



*International Civil Aviation Organization*

**MIDANPIRG STEERING GROUP**

**Third Meeting (MSG/3)**  
*(Cairo, Egypt, 17 - 19 June 2013)*

---

**Agenda Item 2: Follow-up on the outcome of MIDANPIRG/13 meeting**

**REVIEW OF MIDANPIRG/13 FOLLOW-UP ACTION PLAN**

*(Presented by the Secretariat)*

**SUMMARY**

This paper presents the status of MIDANPIRG/13 Conclusions and Decisions and the follow-up actions taken.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The Thirteenth Meeting of the Middle East Air Navigation Planning and Implementation Regional Group (MIDANPIRG/13) was hosted by the General Civil Aviation Authority (GCAA) of the United Arab Emirates in Abu Dhabi, from 22 to 26 April 2012.

1.2 The meeting was attended by a total of one hundred and two (102) participants, which included experts from ten (10) MID States (Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, UAE and Yemen) and six (6) International/Regional Organizations/Agencies (ACAC, CANSO, IATA, IFALPA, Jeppesen and MIDRMA).

**2. DISCUSSION**

2.1 MIDANPIRG/13 developed 58 Conclusions and 13 Decisions.

2.2 An Executive Summary of the MIDANPIRG/13 outcome is at **Appendix A** to this working paper.

2.3 This paper provides the meeting with an update on the status of MIDANPIRG/13 Conclusions and Decisions. It shows also the follow-up actions taken by the concerned parties. An updated follow-up action plan on MIDANPIRG/13 Conclusions and Decisions is attached as at **Appendix B** to this working paper.

**3. ACTION BY THE MEETING**

3.1 The meeting is invited to note the outcome of the MIDANPIRG/13 meeting and take action, as appropriate.

-----

APPENDIX A

**OUTCOME OF THE THIRTEENTH MEETING OF THE MIDDLE EAST AIR  
NAVIGATION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP  
(MIDANPIRG/13)**

*(Abu Dhabi, UAE, from 22 to 26 April 2012)*

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1. Attended by 102 participants from 10 States and 6 international organizations/agencies
2. Supported the Middle East Regional airspace Review (MIDRAR) project lead by CANSO and invited States to assign MIDRAR Focal Points and provide necessary information.
3. States were urged to implement 20 NM radar longitudinal spacing and were encouraged to further reduce radar longitudinal spacing to 10 NM, where appropriate.
4. The MID Region SSR Code Management Plan (CMP) was adopted and the SSRCA Study Group dissolved.
5. Urged Iraq to implement the actions related to the normalization of the Baghdad FIR before 15 October 2012 and agreed that in case of low progress of implementation of the necessary actions, RVSM operations be suspended in the Baghdad FIR.
6. Encouraged States to use the internet for the dissemination of the monthly printed plain-language list of valid NOTAM and discontinue its dissemination in hardcopy by post.
7. States were invited to avoid the use of the AIRAC date of 15 November 2012 as an effective date for the introduction of significant changes to the aeronautical information publications concurrently with the INFPL effective date.
8. Requested ICAO to consider the inclusion of a requirement for the certification of AIM Services in Annex 15.
9. States, Users and all concerned stakeholders were invited to provide support for the achievement of the MID Region AIS Database (MIDAD) Project.
10. Agreed on 2 options to be considered by the DGCA-MID/2 meeting related to the MIDAD Legal Framework.
11. States were urged to develop national plans for migration to IPv6.
12. States were urged to support ICAO for the early development and dissemination of the draft ICAO position for WRC-2015.
13. States were encouraged to use the MID-AMC on trial basis for 1 year.
14. The MID Region Performance Targets associated with the performance Metrics were adopted.

15. States were urged to develop national performance framework and collect, process and submit relevant data to support the MID Regional metrics.
16. The AIM Parts of the MID Basic ANP and FASID were endorsed and an Ad-hoc ANP Working Group was established to complete the review of the remaining parts of the MID Basic ANP and FASID.
17. States were urged to submit their action plan for CO<sub>2</sub> emission to ICAO before 30 June 2012.
18. States were urged to use IFSET or a more advanced model to estimate environment benefits accrued from operational improvements and continue to consider environmental issues in the planning and implementation of regional air navigation systems.
19. States were encouraged to carry out the INFPL testing in accordance with the agreed schedule.
20. The MID Region INFPL Implementation Document was adopted.
21. States were urged to provide replies to the GNSS questionnaire before 1 September 2012.
22. A MID PBN Support Team (MPST) was established.
23. The Regional Volcanic Ash Contingency Plan for the MID Region to be developed and presented to MIDANPIRG/14 for endorsement.
24. States were invited to participate in the SIGMET tests conducted by the EUR Region.
25. States were urged to provide necessary data for traffic forecasting activities in the MID Region.
26. States and international organizations were invited to test the centralized air navigation deficiency database on iSTARS platform and provide feedback to the ICAO MID Regional Office by 31 August 2012.
27. States were urged to develop Next Generation of Aviation Professionals (NGAP) national plans.
28. States to review their respective lists of identified deficiencies and forward the associated Corrective Action Plans to the MID Regional Office before 15 June 2012.
29. States were urged to provide necessary data to the MIDRMA on a regular basis and in a timely manner.
30. States were urged to ensure that before 30 June 2012, their aircraft operators fully comply with Annex 6 provisions related to long term height monitoring requirements, based on the MIDRMA MMR Tables.

-----

APPENDIX B

FOLLOW-UP ACTION PLAN ON MIDANPIRG/13 CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/1: AERODROMES CERTIFICATION IMPLEMENTATION AND ACTION PLAN</b></p> <p>That, States, that have not yet done so, be urged to take necessary measures to start/complete the Implementation of the Aerodromes Certification Programme in an expeditious manner and provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with the associated Action Plan, before <b>15 September 2012</b>, for review by the ADCI TF</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Action Plan	15 Sep. 2012	Completed AN 5/3 -12/152 dated 05 June 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/2: RUNWAY END SAFETY AREA (RESA)</b></p> <p>That, States, that have not yet done so, be invited to take necessary measures to include in their national regulations the requirements related to Runway End Safety Area (RESA) as reflected in ICAO Annex 14 Recommended Practice in order to reduce the safety risk of Runway Excursion.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Update Regulation	Dec. 2012	Completed AN 5/22 - 12/193 dated 04 July 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/3: IMPROVEMENT OF THE ATS ROUTE STRUCTURE IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That, as a first step towards the rationalization of the ATS route network in the MID Region:</p> <p>a) States be urged to;</p> <p>    i) identify those ATS Routes that are not economically structured within their airspaces;</p> <p>    ii) coordinate and agree with appropriate authorities on the priority of action to replace the identified routes with more economical routes based on the definition of City Pairs, the PBN and FUA concepts;</p> <p>b) Users to;</p> <p>    i) identify those ATS Routes that are not economically structured in the MID Region;</p> <p>    ii) provide priority of action; and</p> <p>c) States and Users; provide feedback to the ARN TF/6 meeting</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States Users	State Letter	Sep. 2012	Ongoing AN 6/5.8 - 12/164 dated 12 June 2012

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/4: MIDRAR PROJECT</b></p> <p>That States, be invited to support the MIDRAR Project and assign Focal Points to provide necessary information to the MIDRAR Team</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter	30 Aug. 2012	Ongoing AN 6/5.8.3 – 12/167 dated 12 June 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/5: IMPLEMENTATION OF REDUCED RADAR LONGITUDINAL SEPARATION IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) States, that have not yet done so;</p> <p>i) be urged to implement the 20 NM radar longitudinal separation;</p> <p>ii) be encouraged to further reduce the radar longitudinal separation within the MID Region to 10 NM, where appropriate; and</p> <p>iii) be invited to agree with their neighbouring FIRs/States on the date of implementation and updating of the LoAs;</p> <p>b) the ATM Regional PFFs be updated to include the reduced radar longitudinal separation as an ATM objective for the MID Region.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter	30 Aug. 2012	Ongoing AN 6/3 – 12/165 dated 12 June 2012  Feedback received from States
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/6: MID STRATEGY ON SSR CODE ALLOCATION</b></p> <p>That, the MID Region Strategy on SSR Code Allocation be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.2B</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.2</p>	Implement the Strategy	MIDANPIRG/13	Strategy	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/7: MID SSR CODE MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMP)</b></p> <p>That, the MID Region SSR Code Management Plan is endorsed as at <b>Appendix 4.2C</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.2.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	States ICAO	State Letter	May 2012	Completed AN 6/17-12/124 dated 10 May 12 AN 6/17 – 12/127 dated 14 May 2012
<p><b>DECISION 13/8: DISSOLUTION OF THE SSRCA STUDY GROUP</b></p> <p>That, recognizing that its work programme has been completed, the SSRCA Study Group is dissolved.</p>	ATM/AIM/SAR SG to address SSR CA issues	MIDANPIRG/13	Dissolve SG	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/9: MID REGIONAL CONTINGENCY PLAN</b></p> <p>That, States and users be urged to review the MID Regional Contingency Plan and the revised version of the CRAME-03 at <b>Appendices 4.2E and 4.2F</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.2, respectively; and provide updates and comments to the ICAO MID Regional Office before <b>1 September 2012</b>.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	States ICAO	State Letter	Sep. 2012	Ongoing AN 6/1.2.1 – 12/166 dated 12 June 2012 CRAME III contact list Updated
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/10: POST RVSM IMPLEMENTATION IN THE BAGHDAD FIR</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) Iraq be urged to implement the actions agreed by the BFPRI-SCM in an expeditious manner to solve the ATC coordination, communication and surveillance issues between Baghdad ACC and the neighbouring ACCs;</p> <p>b) States and all stakeholders be invited to support Iraq in the process of normalization of the Baghdad FIR; and</p> <p>c) in case of low progress of implementation of the necessary actions by Iraq before <b>15 October 2012</b>, the RVSM operations be suspended in the Baghdad FIR.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States/Stakeholders Iraq	State Letter Provide support Implement the Action Plan	15 Jun. 2012 15 Oct. 2012 15 Oct. 2012	Ongoing AN 6/5.10.15B-12/172 dated 13 June 2012 Iraq letter dated 23 Sep. 2012 AN 6/5.10.15D-12/318 dated 23 Oct. 2012 Iraq Letter dated 11 Feb 2013 Updated Action Plan 22 April 2013

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>DECISION 13/11: REVISED TOR OF THE ATM/AIM/SAR SUB-GROUP</b></p> <p>That, the ATM/SAR/AIS Sub-Group be renamed ATM/AIM/SAR Sub-Group with Terms of Reference as at <b>Appendix 4.2K</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.2.</p>	Implement the Work Programme of the ATM/AIM/SAR SG	MIDANPIRG/13	Updated TOR and Procedural Handbook	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/12: MEANS OF DISSEMINATION OF THE LIST OF VALID NOTAM</b></p> <p>That, States be encouraged to:</p> <p>a) use the internet (emails and/or websites) for the dissemination of the monthly printed plain-language list of valid NOTAM and discontinue its dissemination in hardcopy by post; and</p> <p>b) make available on the web on a daily or at least on a weekly basis an updated list of valid NOTAM.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Feedback from States	30 Jun. 2011 Sep. 2012	Ongoing AN 8/2.1 – 12/200 dated 1 Jul. 2012  (To be closed)
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/13: AVOIDANCE OF THE AIRAC DATE 15 NOVEMBER 2012</b></p> <p>That, taking into consideration the worldwide impact of the ICAO New FPL format implementation, States be invited to avoid the use of the AIRAC date of 15 November 2012 as an effective date for the introduction of significant changes to the aeronautical information publications</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Feedback from States	30 Jun. 2012 20 Sep. 2012	Completed AN 8/2.1 – 12/232 dated 6 Aug. 2012
<p><b>DECISION 13/14: DISSOLUTION OF THE QMS ACTION GROUP</b></p> <p>That, recognizing that the activities of the QMS AG were very limited, the QMS AG is dissolved</p>	Implement the Decision	MIDANPIRG/13	QMS AG dissolved	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/15: QMS IMPLEMENTATION</b></p> <p>That, in accordance with Annex 15 provisions, States, that have not yet done so, be urged to take necessary measures to:</p> <p>a) organize at the National level, awareness campaigns and training programmes to promote and expedite the process of implementation of QMS for AIS;</p> <p>b) implement/complete the implementation of the required QMS in an expeditious manner;</p> <p>c) arrange for an ISO 9001 certification by an accredited certification body; and</p> <p>d) ensure that quality management is applicable to the whole aeronautical information data chain from data origination to distribution to the next intended user, taking into consideration the intended use of data.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Feedback from States	30 Jun. 2012 Sep. 2012	Ongoing AN 8/4.1 – 12/199 dated 1 Jul. 2012  (To be closed)
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/16: CERTIFICATION OF THE AIM SERVICES</b></p> <p>That, in order to improve the level of compliance with the Standards and Recommended Practices of Annex 4 and Annex 15 and pave the way for the transition from AIS to AIM, ICAO consider the inclusion of a requirement for the certification of AIM Services in Annex 15</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO	SARPs/Guidance Material	2016	Ongoing Subject to ANC approval
<p><b>DECISION 13/17: ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MIDAD STUDY GROUP (MIDAD STG)</b></p> <p>That, the MID Region AIS Database (MIDAD) Study Group (MIDAD STG) is established with Terms of Reference as at <b>Appendix 4.3B</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.3.</p>	Implement the work programme of the MIDAD STG	MIDANPIRG/13	MIDAD STG established	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>DECISION 13/18: DISSOLUTION OF THE AIS AUTOMATION ACTION GROUP</b></p> <p>That, recognizing that the activities of the AIS Automation Action Group (AISA AG) were very limited, the AISA AG is dissolved.</p>	Implement the Decision	MIDANPIRG/13	AIS Automation AG dissolved	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/19: MIDAD PROJECT SECOND PHASE</b></p> <p>That, taking into consideration the results of the first phase of the MIDAD Study, States, Users and all concerned stakeholders be invited to provide all necessary support for the achievement of the second phase of the MIDAD Project.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO  States and Users	State Letter  Support the MIDAD Project	Dec. 2012  During Phase 2 of the MIDAD Project	Ongoing  DGCA-MID/2 Conc.2/7
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/20: COMMITMENT TO THE MIDAD PROJECT</b></p> <p>That, as part of the Second Phase of the MIDAD Project:</p> <p>a) a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) be signed by Bahrain, Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Syria and Yemen in order to legally reflect their commitment to the MIDAD Project; and</p> <p>b) other States from within and outside the MID Region, interested to participate in the MIDAD Project, be invited to sign the MOA.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO  Concerned States	MOA signed	2013	Ongoing  DGCA-MID/2 Conc.2/7
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/21: MIDAD LEGAL FRAMEWORK</b></p> <p>That, the following options be considered for the endorsement of the MIDAD legal framework by the DGCA-MID/2 meeting:</p> <p>a) a volunteer State/Group of States provides the legal framework by hosting the project; or</p> <p>b) an ICAO TCB Project for the implementation of MIDAD, including the establishment of a MIDAD legal entity or agency (similar to the MIDRMA).</p>	Implement the Conclusion	DGCA-MID/2	Agreement on the best option for the MIDAD legal framework	Apr. 2013	Ongoing  DGCA-MID/2 Conc.2/7

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>DECISION 13/22 TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE AIM TASK FORCE</b></p> <p>That, the AIS/MAP Task Force be renamed AIM Task Force with Terms of Reference (TOR) as at <b>Appendix 4.3D</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.3.</p>	Implement the work programme of the AIM TF	MIDANPIRG/13	Updated TOR	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/23: MID IP NETWORK SURVEY</b></p> <p>That, States complete the MID IP Network survey as at <b>Appendix 4.4A</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.4 and provide feedback to the ATN-IPS WG/4 meeting.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Completed Survey	May 2012	Completed AN 7/5.1 – 12/190 dated 1 July 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/24: DEVELOPMENT OF IP BASED MID NETWORKS</b></p> <p>That, States, that have not yet done so, be urged to:</p> <p>a) develop national plans, in line with the ICAO Manual on the Aeronautical Telecommunication Network (ATN) using Internet Protocol Suite (IPS) Standards and Protocols (Doc 9896), for migration to IPv6 taking the existing IPv4 based aeronautical systems into account;</p> <p>b) consider the use of IPv4/IPv6 protocol translation devices only as a provisional solution during the migration; and</p> <p>c) include a requirement for both IPv4 and IPv6 in their ongoing Air Traffic Services (ATS) Message Handling System (AMHS) implementation programmes in order to ensure seamless transition and interoperability.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter	Sep. 2012	Completed AN 7/5.1 – 12/190 dated 1 July 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/25: UPDATE THE AMC SYSTEM</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to keep the data related to their COM CENTER updated in the EUR-AMC system</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter	Sep. 2012	Completed AN 7/5.1 – 12/203 dated 1 July 2012

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/26: MID AFTN/CIDIN DIRECTORY</b></p> <p>That, ICAO MD Regional Office:</p> <p>a) take necessary steps with Jordan to populate the MID AFTN/CIDIN Directory in the MID-AMC; and</p> <p>b) post the MID AFTN/CIDIN Directory in the ICAO MID Website.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO Jordan States	MID Routing Directory in MID AMC	Dec. 2012	CNS SG/5 11-13 Dec 2012 - updated the directory
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/27: MID-ATS MESSAGE MANAGEMENT CENTRE (AMC) PROJECT</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) Jordan complete the development of the MID-AMC;</p> <p>b) ICAO MID Regional Office communicate with EUROCONTROL to provide the necessary support for the project;</p> <p>c) ATN-IPS WG and CNS SG develop the necessary legal framework for the use of the MID-AMC; and</p> <p>d) States be encouraged to use the MID-AMC on trial basis for one year.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ATN-IPS WG ICAO Jordan States	Operations of MID-AMC  Trial results	Dec. 2012  Apr. 2013	Ongoing  AN 7/5.1 – 12/203 dated 1 July 2012  a) to c) Completed d) On going
<p><b>DECISION 13/28: REVISED TOR OF THE ATN-IPS WORKING GROUP</b></p> <p>That, the Terms of Reference (TOR) of the ATN-IPS Working-Group be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.4C</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.4.</p>	Implement the work programme of the ATN IPS WG	MIDANPIRG	Updated TOR	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>DECISION 13/29: TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE CNS SUB-GROUP</b></p> <p>That, the Terms of Reference (TOR) of the CNS SG be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.4D</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.4.</p>	Implement the work programme of the CNS SG	MIDANPIRG	Updated TOR and Procedural Handbook	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/30: NATIONAL PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to:</p> <p>a) develop, update and/or complete their National Performance Framework, including the National Performance Framework Forms (PFFs), ensuring the alignment with and support to the regional performance objectives;</p> <p>b) incorporate the agreed MID Region Performance Metrics into their National performance monitoring process; and</p> <p>c) report relevant data necessary for performance monitoring of the air navigation systems to the ICAO MID Regional Office, on a regular basis, with a view to update the Regional PFFs and monitor the MID Region Performance Metrics.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>ICAO States</p>	<p>State Letter Feedback and reports</p>	<p>30 Jun. 2012 On regular basis</p>	<p>Ongoing AN 7/26.1 – 12/233 dated 6 Aug. 2012 (To be closed)</p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/31: ENDORSEMENT OF THE AIM PARTS OF THE MID BASIC ANP AND FASID</b></p> <p>That, the AIM Parts of the MID Basic ANP and FASID, including the AIM FASID Tables at <b>Appendices 4.5F, 4.5G and 4.5H</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5:</p> <p>a) are endorsed;</p> <p>b) be used as a planning document for the transition from AIS to AIM in the MID Region; and</p> <p>c) be formally included in the MID ANP through a proposal for amendment, when the new structure of the MID ANP is finalized and the AIM FASID Tables are populated with relevant data.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>MIDANPIRG/13</p>	<p>Basic ANP and FASID AIM Parts</p>	<p>Apr. 2012</p>	<p>Completed</p>

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>DECISION 13/32: ESTABLISHMENT OF THE MID AIR NAVIGATION PLAN AD-HOC WORKING GROUP (ANP WG)</b></p> <p>That, the MID Air Navigation Plan Ad-hoc Working Group (ANP WG) be established to fulfil the requirements set up by MIDANPIRG through Decision 12/49.</p>	Convene the ANP WG/1 meeting	MIDANPIRG/13	ANP WG established	Apr. 2012	Completed  ANP WG/1 held in Cairo, 27-29 May 2013
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/33: STATE ACTION PLANS FOR CO<sub>2</sub> EMISSION</b></p> <p>That, States, that have not yet done so, be urged to:</p> <p>a) send the contact details of their CO<sub>2</sub> emission focal point to the ICAO MID Regional Office; and</p> <p>b) submit their action plan for CO<sub>2</sub> emission to ICAO before 30 June 2012.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	States	Action Plan	30 Jun. 2012	Ongoing
<p><b>DECISION 13/34: ESTABLISHMENT OF THE AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASUREMENT TASK FORCE (ATMM TF)</b></p> <p>That, the ATMM TF be established with Terms of Reference (TOR) as at <b>Appendix 4.5J</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Convene the ATMM TF/1 meeting	MIDANPIRG/13	ATMM TF established	Apr. 2012	Ongoing  First meeting (8-9 September 2013)
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/35: ESTIMATING ENVIRONMENT BENEFITS</b></p> <p>That, in order to allow the Air Traffic Management Measurement Task Force (ATMM TF) and the CNS/ATM/IC SG to follow-up the implementation of the ATM operational improvements and estimate the fuel savings accrued from the corresponding improvements on regional basis:</p> <p>a) States be urged to:</p> <p>i) use IFSET or a more advanced model/measurement capability available to estimate environment benefits accrued from operational improvements;</p> <p>ii) send the IFSET reports/the accrued environmental benefits to ICAO MID Regional office on a bi-annual basis.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO  States/Users  ATMM TF and CNS/ATM/IC SG	State Letter  Feedback (IFSET reports) Reports of meetings	Dec. 2012  2013	Ongoing  AN 6/15 – 13/028 dated 20 Jan 2013  First meeting (8-9 September 2013)

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p>b) IATA to:</p> <p>i) encourage users to support the programme; and</p> <p>ii) consolidate users' inputs and report the accrued environmental benefits to ICAO MID Regional office on a bi-annual basis.</p>					
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/36: ICAO NEW FLIGHT PLAN FORMAT AWARENESS CAMPAIGNS</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to conduct internal awareness campaigns on INFPL and invite all stakeholders within their States.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State letter	Jun. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/37: ICAO NEW FPL FORMAT TESTING SCHEDULE</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to follow the testing schedule as at <b>Appendix 4.5L</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State letter	Jun. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/38: INFPL STRATEGIC SUPPORT TEAM (SST)</b></p> <p>That, in order to support INFPL implementation in the MID region, those States requiring support request the ICAO MID Regional Office to coordinate with the SST members the provision of the required support.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	States	State Letter	Jun. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/39: REVISED STRATEGY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INFPL</b></p> <p>That, the revised MID Region Strategy for the implementation of INFPL be adopted as at <b>Appendix 4.5M</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement the Strategy	MIDANPIRG/13	Strategy	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/40: MID REGION INFPL IMPLEMENTATION DOCUMENT</b></p> <p>That, the MID Region INFPL Implementation document be adopted as at <b>Appendix 4.5N</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	MIDANPIRG/13	Adopted Document	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/41: MID REGION PROCESS FOR MODE SIC CODES ALLOCATION</b></p> <p>That, the process for the allocation of IC codes for Mode S radars in the MID Region be adopted as at <b>Appendix 4.5O</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement the Conclusion and follow the IC code allocation process	MIDANPIRG/13	Adopted process	April 2012	Completed
<p><b>DECISION 13/42: MID REGION SURVEILLANCE STRATEGY</b></p> <p>That, the CNS SG review MID Surveillance Strategy and ADS-B Strategy at <b>Appendices 4.5P</b> and <b>4.5Q</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5 and develop a consolidated MID Surveillance Strategy.</p>	Implement the Decision CNS SG/5 develop consolidated Strategy	CNS SG/5	MID Surveillance Strategy	Dec. 2012	Completed CNS SG/5 11-13 Dec 2012 –Draft Conc 5/6
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/43: ALLOCATION OF 24 BIT AIRCRAFT ADDRESS</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to:</p> <p>a) allocate 24 bit aircraft address according to Annex 10, Volume III, Part I, Chapter 9, Table 9-1 (allocation of aircraft addresses to States);</p> <p>b) send the allocation list to ICAO MID Regional Office and MIDRMA by 30 September 2012; and</p> <p>c) provide ICAO MID Regional Office and MIDRMA with regular updates to the allocation list.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States MIDRMA	State Letter	Sep.2012	Completed AN 7/27 – 12/210 dated 11 Jul. 2012

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/44: PROTECTION OF GNSS SIGNAL</b></p> <p>That, States that are listed in the footnotes 5.362B and 5.362C be urged to take necessary measures to remove their names from these footnotes as soon as possible.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter	Jun.2012	Completed AN 6/28-12/217 dated 18 Jul. 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/45: STRATEGY FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF GNSS IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That, the Strategy for implementation of GNSS in the MID Region be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.5R</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.</p>	Implement the Strategy	MIDANPIRG/13	Strategy	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/46: GNSS SURVEY</b></p> <p>That, States complete the GNSS questionnaire as at <b>Appendix 4.5S</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5 and send it to the ICAO MID Regional Office before 1 September 2012.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Completed Survey	Sep.2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/47: MID REGIONAL PBN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY AND PLAN</b></p> <p>That, the MID Regional PBN Implementation Strategy and Plan be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.5T</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement the Strategy	MIDANPIRG/13	Strategy	Apr. 2012	Completed
<p><b>DECISION 13/48: ESTABLISHMENT OF MID PBN SUPPORT TEAM (MPST)</b></p> <p>That, MPST be established with TOR as at <b>Appendix 4.5U</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5.</p>	Implement Decision	MIDANPIRG/13	MPST established	Apr. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/49: MID PBN SUPPORT TEAM (MPST)</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) ICAO MID Regional Office provide the leadership for MPST;</p> <p>b) UAE be the champion for the MPST;</p> <p>c) IATA fully commit and support the MPST; and</p> <p>d) States assign members to MPST and allocate necessary resources</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States UAE IATA	State Letter MPST Visit	Sep. 2012	On going  Coordination ongoing with IATA, Egypt, Jordan and UAE
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/50: PBN IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS REPORT</b></p> <p>That, for future reporting on the status of PBN implementation, States be urged to:</p> <p>a) use the excel sheet as at <b>Appendix 4.5X</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5, and PBN Implementation Progress Report Template as at <b>Appendix 4.5Y</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.5; and</p> <p>b) submit progress reports to ICAO MID Regional Office every six months and whenever major progress is achieved.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	States	Progress Report	Every 6 months	On going  AN 6/28-12/211 dated 11 Jul. 2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/51: SUPPORTING IMPLEMENTATION OF SECURE SADIS FTP SERVICE IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That, States, that have not already done so, be urged to register for the Secure SADIS FTP Service in view of noting the cessation of its predecessor, the SADIS FTP Service, on 30 November 2012, in accordance with SADISOPSG/16 Conclusion 16/15</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO	State Letter	15 Jun. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/52: SIGMET TEST PARTICIPATION</b></p> <p>That, States' MID SIGMET Test focal points be invited to participate in the bi-annual SIGMET tests conducted by the EUR Region and report any deficiencies at each MET SG meeting</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO	State Letter	31 Aug. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/53: UPDATE TO THE MID REGIONAL SIGMET GUIDE</b></p> <p>That, States with meteorological watch office responsibilities, and that have not yet done so, be invited to provide, by 1 July 2012, the World Meteorological Organization Abbreviated Header Lines used for the issuance of SIGMET for flight information regions (FIRs) under their area of responsibility for inclusion in the MID Regional SIGMET Guide</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Necessary information	15 Jun. 2012 1 Jul. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/54: DEVELOP RECOMMENDATION OF MID REGIONAL OPMET CENTRES FOR INTER-REGIONAL EXCHANGE OF OPMET DATA</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) the MID Bulletin Management Group:</p> <p>i. determine requirements associated with the establishment of Regional OPMET Centres (ROC) in the MID Region; and</p> <p>ii. carry out a survey based on requirements developed in (i) to determine States' capabilities for establishing ROC(s); and</p> <p>b) concerned States be invited to complete the survey by <b>31 August 2012</b> in order for the MID BMG to report back to MET SG/4 with a recommendation of potential regional designation of ROC(s) for further consideration by MIDANPIRG/14.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Completed survey	15 Jun. 2012 31 Aug. 2012	Completed

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/55: OPMET DATA ERRORS AND DEFICIENCIES</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) States be informed of:</p> <p>i) incorrect addressing to Regional OPMET Centre (ROC) Vienna as provided at <b>Appendix 4.6A</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.6;</p> <p>ii) multiple bulletins received at ROC Vienna as provided at <b>Appendix 4.6B</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.6 and assure only one bulletin is sent to ROC Vienna;</p> <p>iii) non-receipt of OPMET (SA, FC, FT) requests during monitoring as provided at <b>Appendix 4.6C</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.6; and</p> <p>b) States non-compliant with TAF requirements be included in ICAO MID deficiency list.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO	State Letter	31 Aug. 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/56: TIMELINESS OF OPMET DATA</b></p> <p>That, States be notified of procedures related to METAR and TAF compilation and dissemination times as per <b>Appendix 4.6D</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.6.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO	State Letter	31 Aug. 2012	Completed
<p><b>DECISION 13/57: REVISED TOR OF THE MET SG</b></p> <p>That, the Terms of Reference (ToR) of the Meteorology Sub-Group be updated as at <b>Appendix 4.6E</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.6.</p>	Implement the work programme of the MET SG	MIDANPIRG	Updated TOR and Procedural Handbook	April 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/58: PROVISION OF STATISTICAL DATA</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to provide required airlines, airports and air navigation service providers statistical data to ICAO using the new revised forms as at <b>Appendix 4.7A</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 4.7.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Statistics	31 Dec. 2012	Ongoing Letter ME 3/56.11-12/365 dated 19 December 2012

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/59: TRAFFIC FORECAST AND PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS WORKSHOP</b></p> <p>That, with a view to provide States with a better understanding of the ICAO Statistical data reporting forms and process of development of Traffic Forecasts and Peak Period Analysis:</p> <p>a) a MID Traffic Forecast and Peak Period Analysis Workshop be organised in 2013; and</p> <p>b) States be invited to participate actively in the Workshop.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>ICAO</p>	<p>Workshop</p>	<p>2013</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Hands-on training seminar on Civil Aviation statistics for ACAC States held in ICAO HQ 26-30 Nov.2012</p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/60: TRAFFIC FORECASTING AND PEAK PERIOD ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That, considering the on-going requirements for development of Traffic Forecasts and Peak Period analysis in the MID Region; States be urged to:</p> <p>a) provide required traffic data in order to facilitate the timely and efficient development of Traffic Forecasts and Peak Period analysis; and</p> <p>b) continue their support to the Traffic Forecasting Sub-Group by ensuring that their respective nominees to the membership of the Sub-Group include, as much as possible, forecasting experts, air traffic management experts and, when required, financial analysts to carry out business case and cost/benefit analysis.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>ICAO States</p>	<p>State Letter Statistics and necessary support</p>	<p>31 Dec. 2012</p>	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Fax F.ME 12/364 dated 19 December 2012</p>

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/61: CENTRALIZED AIR NAVIGATION DEFICIENCY DATABASE</b></p> <p>That, States and international organizations be invited to:</p> <p>a) test the centralized air navigation deficiency database on iSTARS platform using the guidance in <b>Appendix 5.1A</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 5.1;</p> <p>b) update the data as necessary in coordination with the ICAO MID Regional Office; and</p> <p>c) provide feedback to the ICAO MID Regional Office by <b>31 August 2012</b></p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Feedback	30 Jun. 2012 31 Aug. 2013	Ongoing AN 2/2 – 12/189 dated 21 Jun.2012
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/62: NGAP NATIONAL PLANS</b></p> <p>That, in order to ensure that enough qualified and competent aviation professionals are available to operate, manage and maintain the future international air transport system, States be urged to:</p> <p>a) develop Next Generation of Aviation Professionals (NGAP) National Plan;</p> <p>b) use the ICAO guidance material for the development of the NGAP National Plans, including the information contained in ICAO DOC 9956 (Global and Regional 20-year forecasts) and the traffic forecasts and peak period analysis developed by the TF SG/4 meeting for the period 2010-2030; and</p> <p>c) provide feedback to the ICAO MID Regional Office before 31 January 2013, for review by the DGCA-MID/2 meeting.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States	State Letter Feedback	31 Aug. 2012 31 Jan. 2013	Ongoing AN 12/11 – 13/022 dated 17 Jan. 2013

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/63: ELIMINATION OF AIR NAVIGATION DEFICIENCIES IN THE MID REGION</b></p> <p>That, States be urged to:</p> <p>a) review their respective lists of identified deficiencies, develop associated Corrective Action Plans and forward them to the ICAO MID Regional Office prior to 15 June 2012; and</p> <p>b) use the ICAO MID Air Navigation Deficiency Database (MANDD) for submitting online requests for addition, update, and elimination of air navigation deficiencies, until the official launch of the Centralized Air Navigation Deficiency Database on iSTARS.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>ICAO States</p>	<p>State Letter CAP and necessary updates</p>	<p>15 Jun. 2012</p>	<p>Ongoing AN 2/2 – 12/189 dated 21 June 2012</p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/64: REPORTING OF LARGE HEIGHT DEVIATIONS (LHD)</b></p> <p>That, in order to simplify and standardize the reporting of Altitude/Height Deviations and Coordination Failures, in accordance with the ICAO Doc 9937:</p> <p>a) the Form at <b>Appendix 5.2A</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 5.2 be used for the reporting of Altitude/Height Deviations and Coordination Failures; and</p> <p>b) the monthly submission of LHD replaces the monthly submission of ADRs and CFRs.</p>	<p>Implement the Conclusion</p>	<p>MIDANPIRG/13 States</p>	<p>LHD Form endorsed LHD sent to the MIDRMA</p>	<p>Apr 2012 On monthly basis</p>	<p>Actioned</p>

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/65: PROVISION OF REQUIRED DATA TO THE MIDRMA</b></p> <p>That, considering the on-going requirement for RVSM safety monitoring in the MID Region:</p> <p>a) States provide the required data to the MIDRMA on a regular basis and in a timely manner. The data includes, but is not necessarily limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) approval of operators and aircraft for RVSM operations (on monthly basis or whenever there's a change);</li> <li>ii) Large Height Deviations (LHD) (on monthly basis);</li> <li>iii) traffic data (as requested by the MIDRMA Board); and</li> <li>iv) radar data as, when and where required.</li> </ul> <p>b) States not providing the required data to the MIDRMA on a regular basis and in a timely manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) be included in the MIDANPIRG list of air navigation deficiencies; and</li> <li>ii) might not be covered by the RVSM SMRs.</li> </ul>	Implement the Conclusion	States	Necessary data provided to the MIDRMA	On monthly basis	<p>Actioned</p> <p>To be replaced and superseded by MIDRMA Board/Draft Conc. 12/8</p> <p>AN 6/5.10.15A-13/002 dated 6 January 2013</p>
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/66: SECOND MID RVSM SAFETY ASSESSMENT SEMINAR</b></p> <p>That, with a view to raise the awareness related to the requirements for sustained RVSM safety assessment activity and improve the knowledge of all involved parties, in particular with respect to the Vertical Collision Risk Methodology and Altimetry System Errors, the MIDRMA, in coordination with ICAO, organize a Second MID RVSM Safety Assessment Seminar, in the last quarter of 2012.</p>	Convene the Seminar	MIDRMA/ICAO	Seminar	Oct. 2012	<p>Closed</p> <p>Seminar cancelled</p>

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/67: TRAINING ON RVSM SAFETY ASSESSMENT</b></p> <p>That, with a view to raise the awareness related to the requirements for sustained RVSM safety assessment activity and improve the knowledge of the ATC and Air Operators personnel:</p> <p>a) the MIDRMA include in its work programme regular missions to the Member States, during which briefings on the MIDRMA activities and RVSM safety assessment requirements be provided to concerned personnel; and</p> <p>b) for improved effectiveness, the MIDRMA visit to a State be conducted, to the extent possible, back-to-back with the GMU height monitoring mission related to the air operator(s) based in this State.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	MIDRMA	Training on RVSM safety assessment provided	2012-2013	Ongoing  To be replaced and superseded by MIDRMA Board/Draft Conc. 12/5
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/68: VERTICAL COLLISION RISK SOFTWARE</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) the MIDRMA initiate action for the development/purchase of a suitable VCR software for the MID Region; and</p> <p>b) the VCR Software be presented to and validated by the Second MID RVSM Safety Assessment Seminar, to be held in October 2012</p>	Implement the Conclusion	MIDRMA	VCR Software	Oct. 2012	Actioned  (To be closed)
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/69: RVSM APPROVALS</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) States be urged to take necessary measures to:</p> <p>i) ensure that, <b>before 30 June 2012</b>, their aircraft operators fully comply with Annex 6 provisions related to long term height monitoring requirements, based on the MIDRMA MMR Tables;</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO  States	State Letter  Necessary action and feedback	1 Jun. 2012  2012-2013	Ongoing  AN 6/5.10.15A-12/173 dated 13 June 2012 (To be replaced and superseded by MIDRMA Board/Draft Conc. 12/6)

CONCLUSIONS AND DECISIONS	FOLLOW-UP	TO BE INITIATED BY	DELIVERABLE	TARGET DATE	REMARKS
<p>ii) withdraw the RVSM approvals for their registered aircraft that would not be compliant with Annex 6 provisions related to long term height monitoring requirements; <b>after 30 June 2012</b>;</p> <p>iii) ban any aircraft without confirmed RVSM approval status from entering the RVSM airspace; and</p> <p>iv) report any case of handover at an RVSM Flight Level of an aircraft without confirmed RVSM approval status from adjacent ACCs to the MIDRMA and the ICAO MID Regional Office</p> <p>b) the MIDRMA Board Members in coordination with the MID RVSM Programme Managers monitor and follow up this subject at the national level, in order to ensure efficient implementation.</p>					
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/70: MID REGION HEIGHT-KEEPING MONITORING STRATEGY</b></p> <p>That, the MID Region height-keeping monitoring strategy is updated as at <b>Appendix 5.2B</b> to the Report on Agenda Item 5.2.</p>	Implement the Strategy	MIDANPIRG/13	Strategy	April 2012	Completed
<p><b>CONCLUSION 13/71: MID RVSM SMR 2012-2013</b></p> <p>That,</p> <p>a) the FPL/traffic data for the period 1-31 October 2012 be used for the development of the MID RVSM Safety Monitoring Report (SMR 2012-2013);</p> <p>b) only the appropriate Flight Data form available on the MIDRMA website (<a href="http://www.midrma.com">www.midrma.com</a>) should be used for the provision of FPL/traffic data to the MIDRMA; and</p> <p>c) the draft version of the MID RVSM SMR 2012-2013 be ready before 30 April 2013.</p>	Implement the Conclusion	ICAO States  MIDRMA	State Letter  Oct. 2012 FPL/traffic data provided to the MIDRMA  Draft SMR	15 Sep. 2012  15 Nov. 2012  30 Apr. 2013	Actioned  AN 6/5.10.15A – 12/271 dated 12 Sep 12