

International Civil Aviation Organization

MIDANPIRG Communication, Navigation and Surveillance Sub-Group

Eighth Meeting (CNS SG/8) (Cairo, Egypt, 26 - 28 February 2018)

Agenda Item 4: CNS Planning and Implementation in the MID Region

MID REGION AIR NAVIGATION STRATEGY PARTS RELATED TO CNS

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

This paper presents the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002) Edition February 2017 as endorsed by MIDANPIRG/16.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002)
- MIDANPIRG/16 Report

1. Introduction

1.1 The meeting may wish to recall that the MIDANPIRG/16 meeting (Kuwait, 13-16 February 2017) endorsed an updated version of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy.

2. DISCUSSION

- 2.1 The meeting may wish to note that MIDANPIRG/16 reviewed the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002) and agreed to the following changes:
 - update of certain timelines/targets for harmonization purpose;
 - B0-SNET to be changed from priority 2 to priority 1 with 2 main elements: Short-term Conflict Alert (STCA) and Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW);
 - addition of a new column (Start Date) to the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy to reflect the start date of the newly assigned priority 1 Modules in the Strategy and to prepare for the future inclusion of additional Block 0 and Block 1 Modules;

- inclusion of a new performance indicator related to the implementation of SIGMET;
- renaming of the first element of the B0-AMET to be SADIS FTP (no SADIS 2G anymore); and
- update of the applicability areas for the B0-CDO and B0-CCO.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to review and update, as necessary, the parts of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy elements and targets related to CNS, at **Appendix A**.

MID Doc 002



INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

MIDDLE EAST AIR NAVIGATION PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION REGIONAL GROUP (MIDANPIRG)

MID REGION AIR NAVIGATION STRATEGY

EDITION FEBRUARY, 2017

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of ICAO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontier or boundaries.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	. 1
2.	Strategic Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency Objective	. I
3.	MID Air Navigation Objectives	. 1
	✓ Near Term Objectives	1
	✓ MID Term Objectives	2
	✓ Long Term Objectives	2
4.	MID Region ASBU Modules prioritization	. 2
5.	Measuring and monitoring air navigation performance	. 3
6	Governance	4

1. Introduction

- 1.1 As traffic volume increases throughout the world, the demands on air navigation service providers in a given airspace increase, and air traffic management becomes more complex.
- 1.2 It is foreseen that the implementation of the components of the ATM operational concept will provide sufficient capacity to meet the growing demand, generating additional benefits in terms of more efficient flights and higher levels of safety. Nevertheless, the potential of new technologies to significantly reduce the cost of services will require the establishment of clear operational requirements.
- 1.3 Taking into account the benefits of the ATM operational concept, it is necessary to make many timely decisions for its implementation. An unprecedented cooperation and harmonization will be required at both global and regional level.
- 1.4 ICAO introduced the Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBU) methodology as a systemic manner to achieve a harmonized implementation of the air navigation services. An ASBU designates a set of improvements that can be implemented globally from a defined point in time to enhance the performance of the ATM system.
- 1.5 Through Recommendation 6/1 Regional performance framework planning methodologies and tools, AN-Conf/12 urged States and PIRGs to harmonize the regional and national air navigation plans with the ASBU methodology in response to this, the MID region is developing MID Region Air Navigation Strategy that is aligned with the ASBU methodology.
- 1.6 Stakeholders including service providers, regulators, airspace users and manufacturers are facing increased levels of interaction as new, modernized ATM operations are implemented. The highly integrated nature of capabilities covered by the block upgrades requires a significant level of coordination and cooperation among all stakeholders. Working together is essential for achieving global harmonization and interoperability.

2. Strategic Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency Objective

2.1 To realize sound and economically-viable civil aviation system in the MID Region that continuously increases in capacity and improves in efficiency with enhanced safety while minimizing the adverse environmental effects of civil aviation activities.

3. MID Air Navigation Objectives

3.1 The MID Region air navigation objectives are set in line with the global air navigation objectives and address specific air navigation operational improvements identified within the framework of the Middle East Regional Planning and Implementation Group (MIDANPIRG).

Near-term Objective (2013 - 2018): ASBU Block 0

- 3.2 Block '0' features Modules characterized by operational improvements which have already been developed and implemented in many parts of the world today. It therefore has a near-term implementation period of 2013–2018. The MID Region near-term priorities are based on the implementation of an agreed set of Block 0 Modules as reflected in **Table 1** below.
- 3.3 The MID Region Air Navigation Strategy is aimed to maintain regional harmonisation. The States should develop their national performance framework, including action plans for the implementation of relevant priority 1 ASBU Modules and other modules according to the State operational requirements.

Mid-term Objective (2019 - 2024): ASBU Block 1

3.4 Blocks 1 through 3 are characterized by both existing and projected performance area solutions, with availability milestones beginning in 2019, 2025 and 2031, respectively. Associated timescales are intended to depict the initial deployment targets along with the readiness of all components needed for deployment.

Long-term Objective (2025 - 2030): ASBU Block 2

3.5 The Block Upgrades incorporate a long-term perspective matching that of the three companion ICAO Air Navigation planning documents. They coordinate clear aircraft- and ground-based operational objectives together with the avionics, data link and ATM system requirements needed to achieve them. The overall strategy serves to provide industry wide transparency and essential investment certainty for operators, equipment manufacturers and ANSPs.

4. MID Region ASBU Block 0 Modules Prioritization and Monitoring

4.1 On the basis of operational requirements and taking into consideration the associated benefits, **Table 1** below shows the priority for implementation of the 18 Block "0" Modules, as well as the MIDANPIRG subsidiary bodies that will be monitoring and supporting the implementation of the Modules:

Table 1. MID REGION ASBU BLOCK 0 MODULES PRIORITIZATION AND MONITORING

Madala Cada	M. J. I. T'41.	D	C44 D-4-	Monitoring		Remarks		
Module Code	Module Title	Priority	Start Date	Main	Supporting			
Performance Im	Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 1: Airport Operations							
B0-APTA	Optimization of Approach Procedures including vertical guidance	1	2014	PBN SG	ATM SG, AIM SG, CNS SG			
B0-WAKE	Increased Runway Throughput through Optimized Wake Turbulence Separation	2						
B0-RSEQ	Improve Traffic flow through Runway Sequencing (AMAN/DMAN)	2						
B0-SURF	Safety and Efficiency of Surface Operations (A- SMGCS Level 1-2)	1	2014	ANSIG	CNS SG	Coordination with RGS WG		
B0-ACDM	Improved Airport Operations through Airport-CDM	1	2014	ANSIG	CNS SG, AIM SG, ATM SG	Coordination with RGS WG		
	Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 2 Globally Interoperable Systems and Data Through Globally Interoperable System Wide Information Management							
B0-FICE	Increased Interoperability, Efficiency and Capacity through Ground-Ground Integration	1	2014	CNS SG	AIM SG, ATM SG			
B0-DATM	Service Improvement through Digital Aeronautical Information Management	1	2014	AIM SG				

B0-AMET	Meteorological information supporting enhanced operational efficiency and safety	1	2014	MET SG					
Performance I	Performance Improvement Areas (PIA) 3 Optimum Capacity and Flexible Flights – Through Global Collaborative ATM								
B0-FRTO	Improved Operations through Enhanced En-Route Trajectories	1	2014	ATM SG					
B0-NOPS	Improved Flow Performance through Planning based on a Network-Wide view	1	2014						
B0-ASUR	Initial capability for ground surveillance	2							
B0-ASEP	Air Traffic Situational Awareness (ATSA)	2							
B0-OPFL	Improved access to optimum flight levels through climb/descent procedures using ADS-B	2							
B0-ACAS	ACAS Improvements	1	2014	CNS SG					
B0-SNET	Increased Effectiveness of Ground-Based Safety Nets	1	2017	ATM SG					
Performance 1	Improvement Areas (PIA) 4 Efj	ficient Fligh	t Path – Throug	h Trajectory-b	ased Operations				
B0-CDO	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency in Descent Profiles (CDO)	1	2014	PBN SG					
В0-ТВО	Improved Safety and Efficiency through the initial application of Data Link En-Route	2		ATM SG	CNS SG				
В0-ССО	Improved Flexibility and Efficiency Departure Profiles - Continuous Climb Operations (CCO)	1	2014	PBN SG					

Priority 1: Modules that have the highest contribution to the improvement of air navigation safety and/or efficiency in the MID Region. These modules should be implemented where applicable and will be used for the purpose of regional air navigation monitoring and reporting for the period 2015-2018.

Priority 2: Modules recommended for implementation based on identified operational needs and benefits.

5. Measuring and monitoring air navigation performance

- 5.1 The monitoring of air navigation performance and its enhancement is achieved through identification of relevant air navigation Metrics and Indicators as well as the adoption and attainment of air navigation system Targets. The monitoring of the priority 1 ASBU modules is carried out through the MID eANP Volume III.
- 5.2 MIDANPIRG through its activities under the various subsidary bodies will continue to update and monitor the implementation of the ASBU Modules to achieve the air navigation targets.
- 5.3 The priority 1 Modules along with the associated elements, applicability, performance Indicators, supporting Metrics, and performance Targets are shown in the **Table 2** below.

Note: The different elements supporting the implementation are explained in detail in the ASBU Document which is attached to the Global Plan (Doc 9750).

6. Governance

- Progress report on the status of implementation of the different priority 1 Modules and other Modules, as appropriate, should be developed by the Air Navigation System Implementation Group (ANSIG) and presented to the MIDANPIRG Steering Group (MSG) and/or MIDANPIRG on regular basis.
- 6.2 The MIDANPIRG and its Steering Group (MSG) will be the governing body responsible for the review and update of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy.
- 6.3 The MID Region Air Navigation Strategy will guide the work of MIDANPIRG and its subsidary bodies and all its member States and partners.
- Progress on the implementation of the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy and the achievement of the agreed air navigation targets will be reported to the ICAO Air Navigation Commission (ANC), through the review of the MIDANPIRG reports, MID Air navigation Report, etc.; and to the stakeholders in the Region within the framework of MIDANPIRG.

Description and purpose:

To improve coordination between air traffic service units (ATSUs) by using ATS Inter-facility Data Communication (AIDC) defined by the ICAO *Manual of Air Traffic Services Data Link Applications* (Doc 9694). The transfer of communication in a data link environment improves the efficiency of this process particularly for oceanic ATSUs.

Main performance impact:

KPA- 01 – Access and Equity	KPA-02 – Capacity	KPA-04 – Efficiency	KPA-05 – Environment	KPA-10 – Safety
N	Y	Y	N	Y

Applicability consideration:

Applicable to at least two area control centres (ACCs) dealing with enroute and/or terminal control area (TMA) airspace. A greater number of consecutive participating ACCs will increase the benefits.

B0 - FICE: Increased Interoperability, Efficiency and Capacity through Ground-Ground Integration						
Elements	Applicability	Performance Indicators/Supporting Metrics	Targets			
AMHS capability	All States	Indicator: % of States with AMHS capability Supporting metric: Number of States with AMHS capability	70% of States with AMHS capability by Dec. 2017			
AMHS implementation /interconnection	All States	Indicator: % of States with AMHS implemented (interconnected with other States AMHS) Supporting metric: Number of States with AMHS implemented (interconnections with other States AMHS)	60% of States with AMHS interconnected by Dec. 2017			
Implementation of AIDC/OLDI between adjacent ACCs	All ACCs	Indicator: % of FIRs within which all applicable ACCs have implemented at least one interface to use AIDC/OLDI with neighboring ACCs Supporting metric: Number of AIDC/OLDI interconnections implemented between adjacent ACCs	70% by Dec. 2017			

B0 – ACAS: ACAS Improvements

Description and purpose:

To provide short-term improvements to existing airborne collision avoidance systems (ACAS) to reduce nuisance alerts while maintaining existing levels of safety. This will reduce trajectory deviations and increase safety in cases where there is a breakdown of separation

Main performance impact:

KPA- 01 – Access and Equity	KPA-02 – Capacity	KPA-04 – Efficiency	KPA-05 – Environment	KPA-10 – Safety
N/A	N/A	Y	N/A	Y

Applicability consideration:

Safety and operational benefits increase with the proportion of equipped aircraft.

B0 – ACAS: ACAS Improvements							
Elements	Applicability	Performance Indicators/Supporting Metrics	Targets				
Avionics (TCAS V7.1)	All States	Indicator: % of States requiring carriage of ACAS (TCAS v 7.1) for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5.7 tons	100% by Dec. 2017				
		Supporting metric: Number of States requiring carriage of ACAS (TCAS v 7.1) for aircraft with a max certificated take-off mass greater than 5.7 tons					