Search Results

Summary

Searched for : aeronautical study
In document : C:\Users\nahady\Desktop\An14_v1 Aerodrome Design and Operations_cons.pdf
Results : 1 document(s) with 36 instance(s)
Saved on : 30-Nov-17 11:40:30 PM

File : An14_v1 Aerodrome Design and Operations_cons.pdf
Title : Microsoft Word - Anx.14.Vol.01.6th Edition.incl.Amd.13A.fulltext.en.docx
Subject :
Author : atyo
Keywords :

Page: 66

m if an **aeronautical study** indicates that such reduction would not affect the safety

Page: 69

aerodrome if an **aeronautical study** indicates that such lower separation distances would not adversely

considered in the **aeronautical study** is given in the Aerodrome Design Manual (Doc

Page: 87

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

Page: 88

Operational Measures and **Aeronautical Study.**

Page: 89

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

Page: 90

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

Page: 91

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

Page: 92

to permit an **aeronautical study** of the effect of such construction on the operation

unless a special **aeronautical study** indicates that they do not constitute a hazard to

appropriate authority after **aeronautical study,** endanger aeroplanes on the movement area or in the

Page: 135

surface and an **aeronautical study** indicates that the object could adversely affect the safety

Page: 140

3) where an **aeronautical study** indicates that such reduced wheel clearances are acceptable. d.

surface and an **aeronautical study** indicates that the object could adversely affect the safety

Page: 141

object, or after **aeronautical study** it is determined that the object would not adversely

Page: 142

5.3.5.46 Where an **aeronautical study** indicates that an existing object extending above an obstacle

Page: 181

omitted where an **aeronautical study** indicates that it is not needed. 5.4.3.12 Recommendation.— Where

Page: 191

lighthouse and an **aeronautical study** indicates the lighthouse light to be sufficient. 6.1.1.5 Recommendation.—

Page: 192

lighthouse and an **aeronautical study** indicates the lighthouse light to be sufficient. 6.1.1.7 Recommendation.—

or 3) an **aeronautical study** shows the obstacle not to be of operational significance;

lighthouse and an **aeronautical study** indicates the lighthouse light to be sufficient. 6.1.1.8 A

lighted if an **aeronautical study** indicates that the object could constitute a hazard to

lighted if an **aeronautical study** indicates that the wires or cables could constitute a

lighted if an **aeronautical study** indicates that the object could constitute a hazard to

Page: 193

lighted if an **aeronautical study** indicates that the wires or cables could constitute a

Page: 195

justified by an **aeronautical study.**

Page: 201

m and an **aeronautical study** indicates such lights to be essential for the recognition

Page: 202

indicated by an **aeronautical study.** Lighting 6.2.4.3 Recommendation.— When lighting is deemed necessary, in

provided. If an **aeronautical study** shows that low-intensity Type E lights are not

determined by an **aeronautical study.** 6.2.4.4 Recommendation.— The obstacle lights should be installed on

determined by an **aeronautical study.** 6.2.5 Overhead wires, cables, etc., and supporting towers Marking

Page: 203

where: a) an **aeronautical study** indicates such lights to be essential for the recognition

Page: 314

m if an **aeronautical study** indicates that such reduction would not affect the safety