	ent Title				
ATC Manual for a Reduced	Vertical Separation N	Minimum			
(RVSM) in Afric	ea - Indian Ocean				
PROGRAMME REFERENCE INDEX	EDITION:				
	EDITION				
	DATE:				
Abs	tract				
This manual represents an operational reference document intended for the use of ATS personnel involved in the planning, implementation and application of a Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum (RVSM) in Africa - Indian Ocean					
Keywords					
RVSM					
Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum AFI					
CONTACT PERSON.	TEL:	DIVISION:			

DOCUMENT STATUS AND TYPE					
STATUS		CATEGORY		CLASSIFICATION	
Working Draft Draft Proposed Issue Released Issue		Executive Task Specialist Task Lower layer Task	_ _ _	General Public Restricted	<u> </u>

DOCUMENT APPROVAL

The following table identifies all management authorities who have successively approved the present issue of this document.

AUTHORITY	NAME AND SIGNATURE	DATE

DOCUMENT CHANGE RECORD

The following table records the complete history of future editions of the present document.

EDITION	DATE	REASON FOR CHANGE	SECTIONS PAGES AFFECTED

AMENDMENT SUMMARY

Note: This document was developed by AFI RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force and will be amended as required.

Amendment NR/Year	Publication date	Date inserted	Effective date	Inserted by
-				

CHECKLIST OF PAGES

(Released Edition)

Page	Dated	Page	Dated	Page	Dated	Page	Dated
·							
				<u> </u>			
			-				
			-				
	-		-				
4.1-							
	-						
						<u> </u>	
	_						
				,-			

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOC	CUMEN	IT IDENTIFICATION SHEET	(i)
DOC	CUMEN	IT APPROVAL	(ii)
DOC	CUMEN	IT CHANGE RECORD	(iii)
AME	ENDME	NT SUMMARY	(iv)
CHE	ECKLIS	T OF PAGES	(v)
TAB	LE OF	CONTENTS	(vi)
ABE	BREVIA	ATIONS	(ix)
DEF	INITIO	NS	(xi)
RVS	M REF	ERENCE DOCUMENTS	. (xiii)
1	INTE	RODUCTION	1
2	AFI I	RVSM IMPLEMENTATION BACKGROUND	2
	2.1	Terms of Reference of the ICAO RVSM/RNAV/RNP	2
	2.2	Implementation Task Force Terms of Reference of RVSM and RNAV/RNP Task Force	2
3.	NEE	D FOR RVSM	3
	3.1	The AFI RVSM Implementation Programme	3
	3.2	Supporting documentation	3
4.	AFI I	RVSM AIRSPACE DESCRIPTION	4
	4.1	AFI RVSM Airspace	4
	4.2 4.3	AFI RVSM Transition AirspaceAFI RVSM Interface with Adjacent Regions	5
	4.4 4.5	ICAO Table of Cruising Levels for AFI RVSM Airspace Flight Operations Within the AFI RVSM Airspace	5 5

5	R	VSM PROCEDURES	6
	5.1	General	6
	5.2	State Aircraft operating within AFI RVSM airspace	
	5.3	Transition of aircraft operating To/From the AFI RVSM airspace	7
	5.4	Cruising Levels Appropriate to Direction of Flight	
	5.5	In-Flight Contingency Procedures	8
	5.5.1	Degradation of aircraft equipment	
	5.5.2	Severe turbulence- not forecasted (single aircraft)	
	5.5.3	Severe turbulence- not forecasted (multiple aircraft)	
	5.5.4	Severe turbulence forecasted	
	6	PHRASEOLOGY	9
	7	VERTICAL SEPARATION	10
	8	COMMUNICATION FAILURE	11
	8.1	Communication Failure Procedures	
	8.2	Compulsory Reporting Points	11
	8.3	Laterally-Spaced, Uni-Directional ATS Routes	. 11
	8.4	Flight Level Allocation Schemes (FLAS)	11
	9.	ATS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	11
	9.1	Flight Data Processing Systems	. 12
	9.2	Radar Display Systems	12
	9.3	Flight Strips	12
	9.4	On-line Data Interchange (OLDI)	13
	9.5	Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA) and Medium Term Conflict	
		Detection (MTCD)	13
	9.6	Flight Planning Requirements	13
10). A	IR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	.14
	10.1	Optimisation of ATS Routes network	14
	10.2	ATC Sectorisation	. 15
	10.3	Air Traffic Management Options for AFI RVSM Transition	
	10.4	Laterally-Spaced, Uni-Directional ATS Routes	
	10.5	Flight Level Allocation Scheme (FLAS)	
	10.6	ATC Clearances	16
	10.7	Inter-Centre Letters of Agreement	16

10.8 10.8.1 10.8.2 10.8.3 10.8.4	Inter-Centre Co-ordination16Flight Plans16Computer-assisted Co-ordination of Estimate Messages16Verbal Co-ordination of Estimate Messages16Air Traffic Controllers Training17
11. AIF	Carriage and Operation of Airborne Collision Avoidance
12. RVSN	System II (ACASII) and Pressure-Altitude Reporting Transponder ### REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACAS	Airborne Collision Avoidance System
ATC	Air Traffic Control
ACC	Area Control Centre
ACT	Activation Message
AIC	Aeronautical Information Circular
AFI	Africa and Indian Ocean
AIP	Aeronautical Information Publication
APIRG	AFI Planning and Implementation Regional Group
ASE	Altimetry System Error
ATM	Air Traffic Management
ATS	Air Traffic Services
CDB	Central Data Base
CFL	Cleared Flight Level
CFMU	Central Flow Management Unit
CHG	Modification Message (IFPS
CMA	Central Monitoring Agency (NAT)
CVSM	Conventional Vertical Separation Minimum
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration (USA)
FDPS	Flight Data Processing System
FIR	Flight Information Region
FL	Flight Level
FLAS	Flight Level Allocation Scheme
FPL	Flight Plan
GA	General Air Traffic
GMU	GPS Height Monitoring Unit
GPS	Global Positioning System
HMU	Height Monitoring Unit
IFPS	Integrated Initial Flight Plan
IFR	Instrument Flight Rules
JAA	Joint Aviation Authorities
JAA AMC	JAA Acceptable Means of Compliance
JAR	Joint Aviation Requirements
LoA	Letter of Agreement
MASPS	Minimum Aircraft System Performance Specifications
MEL	Minimum Equipment List
MNPS	Minimum Navigation Performance Specifications
MTCD	Medium Term Conflict Detection

NAT	North Atlantic
NAT CMA	North Atlantic Region Central Monitoring Agency
NATSPG	North Atlantic Systems Planning Group
	Notice to Airmen
NOTAM	
OAT	Operational Air Traffic
OLDI	On-Line Data Interchange
RA	Resolution Advisory (ACAS
RFL	Requested Flight Level
RGCSP	Review of the General Concept of Separation Panel
RNAV	Area Navigation
RNP	Required Navigation Performance
RPL	Repetitive Flight Plan
RTF	Radiotelephony
RVSM	Reduced Vertical Separation Minimum of 1 000 ft between FL 290 and FL 410
	Inclusive
SARPs	Standards and Recommended Practices
SDB	State Data Base
SSEC	Static Source Error Correction
SSR	Secondary Surveillance Radar
STCA	Short Term Conflict Alert
TA	Traffic Advisory (ACAS)
TGL	Temporary Guidance Leaflet (TGL-JAA)
TLS	Target Level of Safety (TLS)
TSE	Total System Error
TVE	Total Vertical Error
VFR	Visual Flight Rules
VSM	Vertical Separation Minimum
UAC	Upper Area Control Centre
UIR	Upper Flight Information Region

DEFINITIONS

Flight Level Allocation Scheme (FLAS)

The scheme whereby specific flight levels may be assigned to specific route segments within the network.

General Air Traffic (GAT)

Flight conducted in accordance with the rules and provisions of ICAO.

Operational Air Traffic (OAT)

Flights which do not comply with the provisions stated for GAT and for which rules and procedures have been specified by appropriate authorities.

RVSM Approval

The approval that is issued by the appropriate authority of the State in which the operator is based or of the State in which the aircraft is registered. To obtain such RVSM approval, Operators shall satisfy the said State:

- 1) that aircraft for which the RVSM Approval is sought have the vertical navigation performance capability required for RVSM operations through compliance with the criteria of the RVSM Minimum Aircraft Systems Performance Specification (MASPS).
- 2) That they have instituted procedures in respect of continued airworthiness (maintenance and repair) practices and programmes.
- 3) That they have instituted flight crew procedures for operations in the AFI RVSM airspace.

Note: For the purposes of the application of RVSM, the term: "RVSM APPROVED" shall be used to indicate that an aircraft has been granted RVSM Approval.

RVSM Entry Point

The first reporting point over which an aircraft passes or is expected to pass immediately before, upon, or immediately after initial entry into AFI RVSM airspace, normally the first reference point for applying a reduced vertical separation minimum.

RVSM Exit Point

The last reporting point over which an aircraft passes or is expected to pass immediately before, upon, or immediately after leaving AFI RVSM airspace, normally the last reference point for applying a reduced vertical separation minimum.

State Aircraft

Aircraft used in military, customs and police services shall be deemed to be State aircraft.

Ref: ICAO Convention on International Civil Aviation, Article 3 b

Strategic Flight Level

A flight level which is flight-plannable in accordance with the Table of Cruising Levels of ICAO Annex 2, Appendix 3 and the FLAS, as specified in the relevant Aeronautical Information Publications (AIPs).

Tactical Flight Level

A flight level which is not flight-plannable and which is reserved for tactical use by ATC.

RVSM REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- ICAO Annexes; 2,3.2;A6,Part 1,6.18; A10 Vol. IV; A11, 2.4.2; P-OPS,Vol.1 Part VIII; P-ATM, CAP 4.
- EUROCONTROL RVSM
- NAT RVSM
- FAA RVSM manuals

SAMPLE AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL OPERATIONS MANUAL FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED VERTICAL SEPARATION MINIMUM

1 INTRODUCTION

In the late 1970s, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) initiated a comprehensive program of studies to examine the feasibility of reducing the 2000 ft vertical separation minimum (VSM) applied above flight level (FL) 290 to the 1000 ft VSM as used below FL 290. Throughout the 1980s, various studies were conducted under the auspices of ICAO in Canada, Europe, Japan and the United States.

The studies demonstrated that the global reduction of vertical separation was safe, feasible and without the imposition of unduly demanding technical requirements and would be cost-beneficial. The studies also showed that the North Atlantic (NAT) minimum navigation performance specification (MNPS) airspace was an ideal area for the introduction of a reduced vertical separation minimum (RVSM) because of the types of aircraft and the essentially unidirectional tidal flow of traffic. Planning for RVSM in the NAT Region commenced in 1990. The first stage of the Operational Evaluation phase, using the 1000 ft RVSM (between FL 330 and FL 370 inclusive), began in March 1997. A second stage extended RVSM to between FL 310 and FL 390 inclusive in October 1998.

NAT Region implementation involves the application of RVSM in the transition area of States within the European Region. In an early stage of the studies, it was determined that the introduction of RVSM in upper European airspace would have considerable benefits. However, from the outset, it was clear that the complex nature of the European air traffic services (ATS) route structure, its wide variety of aircraft types and high traffic density, as well as the high percentage of aircraft climbing and descending, would be a more demanding environment than the NAT Region. Therefore, the introduction of RVSM in the European environment addressed all aspects of en-route operations such as the safety implications of European traffic complexity, the mix of aircraft types, the many stakeholders involved (39 RVSM participating States, industry, aircraft operators), etc.

2 AFIRVSM IMPLEMENTATION BACKGROUND

ESTABLISHMENT OF APIRG RVSM/RNAV/RNP TASK FORCE

Pursuant to APIRG/13 Decision 13/58 which inter alia stated that:

DECISION 13/58 ESTABLISHMENT OF A TASK FORCE ON RVSM AND RNAV/RNP IMPLEMENTATION

THAT AN APIRG TASK FORCE DEDICATED TO RVSM AND RNAV/RNP IMPLEMENTATION BE ESTABLISHED, WITH THE FOLLOWING TERMS OF REFERENCE, WORK PROGRAMME AND COMPOSITON:

2.1 Terms of Reference of the ICAO RVSM/RNAV/RNP Implementation Task Force

The RVSM/RNAV/RNP Task Force was established within the framework of the ATS/AIS/SAR Sub-Group in order to explore ways and means for the implementation of RVSM/RNAV/RNP in the AFI Region.

2.2 Terms of Reference of RVSM and RNAV/RNP Task Force

- a) To develop a comprehensive implementation plan for RVSM, RNAV and RNP in the AFI Region, taking into account the requirements contained in the ICAO Doc.9574, Doc.9613, Doc.9689, Doc.4444 and other relevant reference documents.
- b) To identify any areas within the AFI Region where it may be feasible to introduce RVSM and RNAV/RNP in the initial implementation.
- c) To determine the extent to which a cost/benefit analysis is required prior to implementation of RVSM and RNAV/RNP.
- d) To coordinate with the bodies responsible for the implementation of RVSM and RNAV/RNP in adjacent regions in order to harmonize implementation plans.
- e) To develop guidance material for RVSM and RNAV/RNP implementation in the AFI Region, including taking due account of experience gained in the SAT Region and existing material developed by other ICAO Regions (CAR/SAM,EUR, MID,NAT, ASIA/PAC, etc.).

f) To address any other matters, as appropriate, which are relevant to the implementation of RVSM and RNAV/RNP.

APIRG has endorsed the objectives of capacity and potential economy benefits associated with future implementation of a 1 000 ft reduced vertical separation minimum in the AFI Region and, therefore, concluded that such implementation planning should be progressed as a priority item. It is recognized that a number of complex issues need to be addressed, including meteorological and topographical considerations, aircraft equipment and air traffic control questions.

3 THE NEED FOR RVSM

It is accepted that major changes to the AFI ATM systems will be necessary in order to cope with the continued traffic growth. The implementation of RVSM is considered to be the most cost effective means of meeting this need through the provision of six additional flight levels for use in the AFI airspace from FL 290 to FL 410 inclusive.

3.1 The AFI RVSM Implementation Programme

The programme consists of a series of co-ordinated activities, performed within the AFI Region ICAO, Participating States and User Organisations.

The programme has followed the general strategy set out in the ICAO Doc.9574 (First Edition) – "Manual on Implementation of a 300 m (1000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive" which proposed a multi-step approach within four distinct phases :

Phase 1 Initial Planning

Phase 2 Advanced Planning and Preparation

Phase 3 Verification of Aircraft Performance

Phase 4 Introduction of RVSM.

3.2 Supporting Documentation

The following reference documents will be amended to incorporate the changes necessitated by the introduction of RVSM in the AFI airspace:

- ICAO Doc. 7030 Africa-Indian Ocean (AFI) Regional Supplementary procedures
- ICAO Doc. 9574 Manual on Implementation of a 300 m (1000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive.

The following documents are in the course of preparation and will provide the detailed procedures and requirements necessary for the implementation of RVSM in the AFI RVSM airspace:

- ICAO Guidance Material on the Implementation and Application of a 300 m (1000 ft) Vertical Separation Minimum in the AFI RVSM Airspace.
- JAA Temporary Guidance Leaflet on Approval of Aircraft and Operators for Flight in RVSM Airspace TGL No.6.
- National AICs and/or. AIPs.

4 AFI RVSM AIRSPACE DESCRIPTION

4.1 AFI RVSM Airspace

- 4.1.1 RVSM shall be applicable in that volume of airspace between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive in the following AFI Flight Information Regions (FIRs)/Upper Information Regions (UIRs):Accra, Addis Ababa, Algiers, Antananarivo, Asmara, Beira, Brazzaville, Cairo, Canarias, Cape Town, Casablanca, Dakar, Dakar Oceanic, Dar-es-Salaam, Entebbe, Gaborone, Harare, Johannesburg, Johannesburg Oceanic, Kano, Khartoum, Kinshasa, Lilongwe, Luanda, Lusaka, Mauritius, Mogadishu, Nairobi, N'Djamena, Niamey, Roberts, Sal Oceanic, Seychelles, Tripoli, Tunis, Windhoek.
- 4.1.2 There is NO transition airspace in entire AFI RVSM airspace.

4.2 AFI RVSM Transition Airspace

Transition tasks associated with the application of a 1 000 ft vertical separation minimum within the AFI RVSM Airspace shall be carried out in all, the adjacent FIRs/UIRs to the AFI RVSM airspace.

ATC units on the interface of AFI RVSM Airspace shall:

- establish RVSM approved & non-RVSM approved State aircraft entering RVSM Airspace at the appropriate RVSM FL
- apply 1,000 ft VSM between RVSM approved aircraft, otherwise apply 2,000 ft RVSM:
- establish non-RVSM approved civil aircraft below FL 290 if landing at an aerodrome below the RVSM Airspace;
- establish non-RVSM approved civil aircraft above FL 410 if transiting above the RVSM Airspace & landing at an aerodrome outside AFI RVSM Airspace;
- for aircraft leaving AFI RVSM Airspace, apply 2,000ft VSM and establish them at the appropriate non-RVSM levels.

4.3 AFI Interface with Adjacent Regions (ATSP_1-7)

ACCs/UACs providing air traffic control service within the airspace designated for the purpose of transitioning non-RVSM approved civil aircraft operating to/from the adjacent Regions (ie. Europe) may clear such non-RVSM approved civil aircraft to climb/descend through RVSM Airspace. Such climbs/descents through RVSM Airspace shall be achieved before the aircraft passes the transfer of control point to the adjacent ACC/UAC, if applicable, unless otherwise specified in the ATS Letter of Agreement.

4.4 ICAO Table of Cruising Levels for AFI RVSM Airspace(ATSP_1-2)

With the implementation of AFI RVSM, cruising levels within AFI Airspace will be organized in accordance with the Table of Cruising Levels contained in ICAO Annex 2, Appendix 3, a). The cruising levels appropriate to direction of flight within the AFI Region with the implementation of RVSM. As illustrated below:

Cruising levels as per direction of flight – FL280 to FL430					
Route from 180 degrees to 359 degrees* Route from 000 degrees to 179 d					
← FL 430 (non RVSM level above RVSM airspace)					
		FL410	\rightarrow		
←	FL400				
		FL390	\rightarrow		
←	FL380				
		FL370	\rightarrow		
←	FL360				
		FL350	\rightarrow		
←	FL340				
		FL330	\rightarrow		
	FL320				
		FL310	\rightarrow		
←	FL300				
		FL290	→		
	FL280 (non RVSM level below R	VSM airspace)			

4.5 Flight Operations Within the AFI RVSM Airspace (ATSP_1-2)

Except for State aircraft as defined in Article 2 to the Chicago Convention (Doc 7333) ONLY RVSM approved aircraft shall be permitted to operate within the AFI RVSM airspace It should be noted that within the AFI RVSM Airspace all cruising levels are equally assignable by ATC to all RVSM approved and State aircraft, provided that the applicable vertical separation minimum is applied.

ATC shall:

- Only clear IFR RVSM approved aircraft & State aircraft into AFI RVSM Airspace;
- provide a 1,000 ft vertical separation minimum (VSM) between RVSM approved aircraft;
- provide 2,000 ft VSM between all military formation flights and any other aircraft.

5. RVSM PROCEDURES

Detailed RVSM procedures are contained the Regional Supplementary Procedures DOC 7030/4 AFI Region

5.1 General (ATSP 1-1)

- Only approved State aircraft shall be entitled to operate within the AFI RVSM Airspace, regardless of the RVSM status of the aircraft. (ATSP_1-1)
- The Integrated Initial Flight Plan Processing System (IFPS) shall disseminate Item 8 flight plan information to the flight data processing systems (FDPS) concerned for the purpose of providing a clear indication to ATC that where such non-RVSM approved flights are "State aircraft", they are permitted to operate
- All operators filing Repetitive Flight Plans (RPLs) shall include in Item Q of the RPL all equipment and capability information in conformity with Item 10 of the ICAO Flight Plan.
- If a change of aircraft operated in accordance with a repetitive flight plan results in a modification of the RVSM approval status as stated in Item Q, a modification message (CHG) shall be submitted by the operator.
- Operators of RVSM approved aircraft shall indicate the approval status by inserting the letter "W" in Item 10 of the ICAO Flight Plan, and in Item Q of the Repetitive Flight Plan (RPL), regardless of the requested flight level.
- Operators of non-RVSM approved State aircraft with a requested flight level of FL 290 or above shall insert "STS/NONRVSM" in Item 18 of the ICAO Flight Plan.
- Operators of RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft intending to operate within the AFI RVSM Airspace shall include the following in Item 15 of the ICAO Flight Plan:
 - (i) the **entry point** at the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM Airspace, and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the RVSM entry point; and

- (ii) the **exit point** at the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM Airspace, and the requested flight level for that portion of the route commencing immediately after the RVSM exit point.
- Operators of non-RVSM approved civil aircraft shall flight plan to operate outside of the AFI RVSM Airspace.

5.2 State Aircraft operating Within AFI RVSM Airspace (ATSP_1-1)

All State aircraft operating in AFI RVSM Airspace will be considered as non-RVSM MASPS compliant and therefore non- RVSM approved. Therefore, the VSM required between State and other traffic shall be 2,000 ft. State aircraft, i.e. military aircraft, might be exempted from AFI RVSM requirements and where applicable, the indication that a non-RVSM approved aircraft is a State aircraft should be displayed. The requirement for ATC to accommodate non-RVSM approved State aircraft within the AFI RVSM Airspace imposes significant increases in controller workload result from the requirement of having to selectively apply two distinct vertical separation minima (VSM) within the same volume of airspace.

5.3 Cruising Levels Appropriate to Direction of Flight (ATSP_1-2)

The cruising levels appropriate to direction of flight for RVSM and non-RVSM environments are contained in ICAO Annex 2, Appendix 3.

5.4 In-Flight Contingency Procedures (ATSP_2-1)

An in-flight contingency affecting flight in the AFI RVSM Airspace pertains to unforeseen circumstances, which directly impact on the ability of one or more aircraft to operate in accordance with the vertical navigation performance requirements of the AFI RVSM Airspace.

- The pilot shall inform ATC as soon as possible of any circumstances where the vertical navigation performance requirements for the AFI RVSM Airspace cannot be maintained.
- In above mentioned case, the pilot shall obtain a revised air traffic control clearance prior to initiating any deviation from the cleared route and/or flight level, whenever possible. Where a revised ATC clearance could not be obtained prior to such a deviation, the pilot shall obtain a revised clearance as soon as possible thereafter.

- Air traffic control actions will be based on the intentions of the pilot, the overall air traffic situation, and the real-time dynamics.
- Suspension of RVSM refers to a discontinuance of the use of a vertical separation minimum of 1 000 ft between RVSM approved aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace.
- A vertical separation minimum of 2 000 ft shall be applied between all aircraft operating within the portion of the AFI RVSM Airspace where RVSM has been suspended, regardless of the RVSM approval status of the aircraft.

5.4.1 Degradation of Aircraft Equipment (ATSP_2-2); (ATSP_2-3); (ATSP_2-4)

- The failure in flight of any component of the Minimum Equipment List (MEL) required for RVSM operations shall render the aircraft non-RVSM approved.
- Where an aircraft's Mode C displayed level differs from the cleared flight level by 300 ft (the allowable tolerance for Mode C readout) or more, the controller shall inform the pilot accordingly and the pilot shall be requested to check the pressure setting and confirm the aircraft's level.
- O When the pilot of an RVSM approved aircraft confirms that the aircraft's equipment no longer meets the RVSM MASPS, the controller shall consider the aircraft as non-RVSM approved and take action immediately to provide a minimum vertical separation of 2 000 ft, or an appropriate horizontal separation minimum, from all other aircraft concerned.
- An aircraft rendered non-RVSM approved shall be cleared out of the AFI RVSM Airspace by air traffic control and the ACC/UAC to co-ordinate with adjacent ACCs/UACs.
- ATC shall manually apply the display of the a RVSM approved aircraft's associated radar label and/or radar position symbol, in accordance with established local radar display features applicable to non-RVSM approved aircraft in case of required RVSM equipment failure.

5.4.2 Severe Turbulence - Not Forecast (single aircraft) (ATSP_1-11)

When an aircraft operating in the AFI RVSM Airspace encounters severe turbulence due to weather or wake vortex which the pilot believes will impact the aircraft's capability to maintain its cleared flight level, the pilot shall inform ATC. ATC is required to establish either an appropriate horizontal separation minimum, or an increased vertical separation minimum of 2 000 ft. (ATSP_1-12)

- ATC shall co-ordinate the circumstances of an RVSM approved aircraft that is unable to maintain its cleared flight level due to severe turbulence by verbally supplementing the estimate message with: "UNABLE RVSM DUE TURBULENCE".
- ATC shall manually apply the distinguishing feature of the radar label associated with non-RVSM approved aircraft and/or the radar position symbol to such an aircraft until such time as the pilot reports ready to resume RVSM. (ATSP 2-9)
- An aircraft experiencing severe turbulence while operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace need not be cleared out of RVSM airspace. If the pilot has informed ATC that the severe turbulence will impact the aircraft's capability to maintain the cleared flight level, the establishment of an appropriate horizontal separation minimum, or an increased vertical separation minimum may be accomplished within the AFI RVSM Airspace, traffic permitting.

5.4.3 Severe Turbulence – Not Forecast (multiple aircraft) (ATSP 2-9)

 When a controller receives pilot reports of severe turbulence which had not been forecast, and which could impact multiple aircraft with regards to their ability to maintain cleared flight level within the AFI RVSM Airspace, the controller shall provide for an increased vertical separation minimum or an appropriate horizontal separation minimum. (ATSP_2-5)

5.4.4 Severe Turbulence – Forecast (ATSP_2-10)

- Where a meteorological forecast is predicting severe turbulence within the AFI RVSM Airspace, ATC shall determine whether RVSM should be suspended, and, if so, the period of time, and specific flight level(s) and/or area.
- Consideration should be given to the development of a contingency FLAS to supplement any existing FLAS between ACCs/UACs.
- The importance of obtaining timely accurate forecasts of severe turbulence should be stressed within agreements with the appropriate meteorological services office responsible for the dissemination of such information for the area

6. PHRASEOLOGY

RVSM R/T Phraseology must be developed. A few examples are:

- ATC wish to know RVSM status of flight CONFIRM RVSM APPROVED
- Pilot indication that flight is RVSM approved AFFIRM RVSM
- Pilot indication that flight is NON RVSM approved NEGATIVE RVSM
- Pilot of State aircraft indicating that flight id NON RVSM approved NEGATIVE RVSM STATE AIRCRAFT
- ATC refuse clearance into RVSM Airspace UNABLE CLEARANCE INTO RVSM AIRSPACE, MAINTAIN [or DESCEND TO, or CLIMB TO] FL ...
- Pilot reporting severe turbulence / weather affecting ability to maintain RVSM height keeping requirements - UNABLE RVSM DUE TURBULENCE
- Pilot reporting equipment degraded below RVSM requirements UNABLE RVSM DUE EQUIPMENT
- ATC requesting pilot to report when able to resume RVSM REPORT ABLE TO RESUME RVSM
- Pilot ready to resume RVSM after equipment/weather contingency READY TO RESUME RVSM

7. VERTICAL SEPARATION

Reduced Vertical separation

- 7.1 Between FL 290 and FL 410 inclusive within the AFI RVSM airspace, the vertical separation minimum shall be;
 - a) 300M (1000 ft) between RVSM approved aircraft;
 - b) 600m (2000 ft) between -non-RVSM approved State aircraft and any other aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM airspace in accordance with FLAS.
- 7.2 ATC shall provide a minimum vertical separation of 600M (2000ft between an aircraft experiencing a communication failure in flight and any other aircraft, where both aircraft are operating within the AFI RVSM airspace in accordance with FLAS.

- 7.3 Within the designated airspace where RVSM transition tasks are carried out, the applicable vertical separation minimum shall be 1 000 ft between RVSM approved aircraft, and 2 000 ft between any non-RVSM approved aircraft and any other aircraft.
- 7.4 The applicable vertical separation minimum between all formation flights of State aircraft and any other aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace shall be 2 000 ft.
- 7.5 The applicable vertical separation minimum between an aircraft experiencing a communication failure in flight and any other aircraft, where both aircraft are operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace, shall be 2 000 ft, unless an appropriate horizontal separation minimum exists.
- 7.6 All activities occurring within restricted or danger airspaces are to be considered as being non-RVSM approved. Consequently, the minimum vertical spacing required between the vertical limits of the activities contained within such airspaces non-participating aircraft operating within the RVSM airspace is 2,000 ft, above the upper and below the lower limits of such airspaces.

8. COMMUNICATION FAILURE

8.1 Communication Failure Procedures (ATSP_2-6)

The ICAO Regional Supplementary Procedures for AFI will specify that the applicable vertical separation minimum between an aircraft experiencing a communication failure in flight and any other aircraft, where both aircraft are operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace, shall be 2 000 ft, unless an appropriate horizontal separation minimum exists.

8.2 Compulsory Reporting Points

- One means used to determine that two-way communication between an aircraft and ATC has failed is the aircraft's failure to report its position over a compulsory reporting point. These points should be strategically located so as to enhance ATC's ability to detect air-ground communication failures on a timely basis, taking into account ATC separation and co-ordination requirements.
- There is a requirement to establish RVSM entry/exit points at or near the boundaries between the AFI RVSM Airspace and adjacent Regions for all ATS routes which cross the lateral limits of the AFI RVSM Airspace. The
- designation of these points as compulsory reporting points could also enhance ATC's ability to detect air-ground communication failures.

8.3 Laterally-Spaced, Uni-Directional ATS Routes

The use of laterally-spaced, uni-directional ATS routes as a means of strategically separating opposite-direction traffic operating to/from the AFIRVSM Airspace should be addressed. In the context of air-ground communication failure procedures, laterally-spaced, uni-directional ATS routes between AFI RVSM Airspace and adjacent Regions could help mitigate the differences between cruising levels appropriate for direction of flight within the AFI RVSM Airspace versus the cruising levels applicable within adjacent Regions.

8.4 Flight Level Allocation Schemes (FLAS)

The strategic use of Flight Level Allocation Schemes should be considered and could also be used in the context of air-ground communication failure procedures.

9. ATS SYSTEMS SUPPORT

It is essential that ATC be aware as to the RVSM approval status of all aircraft operating within, outside of and in close proximity to the AFI RVSM Airspace if they are required to accommodate non-RVSM approved State aircraft.

9.1 Flight Data Processing Systems (FDPS).

In order to ensure RVSM separation between approved aircraft, it is important that ACCs/UACs receive the support of IFPS for the purpose of rejecting flight plans filed with for aircraft which do not qualify for operation within the AFI RVSM Airspace.

9.2 Radar Display Systems.

Radar display systems must provide controllers with continuous and unambiguous information on the RVSM approval status of all flights under their responsibility;

- In a radar environment, the radar position symbols and/or radar labels associated with aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace shall provide a clear indication of the current non-RVSM approval status.
- Where radar is used as the primary tool for applying separation, the radar position symbols and/or radar labels should provide a clear indication of the current non-RVSM approval status of aircraft operating within such level bands above and below the AFI RVSM Airspace.

- The means by which the distinguishing feature is applied to the radar position symbols and/or radar labels of the aircraft concerned **shall** be automatic.
- The possibility for the manual manipulation of the radar position symbols and/or radar labels of aircraft **shall** be available.

9.3 Flight Strips

Flight strips must display the non-RVSM approved status of all civil and State aircraft to controllers.

- Local FDPS shall indicate on all flight strips (paper, electronic or, in the absence
 of either, extended label) for non-RVSM approved aircraft the information filed by
 operators in respect of both their RVSM approval status and their status as that
 of a State aircraft (if applicable).
- Information regarding a State or civil aircraft's current non-RVSM approval status shall be displayed on the flight strip. Message example: (NON RVSM).
- Where applicable, the indication that a non-RVSM approved aircraft is a State aircraft shall be displayed on the flight strip. Message example: (STATE AIRCRAFT)

9.4 On-Line Data Interchange (OLDI)

OLDI should:

- include the current RVSM approval status of an aircraft, as well as the information regarding an aircraft's status as being a "State" aircraft, where applicable.
- support the systematic transfer of information related to requests for "Special Handling" in the AFI RVSM Airspace, in Item 18 of the ICAO Flight Plan (Item 18 message: STS/NON RVSM).

9.5 Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA), and Medium Term Conflict Detection (MTCD)

Automatic conflict alert systems should be modified to use the RVSM approval or non-approval status of aircraft and apply the appropriate VSM of 1,000/2,000 ft.

- STCA systems of ACCs/UACs applying RVSM should be able to selectively assess the applicable vertical separation minimum of either 1 000 ft or 2 000 ft, as determined by the current RVSM approval or non-approval status of the aircraft concerned, operating in the level band between FL 290 to FL 410 inclusive.
- Medium Term Conflict Detection (MTCD) systems of ACCs/UACs applying RVSM shall be able to assess the selective application of a vertical separation minimum of either 1 000 ft or 600 m 2 000 ft, as determined by the current RVSM approval or non-approval status of the aircraft concerned operating in the level band between FL 290 to FL 410 inclusive.

9.6 Flight Planning Requirements

Specific Flight Planning procedures are contained in the AFI RVSM in ICAO Doc 7030/4 AFI Regional Supplementary Procedures. The flight plan (FPL) shall include:

- the entry point at the lateral limit of AFI RVSM airspace and requested flight level after the entry point;
- the exit point at the lateral limit of the RVSM airspace and the requested flight level after the exit point;
- operators of RVSM approved aircraft shall insert "W" in Item 10 of the FPL regardless of requested FL;
- operators of non-RVSM approved State aircraft with a requested flight level of 290 or above shall insert "STS/NONRVSM" in Item 18 of the FPL;
- operators of formation flights of RVSM-approved State aircraft shall <u>NOT</u> insert "W" in Item 10 of the FPL;
- operators filing Repetitive Flight Plans (RPLs) shall include in Item Q of the RPL the RVSM approval status "<u>EQPT/W</u>" for RVSM approved aircraft, & "EQPT/" for non-RVSM approved aircraft;
- if a change of aircraft on an RPL results in a modification of the RVSM approval status in Item Q, the operator shall submit a modification message (CHG).

10. AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS (ATST_1-1); (ATST_1-2)

The introduction of RVSM will require that individual ACCs/UACs undertake a critical evaluation of operating practices so as to identify areas where adjustments and/or changes are required. Individual ACCs/UACs may wish to take the opportunity to maximize the operational benefits to be gained from the introduction of RVSM by undertaking an extensive critical operational analysis and the training of air traffic controllers in the following activities:-

10.1 The ATS Route Network

- It is expected that the existing ATS route network will be through a combination of Flight Level Allocation Schemes, sectorisation, and, to a lesser extent, changes to the ATS route network itself.
- On bi-directional ATS routes, climbing and descending aircraft will cross more cruising levels in an RVSM environment than in a non-RVSM environment. Therefore, consideration should be given to the potential benefit of expanding the use of uni-directional ATS routes.
- The introduction of AFI RVSM will permit Flight Level Allocation Schemes (FLAS)
 through the designation of new flight levels for specified ATS route segments.
 Strategic de-confliction at major crossing points will be facilitated through the
 availability of the additional cruising levels.
- The implementation of AFI RVSM may require an analysis of the optimal levels to be used for delineating the vertical limits of control sectors within ACCs/UACs.
- States shall ensure that the vertical limits of control sectors within ACCs/UACs also facilitate the requirement to provide a vertical separation minimum of 2,000 ft between a. non-RVSM approved aircraft and any other aircraft operating within the AFI RVSM Airspace;
- Consideration should be given to the impact on ATC co-ordination workload resulting from the requirement to provide a 2,000 ft vertical separation minimum for such aircraft operating at levels immediately above or below vertical sector boundaries within the AFI RVSM Airspace.

10.2 ATC Sectorisation

- The implementation of AFI RVSM will require an analysis of the optimal levels to be used for delineating the vertical limits of control sectors within ACCs/UACs. Operational experts should evaluate the requirement to re-define such vertical limits as a function of adaptations to FLAS, or predicted changes in the vertical profiles of major traffic flows expected from the implementation of RVSM.
- The vertical limits of control sectors within the AFI RVSM Airspace should also facilitate the requirement to provide a vertical separation minimum of 2 000 ft between RVSM approved and non-approved aircraft.
- The impact on ATC co-ordination workload resulting from the requirement to provide a 2,000 ft vertical separation minimum, for such aircraft operating at levels immediately above or below vertical sector boundaries within the AFI RVSM Airspace should be determined.
- Inter-Centre Letters of Agreement must be amended to reflect any changes to sector boundaries, where applicable. (ATSP_1-5)

10.3 Special Procedures applicable to designated airspaces

Such special procedures are contained in the Regional SUPPs (Doc. 7030) for the AFI regional

10.4 Flight Level Allocation Schemes (FLAS) (ATSP_1-2)

States should consider a Flight Level Allocation Scheme whereby specific flight levels are applied to specific segments within the ATS route network.

Organizing the use and non-use of flight levels on specific route segments could avoid potential traffic conflicts.

A Strategy could therefore be developed as to when to discontinue the use of FL 310, FL 350, and FL 390 as eastbound cruising levels taking into account different traffic scenarios at these flight levels.

10.5 ATC Clearances (ATSP_1-1) (ATSP_1-3)

- only RVSM approved aircraft and non-RVSM approved State aircraft shall, subject to ATC capacity be issued an air traffic control clearance to join and operate within the AFI RVSM Airspace.
- Non-RVSM aircraft intending to climb or descend through the AFI RVSM airspace shall be given appropriate ATC clearance.
- ATC clearance into the AFI RVSM airspace shall **not** be issued to formation flights in the AFI RVSM controlled airspace.
- ATC shall assign flight levels to non-RVSM approved aircraft in accordance with a published table.
- All ATC clearances must be read back and acknowledged.

10.6 ATS Letters of Agreement (ATSP_1-5) (ATSP_1-6) (ATSP_2-13)

- 10.6.1 ACCs/UACs should review existing Inter-Centre Letters of Agreement for the purpose of updating the content to include RVSM-related changes prior to the implementation of AFI RVSM.
- 10.6.2 ACCs/UACs should review existing Civil/Military LOAs and/or develop new LOAs defining ATS coordination procedures in RVSM environment.

10.7 Inter-Centre Co-ordination

10.7.1 Flight Plans (ATSP_1-4) (ATSP_2-8)

If the receiving unit has not received a flight plan, the sending air traffic control unit shall verbally inform the receiving unit of whether or not the aircraft is RVSM approved.

10.7.2 Computer-assisted Co-ordination of Estimate Messages (ATSP_1-1) (ATSP_1-6)

The On-Line Data Interchange (OLDI) System should support the co-ordination of requests for special handling (i.e. STS) as filed in Item 18 of the ICAO Flight Plan. When an automated message does not contain the information filed in Item 18 of the ICAO flight plan relevant to RVSM operations, the sending ATC unit shall inform the receiving ATC unit of that information by supplementing the ACT message verbally, using the term "Negative RVSM" or "Negative RVSM State Aircraft", as applicable.

10.7.3 Verbal Co-ordination of Estimate Messages (ATSP_1-6)

- When a verbal co-ordination process is being used, the sending ATC unit shall include the information filed in Item 18 of the ICAO flight plan relevant to RVSM operations at the end of the verbal estimate message, using the term "Negative RVSM" or "Negative RVSM State Aircraft", as applicable.
- When a single aircraft is experiencing an in-flight contingency which impacts on RVSM operations, the associated co-ordination messages shall be supplemented verbally by a description of the cause of the contingency.
- 10.7.4 Training for air traffic controllers and ATC maintenance personnel (Civil and Military) for RVSM. (ATST_1-1 to ATST_1-11); (ATST_2-1 to ATST 2-10); (ATST_3-1)
 - The safety requirement associated with the ATS training is to show that all relevant staff have been appropriately trained in RVSM Procedures and are competent to operate within an RVSM environment. It is therefore essential that ATS providers recognize its responsibility for the competence of Air Traffic Controllers (ATC) in the provision of ATS in RVSM airspace.
 - States must ensure that Air Traffic controllers and ATS Equipment personnel undergo full training and orientation in all the activities concerning the ATM Safety Elements Required for the safe implementation of RVSM in the AFI Continental airspace.

11 AIRCRAFT COLLISION AVOIDANCE SYSTEM

11.1 Carriage and Operation of Airborne Collision Avoidance System (ACAS) and Pressure-Altitude Reporting Transponder

The ICAO Standards relating to ACAS II as contained in the ICAO Annex 6, Part I paragraph 6.18 *inter alia* require that:

6.18.1 "From **1 January 2003**, all turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum certified take-off mass in excess of 15 000 kg or authorized to carry more than 30 passengers shall be equipped with an airborne collision avoidance system (ACAS II)".

- 6.18.2 "From 1 January 2005, all turbine-engined aeroplanes of a maximum certified take-off mass in excess of 5 700 kg or authorized to carry more than 19 passengers shall be equipped with an airborne collision avoidance system (ACAS II)".
- 6.18.3 "An airborne collision avoidance system (ACAS) shall operate in accordance with the relevant provisions of ICAO Annex 10, Volume IV".

The ICAO Standards relating to pressure-altitude reporting transponders as contained in Annex 6, Part I paragraph 6.19 *inter alia* require that:

- 6.19 "All aeroplanes shall be equipped with a pressure-altitude reporting transponder which operates in accordance with the relevant provisions of Annex 10, Volume IV" and; The ICAO Standards relating to pressure-altitude transponders as contained in Annex 6, Part II paragraph 6.13.1 *inter alia* require as follows:
- 6.13.1 "From January 2003, unless exempted by the appropriate authorities, all aeroplanes shall be equipped with a pressure-altitude reporting transponder which operates in accordance with the relevant provisions of Annex 10, Volume IV".

Furthermore, other relevant ICAO provisions are contained in Annex 2, paragraph 3.2, Annex 11, paragraph 2.4.2, PANS/OPS Doc.8168, Volume I, Part VIII and PANS/ATM, Doc.4444 Chapter 8, paragraph 8.5.

It is relevant to note that TCAS II, Version 6.04A (or earlier), is **not** ICAO ACAS II SARPs compliant, and, as such, will require upgrading to TCAS II, Version 7. TCAS II, Version 6.04A (or earlier) models, were designed for an operating environment where a minimum vertical separation of 2 000 ft is applied above FL 290. TCAS II, Version 7, includes modifications intended to address operational issues, including its compatibility for operations within RVSM Airspace.

RVSM REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

ICAO The material is covered in the following documents:

Annex 2; chapter 3, para.2

Annex 6; part 1, paragraph 6.18

Annex 10;vol.iv

Annex 11; Para. 2.4.2

PANS-OPS; vol.1, part viii

PANS- ATM; chapter 4.

- EUROCONTROL RVSM
- NAT RVSM
- FAA RVSM manuals

- END -