

International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG Traffic Forecasting Sub-Group (TF SG/4)

Fourth Meeting (Cairo, 15-17 November 2011)

Agenda Item 2: Review of the Conclusions and Decisions made by MIDANPIRG/12 in connection with the TF SG

MIDANPIRG/12 CONCLUSION RELATED TO TF SG

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This paper presents Follow-up on MIDANPIRG/12 and other meetings Conclusion and Decisions relevant to TF SG activities, and the follow-up actions taken.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- MIDANPIRG/12 Report

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The MIDANPIRG/12 meeting was held in Amman, Jordan 17-21 October 2010. The meeting adopted 80 Conclusions and Decisions.
- 1.2 The MIDANPIRG Traffic Forecasting Sub-Group (TF SG) superseded in 2004 the Middle East Traffic Forecasting Group (MER TFG) which was set up in 1998 with the objective of developing traffic forecasts and other planning parameters in support of the planning of air navigation services in the MID Region. The TF SG has so far, held three meetings in September 2004, May 2006, and April 2009.

2. DISCUSSION

- 2.1 The meeting may wish to note that in accordance with the ICAO Business Plan and the requirements for performance monitoring, the MIDANPIRG Conclusions/Decisions and associated follow-up action plan should be formulated with clear tasks, specific deliverables and defined target dates. Accordingly, those statements without requirement for specific follow-up activities should be reflected in the report and should not be formulated in the form of Conclusion or Decision.
- 2.2 Based on the above MIDANPIRG/12 meeting agreed that each Draft Conclusion and Decision formulated by MIDANPIRG and its subsidiary bodies should respond clearly to the following four Questions (4-Ws) and this is already reflected in MIDANPIRG Procedural Handbook.

	Why	Why this Conclusion or Decision is needed (subject)				
	What	What action is required (State Letter, survey, proposal for amendment, seminar, etc)				
Who Who is the responsible of the r		Who is the responsible of the required action (ICAO, States, etc)				
	When	Target date				

- 2.3 **Appendix A** to this working paper provides a list of MIDANPIRG/12 Conclusions and Decisions, with follow-up actions by various parties, for consideration and update by the Group.
- 2.4 In this regard, the meeting may wish to maintain Conclusion 12/74 as the basis for the work programme of the Sub-Group.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

- 3.1 The meeting is invited to:
 - a) note the information in this working paper; and
 - b) agree to maintain above Conclusion to the composition and work programme of the Sub-Group.

APPENDIX A

FOLLOW-UP ON MIDANPIRG/12 AND DGCA-MID/1 MEETING CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
CONC. 12/2: INCREASING THE EFFICIENCY OF THE MIDANPIRG SUBSIDIARY BODIES					
 That, with a view to maintain the continuity in the activity of the MIDANPIRG subsidiary bodies and increase their efficiency: a) States be invited to nominate for each MIDANPIRG subsidiary body Experts/Specialists as Members of the body concerned to fully contribute to the work of this body; and b) the specialists nominated for membership in a MIDANPIRG subsidiary body, act as focal points within their Civil Aviation Administration for all issues and follow-up activities related to the Work Programme of that body. 	Implementation of the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Nomination of Experts/Specialist	January 2011	
CONC. 12/3: UPDATE OF THE MIDANPIRG PROCEDURAL HANDBOOK That, the ICAO MID Regional Office: a) proceed with the amendment of concerned pages of the MIDANPIRG Procedural Handbook to reflect the changes approved by MIDANPIRG/12; and b) publish the updated version of the Handbook on the ICAO MID website before 31 December 2010	Update the MIDANPIRG Procedural Handbook and post it on the web	ICAO	Fifth edition of the Procedural Handbook	January2011	
CONC. 12/47: MID REGION PERFORMANCE METRICS That, a) the following MID Region Metrics be adopted for performance monitoring of the air navigation systems:	Monitor performance of ANS using the endorsed metrics	MIDANPIRG & subsidiary bodies	Develop performance targets	2011	

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
MID Metric 1: Number of accidents per 1,000 000 departures; MID Metric 2: Percentage of certified international aerodromes; MID Metric 3: Number of Runway incursions and excursions per year; MID Metric 4: Number of States reporting necessary data to the MIDRMA on regular basis and in a timely manner; MID Metric 5: The overall collision risk in MID RVSM airspace; MID Metric 6: Percentage of air navigation deficiencies priority "U" eliminated; MID Metric 7: Percentage of instrument Runway ends with RNP/RNAV approach procedure; and MID Metric 8: Percentage of en-route PBN routes implemented in accordance with the regional PBN plan. b) the MIDANPIRG subsidiary bodies monitor the Metrics related to their work programmes; develop associated performance targets and provide feed-back to MIDANPIRG.					
CONC. 12/48: DATA COLLECTION FOR MID REGION PERFORMANCE METRICS					
 That, States be invited to: a) incorporate the agreed MID Region Performance Metrics into their National performance monitoring process; b) collect and process relevant data necessary for performance monitoring of the air navigation systems to support the regional Metrics adopted by MIDANPIRG; and c) submit this data to the ICAO MID Regional Office on a regular basis. 	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Include metrics into national performance monitoring Submit data to ICAO	January 2011	

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
CONC. 12/74: UPDATED TRAFFIC FORECASTING REQUIREMENTS IN THE MID REGION					
That,					
 a) the ICAO MID Regional Office coordinate with other international and regional organizations; including IATA, the possibility of establishing a MID database to support regional traffic forecasting activities; b) MID States continue their support to the Traffic Forecasting Sub-Group by ensuring that their respective nominees to the membership of the Sub-Group include, as much as possible, forecasting experts, air traffic management experts and, when 	Update information to be provided by States	TF SG ICAO States	State Letter Meeting of the SG Traffic data	May 2011	On going SL: ME 3/56.11.1- 10/439 Dated: 19 Dec.2010
required, financial analysts to carry out business case and cost/benefit analysis; and c) MID States continue to avail required FIR and other data to the Traffic Forecasting Sub-Group in the format agreed by the Sub-Group to facilitate the development of forecasts and other air navigation planning and implementation parameters.					