International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG Steering Group

Fifth Meeting (MSG/5)
(Cairo, Egypt, 18 - 20 April 2016)

Agenda Item 4: MID Region Air Navigation Planning

PBN PLANNING MATTERS

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This paper presents the PBN planning matters through the review of the outcome of the PBN SG/2 meeting for consideration and/or endorsement by MSG.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

– PBN SG/2 Report

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Second meeting of the MIDANPIRG Performance Based Navigation Sub-Group (PBN SG/2) was hosted by Egypt in Sharm El Sheikh, Egypt, from 22 to 25 February 2016.

1.2 The meeting was attended by a total of forty seven (47) participants from nine (9) States (Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, and United Arab Emirates) and four (4) International Organizations (AACO, CANSO, IATA and IFALPA).

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 The PBN SG/2 meeting was apprised of the Global and Regional developments related to PBN. The meeting encouraged States to take into consideration the global and regional developments related to PBN when developing their national PBN plans and benefit from the available ICAO products and Services.

2.2 The PBN SG/2 meeting was informed of Amendment 6 to the *Procedures for Air Navigation Services — Aircraft Operations* (PANS-OPS, DOC 8168) and the new ICAO Circular 336 on the transition from RNAV to RNP approach chart identification.

2.3 The PBN SG/2 meeting noted that the inconsistencies between the aeronautical charts, PBN operational approvals and avionics displays have created confusion for pilots and air traffic controllers. It was highlighted that any approach using GNSS is in fact an RNP approach due to the requirement for on-board monitoring and alerting. Consequently, as part of PBN procedures naming convention, only the term RNP will be permitted instead of RNAV (GNSS) and/or RNAV (GPS); and RNP (AR) instead of RNAV (RNP), as of **1 December 2022**. Accordingly, the meeting agreed to the following Draft Conclusion:

Why	Transition from the RNAV to RNP instrument approach chart depiction
What	State Letter/ States' transition plan for the RNAV to RNP Instrument Approach Chart Depiction (Chart Title)
Who	MID Office/States
When	May 2016 / October 2016

DRAFT CONCLUSION 2/1: TRANSITION PLAN FOR THE RNAV TO RNP INSTRUMENT APPROACH CHART DEPICTION

*That, States be urged to provide their transition plan for the RNAV to RNP Instrument Approach Chart Depiction (Chart Title) to the ICAO MID Regional Office before **31 October 2016**, taking into consideration the provisions/timelines set forth in Amendment 6 to PANS-OPS, Volume II, Part III, Section 5, Chapter 1 and the ICAO Circular 336.*

2.4 The PBN SG/2 meeting was apprised of the latest developments related to the Visual Guided Approaches (VGAs). The meeting noted that VGAs are established at specific aerodromes to enhance safety, improve efficiency and for environmental/noise considerations. In this respect, the meeting encouraged States to work closely with the air operators to make available the required regulations/provisions and certification process, and to implement VGAs where needed/applicable, taking into consideration the best practices and the ICAO provisions that will be issued by 2018.

2.5 The PBN SG/2 meeting received an update on CANSO activities in support of the PBN implementation and in particular the development of the CANSO PBN Best Practice Guidance for ANSPs, available on CNASO website (**https://www.canso.org/performance-based-navigation-best-practice-guide-ansps**).

2.6 The PBN SG/2 meeting noted with appreciation that CANSO is always willing to partnership any PBN activity in the Middle East Region.

2.7 The PBN SG/2 meeting noted with appreciation the States' commitment to meet the PBN agreed targets. The meeting was apprised of the latest developments related to PBN implementation carried out at the national level. The meeting noted the lessons learned, challenges impeding States to meet the agreed targets and the mitigation measures taken/proposed by States to improve the implementation of PBN.

2.8 The PBN SG/2 meeting highlighted the importance of the post monitoring and assessment of PBN implementation. In this respect, the meeting urged States to explore means and ways to assess the benefit accrued from the implementation of PBN procedures and ATS Routes, and to report the environmental benefits to the ICAO MID Regional Office by **15 September 2016**, in order to be included in the Second MID Region Air Navigation Environmental Report, which will be presented to the Second Meeting of the ATM Performance Measurement Task Force (APM TF/2) (Cairo, Egypt, 24 October 2016). Accordingly, the meeting agreed to the following Draft Conclusion:

DRAFT CONCLUSION 2/2: ASSESSMENT OF PBN IMPLEMENTATION

That, States be invited to:

a) explore means and ways to assess the benefit accrued from the implementation of PBN; and

*b) report the environmental benefits to the ICAO MID Regional Office by **15 September 2016** in order to be included in the Second MID Region Air Navigation Environmental Report.*

2.9 The PBN SG/2 meeting re-iterated MSG Conclusion 4/11 - *States' PBN Implementation Plans*. Accordingly, the meeting urged States to provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with their updated PBN Implementation Plans on an annual basis (by end of December).

2.10 It was noted that nine (9) States have so far provided their National PBN Implementation Plan, which indicates the gap with the established target. The meeting noted that Iran and Lebanon will soon provide their National PBN Implementation Plan.

2.11 The meeting updated the applicability area of B0-CCO and B0-CDO as at **Appendix A**, and initiated discussion on the planning for the ASBU B1-APTA and B1-CDO Modules. The meeting agreed that the B1-APTA and B1-CDO would apply to certain aerodromes/runway ends. In this regard, the meeting urged States to identify the runway ends where GLS CAT II/III precision would be required, and the aerodromes/TMAs where B1-CDO could be implemented, in consultation with the airspace users.

2.12 The PBN SG/2 meeting reviewed and updated the MID Region PBN Implementation Plan (MID Doc 007), as at **Appendix B**. The meeting through Draft Conclusion 2/3 urged States to provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with their inputs/comments related to the MID Region PBN Implementation Plan, before 30 March 2016, in order to present a consolidated version of the Plan to the MSG/5 meeting.

Why	To endorse the revised version of the MID Region PBN Implementation Plan
What	MID Region PBN Implementation Plan (MID Doc 007)
Who	MSG/5 on behalf of MIDANPIRG
When	April 2016

DRAFT CONCLUSION 5/XX: MID REGION PBN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

That, the revised version of the MID Region PBN Implementation Plan (MID Doc 007, Edition April 2016) is endorsed.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to:

a) review and endorse the proposed Draft Conclusions emanating from the PBN SG/2 meeting at para. 2.3 and 2.12;

b) take into consideration the global and regional developments related to PBN when developing their national plans;

c) urge States to implement the provisions of MSG Conclusion 4/11 and provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with their updated PBN Implementation Plans on an annual basis (by end of December); and

d) update the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy to incorporate the inputs related to B0-CCO and B0-CDO.

B0-CCO and B0-CDO revised applicability area

B0 – CDO: Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles (CDO)			
Elements	***Applicability***	***Performance Indicators/Supporting Metrics***	***Targets***
PBN STARs	OBBI, HESN, HESH, HEMA, HEGN, HELX, OIIE, OISS, OIKB, OIMM, OIFM, ORER, ORNI, OJAM, OJAI, OJAQ, OKBK, OLBA, OOMS, OOSA, OTHH, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSNN, HSOB, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMAD, OMDB, OMDW, OMSJ	Indicator: % of International Aerodromes/TMA with PBN STAR implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes/TMAs with PBN STAR implemented as required.	100% by Dec. 2016 for the identified Aerodromes/TMAs 100% by Dec. 2018 for all the International Aerodromes/TMAs
International aerodromes/TMAs with CDO	OBBI, HESH, HEMA, HEGN, OIIE, OIKB, OIFM, OJAI, OJAQ, OKBK, OLBA, OOMS, OTHH, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMDB, OMDW, OMSJ,	Indicator: % of International Aerodromes/TMA with CDO implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes/TMAs with CDO implemented as required.	100% by Dec. 2018 for the identified Aerodromes/TMAs

B0 – CCO: Improved Flexibility and Efficiency Departure Profiles - Continuous Climb Operations (CCO)			
Elements	***Applicability***	***Performance Indicators/Supporting Metrics***	***Targets***
PBN SIDs	OBBI, HESN, HESH, HEMA, HEGN, HELX, OIIE, OISS, OIKB, OIMM, OIFM, ORER, ORNI, OJAM, OJAI, OJAQ, OKBK, OLBA, OOMS, OOSA, OTHH, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSNN, HSOB, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMAD, OMDB, OMDW, OMSJ	Indicator: % of International Aerodromes/TMA with PBN SID implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes/ TMAs with PBN SID implemented as required.	100% by Dec. 2016 for the identified Aerodromes/TMAs 100% by Dec. 2018 for all the International Aerodromes/TMAs
International aerodromes/TMAs with CCO	OBBI, HESN, HESH, HEMA, HEGN, HELX, OIIE, OIKB, OIFM, ORER, ORNI, OJAM, OJAI, OJAQ, OKBK, OLBA, OOMS, OOSA, OTHH, OEJN, OEMA, OEDF, OERK, HSNN, HSOB, HSSS, HSPN, OMAA, OMDB, OMDW, OMSJ	Indicator: % of International Aerodromes/TMA with CCO implemented as required. Supporting Metric: Number of International Aerodromes/TMAs with CCO implemented as required.	100% by Dec. 2018 for the identified Aerodromes/TMAs

---

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

MIDDLE EAST AIR NAVIGATION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP (MIDANPIRG)

MID REGION PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

EDITION ~~JUNE~~APRIL, 201~~5~~6

AMENDMENTS

The MID Region PBN Implementation Plan should be reviewed and updated by the PBN and/or the ATM Sub-Groups and presented to MIDANPIRG for endorsement.
Stakeholders shall submit their proposal for amendment to the Plan to the ICAO MID Regional Office at least three months prior the PBN or the ATM Sub-Groups meetings in order to ensure adequate time for appropriate coordination. The table below provides a means to record all amendments.
An up to date electronic version of the Plan will be available on the ICAO MID Regional Office website.

Amendment Number	Effective Date	Initiated by	Impacted pages	Remarks
1	**April 2016**	**PBN SG/2**		

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The MID Region Performance Based Navigation (PBN) Implementation Plan has been developed to harmonize PBN implementation in the MID Region and to addresses the strategic objectives of PBN based on clearly established operational requirements, avoiding equipage of multiple on-board or ground based equipment, avoidance of multiple airworthiness and operational approvals and explains in detail contents relating to potential navigation applications.

The Plan was prepared in accordance with ICAO provisions related to PBN, the Global Air Navigation Plan, Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBU) methodology, MID Region Air Navigation Plan and the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy. In addition to the Assembly Resolutions and the twelfth Air Navigation Conference (AN-Conf/12) Recommendations related to PBN.

The plan envisages pre- and post-implementation safety assessments and continued availability of conventional air navigation procedures during transition. The plan discusses issues related to implementation which include traffic forecasts, aircraft fleet readiness, adequacy of ground-based CNS infrastructure etc. Implementation targets for various categories of airspace for the short term (2013 – 2017) and for the medium term (2018 – 2022) have been projected in tabular forms to facilitate easy reference. For the long term (2023 and beyond) it has been envisaged that GNSS and its augmentation system would become the primary navigation infrastructure

This Document consolidates, updates and supersedes all previous MID Region PBN and GNSS Strategies/Plans.

The parts related to PBN implementation for En-route will be reviewed and updated by the ATM Sub-Group and those related to terminal and approach will be reviewed and updated by the PBN Sub-Group.

Explanation of Terms

The drafting and explanation of this document is based on the understanding of some particular terms and expressions that are described below:

MID Region PBN Implementation Plan - A document offering appropriate guidance for air navigation service providers, airspace operators and users, regulating agencies, and international organizations, on the evolution of navigation, as one of the key systems supporting air traffic management, and which describes the RNAV and RNP navigation applications that should be implemented in the short, medium and long term in the MID Region.

Performance Based Navigation - Performance based navigation specifies RNAV and RNP system performance requirements for aircraft operating along an ATS route, on an instrument approach procedure or in an airspace.

Performance requirements - Performance requirements are defined in terms of accuracy, integrity, continuity, availability and functionality needed for the proposed operation in the context of a particular airspace concept. Performance requirements are identified in navigation specifications which also identify which navigation sensors and equipment may be used to meet the performance requirement.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

The below ICAO Documents provide Guidance related to the PBN implementation:

- PANS-ATM (Doc 4444)
- PANS-Ops (Doc 8168)
- PBN Manual (Doc 9613)
- GNSS Manual (Doc 9849)
- RNP AR Procedure Design Manual (Doc 9905)
- CDO Manual (Doc 9931)
- Manual on Use of PBN in Airspace Design (Doc 9992)
- CCO Manual (Doc 9993)
- Procedure QA Manual (Doc 9906)
- PBN Ops Approval Manual (Doc 9997)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary 4
Acronyms 7
Chapter 1 8
PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION 8
1. Introduction 8
2. Benefits of Performance Based Navigation 8
3. Goals and Objectives of PBN Implementation 9
4. Planning Principles 9
5. PBN Operational Requirements and Implementation Strategy 10
Chapter 2 12
CNS Infrastructure 12
1. Navigation infrastructure 12
2. Other Navigation Infrastructure supporting PBN 12
3. Surveillance Infrastructure 13
4. Communication Infrastructure 13
Chapter 3 14
Implementation of PBN 14
1. ATM Operational Requirements 14
2. Implementation Phases: 15
CHAPTER 4 19
Safety Assessment and Monitoring 19
1. Need for Safety Assessment 19
2. Roles and Responsibilities 19
CHAPTER 5 20
1. Operational approval requirements 20
2. DOCUMENTATION OF OPERATIONAL APPROVAL 22
3. STATE REGULATORY MATERIAL 23
4. APPROVAL PROCESS 23
5. FOREIGN OPERATIONS 24

ACRONYMS

The acronyms used in this document along with their expansions are given in the following List:

AACO	Arab Air Carrier Association
ABAS	Aircraft-Based Augmentation System
ACAC	Arab Civil Aviation Commission
AIS	Aeronautical Information System
APAC	Asia and Pacific Regions
APCH	Approach
APV	Approach Procedures with Vertical Guidance
AOC	Air operator certificate
ATC	Air Traffic Control
ASBU	Aviation System Block Upgrades
Baro VNAV	Barometric Vertical Navigation
CCO	Continuous Climb Operations
CDO	Continuous Decent Operations
CNS/ATM	Communication Navigation Surveillance/Air Traffic Management
CPDLC	Controller Pilot Data Link Communications
DME	Distance Measuring Equipment
~~FASID~~	~~Facilities and Services Implementation Document~~
FIR	Flight Information Region
FMS	Flight Management System
GBAS	Ground-Based Augmentation System
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GLS	GBAS Landing System
IATA	International Air Transport Association
IFALPA	International Federation of Air Line Pilots' Associations
IFATCA	International Federation of Air Traffic Controllers' Associations
IFF	Identification Friend or Foe
INS	Inertial Navigation System
IRU	Inertial Reference Unit
MEL	Minimum equipment list
MID eANP	MID Region Air Navigation Plan
MIDANPIRG	Middle East Air Navigation Planning and Implementation Regional Group
MID-RMA	Middle East Regional Monitoring Agency
MLAT	Multilateration
PANS	Procedures for Air Navigation Services
PBN	Performance Based Navigation
PIRG	Planning and Implementation Regional Group
RCP	Required Communication Performance
RNAV	Area Navigation
RNP	Required Navigation Performance
SARP	Standards and Recommended Practices
SBAS	Satellite-Based Augmentation System
SID	Standard Instrument Departure
SOP	Standard operating procedure
STAR	Standard Instrument Arrival
TAWS	Terrain awareness warning system
TMA	Terminal Control Area
VOR	VHF Omni-directional Radio-range
WGS	World Geodetic System

CHAPTER 1

PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Performance Based Navigation (PBN) concept specifies aircraft RNAV system performance requirements in terms of accuracy, integrity, availability, continuity and functionality needed for the proposed operations in the context of a particular airspace concept, when supported by the appropriate navigation infrastructure. In this context, the PBN concept represents a shift from sensor-based to performance based navigation.

1.2 The main tool for optimizing the airspace structure is the implementation of PBN, which will foster the necessary conditions for the utilization of RNAV and RNP capabilities by a significant portion of airspace users in the MID Region.

1.3 The MID Regional PBN Implementation Plan will serve as guidance for regional projects for the implementation of air navigation infrastructure~~, ,such as SBAS, GBAS, GLS etc.~~, as well as for the development of national implementation plans.

1.4 The PBN Manual (Doc 9613) provides guidance on PBN navigation specifications and encompasses two types of approvals: airworthiness, exclusively relating to the approval of aircraft, and operational, dealing with the operational aspects of the operator. PBN approval will be granted to operators that comply with these two types of approval.

1.5 After the implementation of PBN as part of the airspace concept, the total system needs to be monitored to ensure that safety of the system is maintained. A system safety assessment shall be conducted during and after implementation and evidence collected to ensure that the safety of the system is assured.

2. BENEFITS OF PERFORMANCE BASED NAVIGATION

a) *Access and Equity*: Increased aerodrome accessibility.

b) *Capacity*: In contrast with ILS, the GNSS based approaches do not require the definition and management of sensitive and critical areas resulting in potentially increased runway capacity.

c) *Efficiency*: Cost savings related to the benefits of lower approach minima: fewer diversions, overflights, cancellations and delays. Cost savings related to higher airport capacity in certain circumstances (e.g. closely spaced parallels) by taking advantage of the flexibility to offset approaches and define displaced thresholds.

d) *Environment*: Environmental benefits through reduced fuel burn.

e) *Safety*: Stabilized approach paths.

f) *Cost Benefit Analysis*: Aircraft operators and air navigation service providers (ANSPs) can quantify the benefits of lower minima by using historical aerodrome weather observations and modeling airport accessibility with existing and new minima. Each aircraft operator can then assess benefits against the cost of any required avionics upgrade. Until there are GBAS (CAT II/III) Standards, GLS cannot be considered as a candidate to globally replace ILS. The GLS business case needs to consider the cost of retaining ILS or MLS to allow continued operations during an interference event

3. GOALS AND OBJECTIVES OF PBN IMPLEMENTATION

3.1. The MID Region PBN Implementation Plan has the following strategic objectives:

a) ensure that implementation of the navigation element of the MID CNS/ATM system is based on clearly established operational requirements;

b) avoid unnecessarily imposing the mandate for multiple equipment on board or multiple systems on ground;

c) avoid the need for multiple airworthiness and operational approvals for intra and inter-regional operations; and

d) avoid an eclipsing of ATM operational requirements by commercial interests, generating unnecessary costs to States, ~~international~~ organizations, and airspace users.

3.2. Furthermore, the Plan will provide a high-level strategy for the evolution of the navigation applications to be implemented in the MID Region in the short term (2013-~~2017~~2018), medium term (~~2018~~2019-~~2022~~2025).

3.3. The plan is intended to assist the main stakeholders of the aviation community to plan the future transition and their investment strategies. For example, Operators can use this Regional Plan to plan future equipage and additional navigation capability investment; Air Navigation Service Providers can plan a gradual transition for the evolving ground infrastructure, Regulating Agencies will be able to anticipate and plan for the criteria that will be needed in the future.

4. PLANNING PRINCIPLES

4.1. The implementation of PBN in the MID Region shall be based on the following principles:

a) implementation of PBN specification and granting PBN operational approvals should be in compliance with ICAO provisions;

b) States conduct pre- and post–implementation safety assessments to ensure the application and maintenance of the established target level of safety;

c) continued application of conventional air navigation procedures during the transition period, to guarantee the operation by users that are not PBN capable;

d) Users/operational requirements should be taken into consideration while planning for PBN implementation;

e) States should provide the ICAO MID Regional Office with their updated PBN implementation Plan on annual basis (before December);

f) the implementation of Advanced-RNP should start by January 2015;

g) implementation of approach procedures with vertical guidance (APV) (Baro-VNAV and/or augmented GNSS), including LNAV only minima, for all runway ends at international Aerodromes, either as the primary approach or as a back-up for precision approaches by 2017 with intermediate milestones as follows: 50 percent by 2015 and 70 per cent by 2016;

h) implementation of straight-in LNAV only procedures, as an exception to g) above, for instrument runways at aerodromes where there is no local altimeter setting available and where there are no aircraft suitably equipped for APV operations with a maximum certificated take-off mass of 5 700 kg or more~~.~~; and

States should assess the benefit accrued from the implementation of PBN procedures and ATS Routes, and to report the environmental benefits to the ICAO MID Regional Office.

5. PBN OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

5.1. Introduction of PBN should be consistent with the Global Air Navigation Plan. Moreover, PBN Implementation shall be in full compliance with ICAO SARPs and PANS.

5.2. Continuous Climb and Descent Operations (CCO and CDO) are two of several tools available to aircraft operators and ANSPs ~~that~~, through collaboration between stakeholders, will make it possible to increase efficiency, flight predictability ~~and airspace capacity~~, while reducing fuel burn, emissions and controller-pilot communications, thereby enhancing safety.

En-route

5.3. Considering the traffic characteristic and CNS/ATM capability of the Region, the En-route operations can be classified as oceanic, remote continental, continental, and local/domestic. In principle, each classification of the En-route operations should adopt, but not be limited to single PBN navigation specification. This implementation strategy will be applied by the States and international organizations themselves, as coordinated at regional level to ensure harmonization.

5.4. In areas where operational benefits can be achieved and appropriate CNS/ATM capability exists or can be provided for a more accurate navigation specification, States are encouraged to introduce more accurate navigation specification on the basis of coordination with stakeholders and affected neighbouring States.

Terminal

5.5. Terminal operations have their own characteristics, taking into account the applicable separation minima between aircraft and between aircraft and obstacles. It also involves the diversity of aircraft, including low-performance aircraft flying in the lower airspace and conducting arrival and departure procedures on the same path or close to the paths of high-performance aircraft.

5.6. In this context, the States should develop their own national plans for the implementation of PBN in Terminal Control Areas (TMAs), based on the MID Region PBN Implementation Plan, seeking the harmonization of the application of PBN and avoiding the need for multiple operational approvals for intra- and inter-regional operations, and the applicable aircraft separation criteria.

Approach

5.7. ATC workload should be taken into account while developing PBN Approach Procedures. One possible way to accomplish this would be by co-locating the Initial Approach Waypoint (IAW) for PBN with the Initial Approach Fix (IAF) of the conventional approaches. States should phase-out conventional non-precision approach procedures at a certain point when deemed operationally suitable and taking in consideration GNSS integrity requirements.

5.8. ~~Therefore,~~ MID States are encouraged to include implementation of CCO and CDO, where appropriate, as part of their PBN implementation plans, in compliance with the provisions of ICAO Documents 9931 and 9993, and in accordance with the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy.

5.9. Sates are encouraged to plan for the implementation of RNP AR procedures, which can provide significant operational and safety advantages over other area navigation (RNAV) procedures by incorporating additional navigational accuracy, integrity and functional capabilities to permit operations using reduced obstacle clearance tolerances that enable approach and departure procedures to be implemented in circumstances where other types of approach and departure procedures are not operationally possible or satisfactory. Procedures implemented in accordance with RNP AR Procedure Design Manual (Doc 9905) allow the exploitation of high-quality, managed lateral and vertical navigation (VNAV) capabilities that provide improvements in operational safety and reduced Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT) risks.

CHAPTER 2

CNS INFRASTRUCTURE

1. NAVIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE

Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)

1.1. Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) is a satellite-based navigation system utilizing satellite signals, such as Global Positioning System (GPS), and GLONASS for providing accurate and reliable position, navigation, and time services to airspace users. In 1996, the International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) endorsed the development and use of GNSS as a primary source of future navigation for civil aviation. ICAO noted the increased flight safety, route flexibility and operational efficiencies that could be realized from the move to space-based navigation.

1.2. GNSS supports both RNAV and RNP operations. Through the use of appropriate GNSS augmentations~~,~~. GNSS navigation provides sufficient accuracy, integrity, availability and continuity to support en-route, terminal area, and approach operations. Approval of RNP operations with appropriate certified avionics provides on-board performance monitoring and alerting capability enhancing the integrity of aircraft navigation.

1.3. GNSS augmentations include Aircraft-Based Augmentation System (ABAS), Satellite-Based Augmentation System (SBAS) and Ground-Based Augmentation System (GBAS).

1.4. For GNSS implementation States need to provide effective spectrum management and protection of GNSS frequencies by enforcing strong regulatory framework governing the use of GNSS repeaters, and jammers. States need to assess the likelihood and effects of GNSS vulnerabilities in their airspace and apply, as necessary, recognized and available mitigation methods.

1.5. During transition to GNSS, sufficient ground infrastructure for current navigation systems must remain available. Before existing ground infrastructure is considered for removal, users should be consulted and given reasonable transition time to allow them to equip accordingly.

1.6. GNSS implementation should take advantage of the improved robustness and availability made possible by the existence of multiple global navigation satellite system constellations and associated augmentation systems.

1.7. Operators consider equipage with GNSS receivers able to process more than one constellation in order to gain the benefits associated with the support of more demanding operations. States allow for realization of the full advantages of on-board mitigation techniques.

2. OTHER NAVIGATION INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORTING PBN

2.1. Other navigation infrastructure that supports PBN applications includes INS, VOR/DME, DME/DME, and DME/DME/IRU. These navigation infrastructures may satisfy the requirements of RNAV navigation specifications, but not those of RNP.

2.2. INS may be used to support PBN en-route operations with RNAV-10 and RNAV 5 navigation specifications.

2.3. VOR/DME may be used to support PBN en-route operations based on RNAV 5 navigation specification.

2.4. DME/DME and DME/DME/IRU may support PBN en-route and terminal area operations based on RNAV 5, and RNAV 1 navigation specifications. Validation of DME/DME coverage area and appropriate DME/DME geometry should be conducted to identify possible DME/DME gaps, including identification of critical DMEs, and to ensure proper DME/DME service

coverage.

Note.- The conventional Navaid infrastructure should be maintained to support non-equipped aircraft during a transition period ~~until at least 2017~~.

3. SURVEILLANCE INFRASTRUCTURE

3.1. For RNAV operations, States should ensure that sufficient surveillance coverage is provided to assure the safety of the operations. Because of the on-board performance monitoring and alerting requirements for RNP operations, surveillance coverage may not be required. Details on the surveillance requirements for PBN implementation can be found in the ICAO PBN Manual (Doc 9613) and ICAO PANS-ATM (Doc 4444), and information on the current surveillance infrastructure in the MID can be found in ~~ICAO FASID table~~ the MID eANP.

3.2. Multilateration (MLAT) employs a number of ground stations, which are placed in strategic locations around an airport, its local terminal area or a wider area that covers the larger surrounding airspace. Multilateration requires no additional avionics equipment, as it uses replies from Mode A, C and S transponders, as well as military IFF and ADS-B transponders. ~~MLAT is under consideration by several MID States (Bahrain, Egypt, Oman and UAE).~~

4. COMMUNICATION INFRASTRUCTURE

4.1. Implementation of RNAV and RNP routes includes communication requirements. Details on the communication requirements for PBN implementation can be found in ICAO PANS-ATM (Doc 4444), ICAO RCP Manual (Doc 9869), and ICAO Annex 10. Information on the current communication infrastructure in the MID can also be found in MID eANP. ~~MID FASID tables~~.

CHAPTER 3

IMPLEMENTATION OF PBN

1. ATM OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

1.1. The Global ATM Operational Concept: (Doc 9854) makes it necessary to adopt an airspace concept able to provide an operational scenario that includes route networks, minimum separation standards, assessment of obstacle clearance, and a CNS infrastructure that satisfies specific strategic objectives, including safety, access, capacity, efficiency, and environment.

1.2. During the planning phase of any implementation of PBN, States should gather inputs from all aviation stakeholders to obtain operational needs and requirements. These needs and requirements should then be used to derive airspace concepts and to select appropriate PBN navigation specification

1.3. In this regard, the following should be taken into consideration:

a) Traffic and cost benefit analyses
b) Necessary updates on automation
c) Operational simulations in different scenarios
d) ATC personnel training
e) Flight plan processing
f) Flight procedure design training to include PBN concepts and ARINC-424 coding standard
g) Enhanced electronic data and processes to ensure appropriate level of AIS data accuracy, integrity and timeliness
h) WGS-84 implementation in accordance with ICAO Annex 15 provisions
i) Uniform classification of adjacent and regional airspaces, where practicable
j) RNAV/RNP applications for SIDs and STARs
k) Coordinated RNAV/RNP routes implementation
l) RNP approach with vertical guidance
m) Establish PBN approval database

1.4. Table 2-1 shows the navigation specifications published in ~~Parts B and C of~~ PBN Manual (Doc 9613), Volume II. It demonstrates, for example, that navigation specifications extend over various phases of flight. It also contains the Navaids/Sensor associated with each PBN specification.

1.5. The implementation of PBN additional functionalities/path terminator should be considered while planning/designing new procedures such as:

- the Radius to Fix (RF) for approach;
- Fixed Radius Transition (FRT) for En-route; and
- Time of Arrival Control (TOAC).

Table 3-1. Application of navigation specification by flight phase

Navigation Specification	*FLIGHT PHASE*								**NAVAIDS/SENSORS**				
	En-route oceanic/ remote	*En-route continental*	*Arrival*	*Approach*				*DEP*	**GNSS**	**IRU**	**DME/ DME**	**DME/ DME/ IRU**	**VOR/ DME**
				Initial	*Intermediate*	*Final*	*Missed*[1]						
RNAV 10	10	N/A		N/A				N/A	O	O	N/A		
RNAV 5[2]	N/A	5	5						O	O	O	N/A	O
RNAV 2		2	2					2	O	N/A	O	O	N/A
RNAV 1		1	1	1	1	N/A	1	1	O		O	O	
RNP 4	4	N/A		N/A				N/A	M				
RNP 2	2	2	N/A						M		SR	SR	
RNP 1[3]	N/A		1	1	1	N/A	1	1	M		SR	SR	
Advanced RNP (A-RNP)[4]	2	2 or 1	1	1	1	0.3	1	1	M		SR	SR	
RNP APCH[6]	N/A			1	1	0.3[7]	1	N/A	M		N/A		
RNP AR APCH				1-0.1	1-0.1	0.3-0.1	1-0.1		M				
RNP APCH APV				1	1	0.3	1		M				
RNP 0.3[8]	N/A		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	M				

O: Optional; M: Mandatory; SR: Subject ANSP Requirements

1. *Only applies once 50 m (40 m, Cat H) obstacle clearance has been achieved after the start of climb.*
2. *RNAV 5 is an en-route navigation specification which may be used for the initial part of a STAR outside 30 NM and above MSA.*
3. *The RNP 1 specification is limited to use on STARs, SIDs, the initial and intermediate segments of IAPs and the missed approach after the initial climb phase. Beyond 30 NM from the ARP, the accuracy value for alerting becomes 2 NM.*
4. *A-RNP also permits a range of scalable RNP lateral navigation accuracies*
5. *PBN manual contains two sections related to the RNP APCH specification: Section A is enabled by GNSS and Baro-VNAV, Section B is enabled by SBAS.*
6. *RNP 0.3 is applicable to RNP APCH Section A. Different angular performance requirements are applicable to RNP APCH Section B only.*
7. *The RNP 0.3 specification is primarily intended for helicopter operations*.

2. IMPLEMENTATION PHASES:

En-route

Short Term:

2.1. The current application of RNAV 10 will continue for Oceanic and Remote continental routes.

2.2. For Continental RNAV 5 specifications should be completed by December 2017. Before the PBN concept, the MID Region adopted the Regional implementation of RNP 5. ~~Furtehr~~Further to application of the PBN concept, ~~it is now required that~~ RNP 5 routes have been changed into RNAV 5 routes. Based on operational requirements, States may choose to implement RNAV 1 routes to enhance efficiency of airspace usages and support closer route spacing, noting that appropriate communication and surveillance coverage is provided. Details of these requirements are provided in the PBN manual (Doc 9613) and PANS-ATM (Doc 4444).

Medium Term:

2.3. RNP 4 and/or RNP 2 routes would be considered for implementation for the En-route oceanic/remote operations.

2.4. RNP 2 or RNAV 1 would be considered for implementation for En-route continental/local domestic operations.

Terminal

Short Term:

2.5. In a non-surveillance environment and/or in an environment without adequate ground navigation infrastructure, the SID/STAR application of ~~Basic~~ RNP 1 is expected in selected TMAs with exclusive application of GNSS.

2.6. CCO and CDO should be implemented at the defined TMAs, in accordance with the State PBN implementation Plans, the MID Region Air navigation Strategy and the MID eANP.

Medium Term:

2.7. RNAV 1, A-RNP 1 will be implemented in all TMAs, expected target will be 70 % by the end of this term.

Approach

Short Term:

2.8. Implementation of PBN approaches with vertical guidance (APV) for runway ends at the international aerodromes listed in the MID eANP should be completed by December 2017, including LNAV only minima.

2.9. The application of RNP AR APCH procedures would be limited to selected airports, where obvious operational benefits can be obtained due to the existence of significant obstacles.

Medium Term:

2.10. The extended application of RNP AR APCH should continue for airports where there are operational benefits.

2.11. To progress further with the universal implementation of PBN approaches. GLS procedures should be implemented for the defined runway ends to enhance the reliability and predictability of approaches to runways increasing safety, accessibility, and efficiency.

2.12. Table 3-2 summarizes the implementation targets of each PBN navigation specification in the MID Region:

Table 3-2. SUMMARY TABLE AND IMPLEMENTATION TARGETS

Airspace	Short term ~~2014~~2013-~~2017~~2018		Medium term ~~2018~~2019-~~2022~~2025	
	Navigation Specification Preferred	**Targets**	**Navigation Specification Acceptable**	**Targets**
En-route – Oceanic	RNAV 10	100 % by 2016	RNP 4* RNP 2* Defined airspace (A-RNP)	TBD
En-route - Remote continental	RNAV 5 RNAV 10	W/A 100% by 2016	RNP 4* RNP 2* Defined airspace (A-RNP)	TBD
En-route – Continental	RNAV 5 RNAV 1	100 % by 2017 W/A[1]	RNP 2* ~~or 1*~~ Defined airspace (A-RNP)	TBD
En-route - Local / Domestic	RNAV 5 RNAV 1	100 % by 2017 W/A	RNP 2 ~~or 1~~ Defined airspace (A-RNP)	TBD
TMA – Arrival	RNAV 1 ~~in~~ (surveillance environment) ~~and with adequate navigation infrastructure. Basic~~ RNP 1 ~~in~~ (non-surveillance environment)	50% by December ~~2015~~2016 100% by ~~2017~~2018	RNP 1 and RNP 2 beyond 30 NM from ARP (A-RNP)	TBD
TMA – Departure	RNAV 1 (surveillance environment) RNP 1 (non-surveillance environment)	50% by ~~2015~~2016 100% by ~~2017~~2018	RNP 1 and RNP 2 beyond 30 NM from ARP (A-RNP)	TBD
Approach	LNAV: for all RWY Ends at International Aerodromes LNAV/VNAV: for all RWY Ends at International Aerodromes	80 % by 2014. 100% by ~~2015~~2016 70% by ~~2015~~ 2016 100% by ~~2017~~ 2018	GLS (GBAS) For the defined RWY Ends	TBD
CCO and CDO	W/A	100% by ~~2017~~ 2018	W/A	TBD

– *W/A: where applicable/defined Airspace, in accordance with State PBN implementation Plans, the MID Region Air navigation Strategy and the MID ANP.* – ** would be considered for implementation at the identified Airspace/TMAs* – *When no month is specified (e.g. by 2017) means by the end of the year (December 2017).*

Long Term (~~2023~~ 2025 and Beyond)

2.13. In this phase, GNSS augmentation is expected to be a primary navigation infrastructure for PBN implementation. States should work co-operatively on a multinational basis to implement GNSS in order to facilitate seamless and inter-operable systems and undertake coordinated Research and Development (R&D) programs on GNSS implementation and operation.

2.14. Moreover, during this phase, States are encouraged to consider segregating traffic according to navigation capability and granting preferred routes to aircraft with better navigation performance.

2.15. The required PBN navigation specifications and their associated targets to be implemented for the Long term will be defined in due course.

CHAPTER 4

SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND MONITORING

1. NEED FOR SAFETY ASSESSMENT

1.1. To ensure that the introduction of PBN en-route applications within the MID Region is undertaken in a safe manner and in accordance with relevant ICAO provisions, implementation shall only take place following conduct of a safety assessment that has demonstrated that an acceptable level of safety will be met. This assessment may also need to demonstrate levels of risk associated with specific PBN en-route implementation. Additionally, ongoing periodic safety reviews shall be undertaken where required in order to establish that operations continue to meet the target levels of safety

2. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.1. To demonstrate that the system is safe, it will be necessary that the implementing agency – a State or group of States - ensures that a safety assessment and, where required, ongoing monitoring of the PBN ~~E~~en-route implementation are undertaken.

2.2. In undertaking a safety assessment to enable en-route implementation of PBN, a State or the implementing agency shall:

a) establish and maintain a database of PBN approvals;

b) monitor aircraft horizontal-plane navigation performance and the occurrence of large navigation errors and report results;

c) conduct safety and readiness assessments;

d) monitor operator compliance with State approval requirements after PBN implementation; and

e) initiate necessary remedial actions if PBN requirements are not met.

CHAPTER 5
OPERATIONAL APPROVAL

1. OPERATIONAL APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS

1.2. Operational approval is usually the responsibility of the regulatory authority of the State of the Operator for commercial air transport operations and the State of Registry for general Aviation (GA) operations. For certain operations, GA operators may not be required to follow the same authorization model as commercial operators.

1.3. The operational approval assessment must take account of the following:

a) a~~A~~ircraft eligibility and airworthiness compliance;

b) ~~O~~operating procedures for the navigation systems used;

c) c~~C~~ontrol of operating procedures (documented in the OM);

d) ~~F~~flight crew initial training and competency requirements and continuing competency requirements;

e) ~~D~~dispatch training requirements; and

f) control of navigation database procedures. Where a navigation database is required, operators need to have documented procedures for the management of such databases. These procedures will define the sourcing of navigation data from approved suppliers, data validation procedures for navigation databases and the installation of updates to databases into aircraft so that the databases remain current with the AIRAC cycle. (For RNP AR applications, the control of the terrain database used by TAWS must also be addressed.)

Aircraft eligibility

1.4. An aircraft is eligible for a particular PBN application provided there is clear statement in:

a) the Type Certificate (TC); or
b) the Supplement Type Certificate (STC); or
c) the associated documentation — Aircraft Flight manual (AFM) or equivalent document; or
d) a compliance statement from the manufacturer that has been approved by the State of Design and accepted by the State of Registry or the State of the Operator, if different.

1.5. The operator must have a configuration list detailing the pertinent hardware and software components and equipment used for the PBN operation.

1.6. The TC is the approved standard for the production of a specified type/series of aircraft. The aircraft specification for that type/series, as part of the TC, will generally include a navigation standard. The aircraft documentation for that type/series will define the system use, operational limitations, equipment fitted and the maintenance practices and procedures. No changes (modifications) are permitted to an aircraft unless the CAA of the State of Registry either approves such changes through a modification approval process, STC or accepts technical data defining a design change that has been approved by another State.

1.7. For recently manufactured aircraft, where the PBN capability is approved under the TC, there may be a statement in the AFM limitations section identifying the operations for which the aircraft is approved. There is also usually a statement that the stated approval does not itself constitute an approval for an operator to conduct those operations. Alternate methods of achieving the

airworthiness approval of the aircraft for PBN operations is for the aircraft to be modified in accordance with approved data. (e.g. STC, minor modification, etc.)

1.8. One means of modifying an aircraft is the approved Service Bulletin (SB) issued by the aircraft manufacturer. The SB is a document approved by the State of Design to enable changes to the specified aircraft type and the modification then becomes part of the type design of the aircraft. Its applicability will normally be restricted by the airframe serial number. The SB describes the intention of the change and the work to be done to the aircraft. Any deviations from the SB require a design change approval; any deviations not approved will invalidate the SB approval. The State of Registry accepts the application of an SB and changes to the maintenance programme, while the State of the Operator accepts changes to the maintenance programme and approves changes to the MEL, training programmes and Operations specifications. An Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) SB may be obtained for current production or out of production aircraft.

1.9. In respect of PBN, in many cases for legacy aircraft, while the aircraft is capable of meeting all the airworthiness requirements, there may be no clear statement in the applicable TC or STC or associated documents (AFM or equivalent document). In such cases, the aircraft manufacturer may elect to issue an SB with appropriate AFM update or instead may publish a compliance statement in the form of a letter, for simple changes, or a detailed aircraft type specific document for more complex changes. The State of Registry may determine that an AFM change is not required if it accepts the OEM documentation. **Table 5-1** lists the possible scenarios facing an operator who wishes to obtain approval for a PBN application, together with the appropriate courses of action.

Table 5-1

Scenario	Aircraft certification status	Actions by operator/owner
1	Aircraft designed and type certificated for PBN application. Documented in AFM, TC or the STC	No action required, aircraft eligible for PBN application
2	Aircraft equipped for PBN application but not certified. No statement in AFM. SB available from the aircraft manufacturer	Obtain SB (and associated amendment pages to the AFM) from the aircraft manufacturer
3	Aircraft equipped for PBN application. No statement in AFM. SB not available. Statement of compliance available from the aircraft manufacturer	Establish whether the statement of compliance is acceptable to the regulatory authority of the State of Registry of the aircraft
4	Aircraft equipped for PBN application. No statement in AFM. SB not available. Statement of compliance from the aircraft manufacturer not available	Develop detailed submission to State of Registry showing how the existing aircraft equipment meets the PBN application requirements
5	Aircraft not equipped for PBN application	Modify aircraft in accordance with the aircraft manufacturer's SB or develop a major modification in conjunction with an approved design organization in order to obtain an approval from the State of Registry (STC).

Operating procedures

1.10. The Standard operating procedure (SOP) must be developed to cover both normal and non-normal (contingency) procedures for the systems used in the PBN operation. The SOP must address:

a) preflight planning requirements including the MEL and, where appropriate, RNP/RAIM prediction;
b) actions to be taken prior to commencing the PBN operation;
c) actions to be taken during the PBN operation; and
d) actions to be taken in the event of a contingency, including the reporting of significant incidents

GA pilots must ensure that they have suitable procedures/checklists covering all these areas

Control of operating procedures

1.11. The SOP must be adequately documented in the OM and checklists

Flight crew and dispatch training

1.12. A flight crew and dispatch training programme for the PBN operation must cover all the tasks associated with the operation and provide sufficient background to ensure a comprehensive understanding of all aspects of the operation. The operator must have adequate records of course completion for flight crew, flight dispatchers and maintenance personnel.

Control of navigation database procedures

1.13. If a navigation database is required, the procedures for maintaining currency, checking for errors and reporting errors to the navigation database supplier must be documented in the maintenance manual by commercial operators

2. DOCUMENTATION OF OPERATIONAL APPROVAL

2.1. Operational approval may be documented as an endorsement of the Air operator certificate (AOC) through an:

a) ~~an~~ Operations specification, associated with the AOC; or
b) ~~an~~ amendment to the OM; or
c) ~~an~~ LOA.

2.2. During the validity of the operational approval, the CAA should consider any anomaly reports received from the operator or other interested party. Repeated navigation error occurrences attributed to a specific piece of navigation equipment may result in restrictions on use or cancelation of the approval for use of that equipment. Information that indicates the potential for repeated errors may require modification of an operator's training programme. Information that attributes multiple errors to a particular pilot or crew may necessitate remedial training and checking or a review of the operational approval.

2.3. The State may determine that a GA aircraft may operate on a PBN route/procedure provided that the operator has ensured that the aircraft has suitably approved equipment (is eligible), the navigation database is valid, the pilot is suitably qualified and current with respect to the equipment, and adequate procedures (checklists) are in place.

3. STATE REGULATORY MATERIAL

3.1. Individual States must develop national regulatory material which addresses the PBN applications relevant to their airspace or relevant to operations conducted in another State by the State's operators or by aircraft registered in that State. The regulations may be categorized by operation, flight phase, area of operation and/or navigation specification. Approvals for commercial operations should require specific authorization.

4. APPROVAL PROCESS

General

4.2. Since each operation may differ significantly in complexity and scope, the project manager and the operational approval team need considerable latitude in taking decisions and making recommendations during the approval process. The ultimate recommendation by the project manager and decision by the DGCA regarding operational approval should be based on the determination of whether or not the applicant:

a) meets the requirements established by the State in its air navigation regulations;
b) is adequately equipped; and
c) is capable of conducting the proposed operation in a safe and efficient manner.

4.3. The complexity of the approval process is based on the inspector's assessment of the applicant's proposed operation. For simple approvals, some steps can be condensed or eliminated. Some applicants may lack a basic understanding of what is required for approval. Other applicants may propose a complex operation, but may be well prepared and knowledgeable. Because of the variety in proposed operations and differences in an applicant's knowledge, the process must be thorough enough and flexible enough to apply to all possibilities.

Phases of the approval process

Step 1 — Pre-application phase

4.4. The operator initiates the approval process by reviewing the requirements; establishing that the aircraft, the operating procedures, the maintenance procedures and the training meet the requirements; and developing a written proposal to the regulator. A number of regulators have published "job aids" to assist the operator in gathering the necessary evidence to support the approval application. At this stage a pre-application meeting with the regulator can also be very beneficial. If the proposed application is complex, the operator may need to obtain advice and assistance from OEMs or other design organizations, training establishments, data providers, etc.

Step 2 — Formal application phase

4.5. The operator submits a formal, written application for approval to the CAA, which appoints a project manager either for the specific approval or generally for PBN approvals.

Step 3 — Document evaluation phase

4.6. The CAA project manager evaluates the formal, written application for approval to determine whether all the requirements are being met. If the proposed application is complex, the project manager may need to obtain advice and assistance from other organizations such as regional agencies or experts in other States.

Step 4 — Demonstration and inspection phase

4.7. During a formal inspection by the project manager (assisted as necessary by a CAA team), the operator demonstrates how the requirements are being met.

Step 5 — Approval phase

4.8. Following a successful formal inspection by the CAA, approval is given ~~via~~through an:

a) ~~an~~ Operations specification, associated with the AOC; or
b) ~~an~~ amendment to the OM; or
c) ~~an~~ LOA.

Some PBN applications may not require formal approval for GA operations — this will be determined by the State of Registry.

Note.— The approval procedure described above consists of a simplified process of the certification guidance contained in Part III of the Manual of Procedures for Operations Inspection, Certification and Continued Surveillance *(Doc 8335).*

5. FOREIGN OPERATIONS

5.1. A State undertakes, in accordance with Article 12 to the Convention, to ensure that every aircraft flying over or ~~manoeuvring~~maneuvering within its territory shall comply with the rules and regulations relating to the flight and ~~manoeuvre~~man oeuvre of aircraft there in force. Article 33 to the Convention provides that certificates of airworthiness and certificates of competency and ~~licences~~licenses issued, or rendered valid, by the State in which an aircraft is registered, shall be recognized by other States, provided that the requirements under which such certificates or ~~licences~~licenses were issued or rendered valid are equal to or above the minimum standards which may be established by ICAO. This requirement for recognition is now extended by Annex 6, Part I and Part III, Section II, such that Contracting States shall recognize as valid an AOC issued by another Contracting State, provided that the requirements under which the certificate was issued are at least equal to the applicable Standards specified in Annex 6, Part I and Part III.

5.2. States should establish procedures to facilitate the application by foreign operators for approval to operate into their territory. States should be careful in their requirements for applications, to request only details relevant to the evaluation of the safety of the operations under consideration and their future surveillance. When evaluating an application by an operator from another State to operate within its territory a State will examine both the safety oversight capabilities and record of the State of the Operator and, if different, the State of Registry, as well as the operational procedures and practices of the operator. This is necessary in order for the State, in the terms of Article 33 to the Convention, to have confidence in the validity of the certificates and ~~licences~~licenses associated with the operator, its personnel and aircraft, in the operational capabilities of the operator and in the level of certification and oversight applied to the activities of the operator by the State of the Operator.

5.3. The operator will need to make applications to each State into or over which it is intended to operate. The operator will also need to keep its own CAA, as the authority of the State of the Operator, informed of all applications to operate in other States. Applications should be made direct to the CAAs of the States into which it is intended to operate. In some cases it will be possible to download information and instructions for making an application and the necessary forms from a website maintained by the CAA in question.

5.4. States should promote the implementation and operational approval of Advanced RNP (A-RNP) navigation specifications, which serves all the flight phases as follows:

- En-Route Oceanic, Remote: RNP 2;
- En-Route Continental: RNP 2 or RNP 1;
- Arrival and Departures: RNP 1;
- Initial, intermediate and missed approach phases: RNP 1; and
- Final Approach Phase: RNP 0.3.

5.5. Because functional and performance requirements are defined for each navigation specification, an aircraft approved for an RNP specification is not automatically approved for all RNAV specifications. Similarly, an aircraft approved for an RNP or RNAV specification having a stringent accuracy requirement (e.g. RNP 0.3 specification) is not automatically approved for a navigation specification having a less stringent accuracy requirement (e.g. RNP 4).

- END -