

International Civil Aviation Organization

Eighth Meeting of the Performance Based Navigation Sub-Group (PBN SG/8)

(Doha, Qatar, 12 - 13 December 2023)

Agenda Item 4: PBN Planning and Implementation in the MID Region

NAVIGATION MINIMAL OPERATING NETWORKS (NAV MON)

(Presented by the Secretariat)

SUMMARY

The new element of the ASBU Thead NAVS Element B0/4 related to "Navigation Minimal Operating Networks" (NAVS) has been classified as priority 1 in the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002). This element allows the rationalization of the ground based conventional infrastructure through the definition of minimal networks of ground navaids defined in a specific Plan for minimal operating networks (MON). The aim of this paper is to present the Navigation Minimal Operating Networks Template for the review and update, as deemed necessary, by the meeting.

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- ICAO GANP
- MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002)
- MIDANPIRG/18 & RASG-MID/8 Meetings Report
- MIDANPIRG/20 & RASG-MID/10 Meetings Report

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The GANP has the objective of a future harmonized global navigation capability based on area navigation (RNAV) and performance-based navigation (PBN) supported by the global navigation satellite system (GNSS).
- 1.2 The implementation of Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) on a wide scale in all phases of flight is well under way and is itself a prerequisite for navigation aids (navaids) rationalization. This is because PBN procedures are enabled by GNSS as the primary navigation means. While some of the ground systems can also support PBN operations (e.g. DME), the role of the ground based navigation infrastructure will evolve towards providing a reversion capability for GNSS and supporting contingency operations in the case of GNSS outage. This offers the opportunity to rationalize some of the terrestrial infrastructure while retaining a Minimal Operational Network.

- 1.3 The advantages of PBN are wide and relevant; the flexibility of the procedure design brings efficiency to the operations and airspace usage, and ground-based infrastructure can be reduced to a Minimum Operation Network (MON) to back up the system, impacting positively the Navigation associated costs.
- 1.4 Therefore, PBN planning should embrace the definition of the Minimum Operating Network (MON) of legacy Navaids to sustain the system in case of PBN disruption or degraded operations and address the PBN contingency modes.

2. DISCUSSION

- 2.1 The ASBU element "Navigation Minimal Operating Networks" (NAVS B0/4) has been classified as priority 1 in the revised MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002). This element aims to:
 - adjust conventional navaids networks through the increased deployment of satellite based navigation systems and procedures to ensure the necessary levels of resilience for navigation.
 - provide a minimum level of capabilities to accommodate State aircraft operations where there is a mismatch in terms of aircraft equipage.
 - make a more efficient use of the frequency spectrum
- 2.2 The meeting may wish to recall that MIDANPIRG/18 meeting held virtually from 15 22 February 2021, through Decision 18/42, agreed on the need to develop a template for Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (Nav. MON) plan in line with ICAO SARPs and Regional requirements and established NAV MON PLAN AD-HOC ACTION GROUP, to develop a template for NAV MON Plan.
- 2.3 The NAV MON PLAN AD-HOC ACTION GROUP developed a template to serve as a standardized pre-designed format that can be used by MID States for their national NAV MON plan. The purpose of this template is to provide a consistent structure, design, and organization, making it easier to develop national NAV MON plan with a uniform appearance. The template is at **Attachment A**.
- 2.4 Additionally, the MIDANPIRG/20 held in Muscat, Oman, 14 17 May 2023; agreed through DECISION 20/46: NAV MON Plan Template That, the ATM SG, CNS SG and PBN SG be tasked to review and update, as deem necessary, the NAV MON Plan Template to be presented to MIDANPIRG/21 for further review and endorsement.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to review and update, as deemed necessary, the Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (NAV MON) Template at **Appendix A**.

Navigation Minimal Operating Networks Template (NAVS MON)



Table of Contents

<mark>TBA</mark>



Executive Summary

TBA

The shift from facility-referenced navigation to coordinate-based navigation enabled by performance-based navigation (PBN) provides significant benefits, in particular by supplying the flexibility required to design airspace and associated routes and procedures according to operational needs. The most suitable navigation infrastructure to support PBN is GNSS. Consequently, the role of conventional navigation aids is currently evolving towards that of a reversionary terrestrial infrastructure capable of maintaining safety and an adequate level of operations in case of unavailability of GNSS (for example due to outages). During this evolution, terrestrial aids may also enable PBN operations for users not yet equipped with GNSS.

Until a solution to ensure adequate GNSS resilience is available, it is essential that a terrestrial navigation infrastructure, suitably dimensioned to be capable of maintaining safety and continuity of aircraft operations, be provided.

In line with the ASBU elements NAVS-BO/4 element, this plan encompasses the definition of the Minimum Operating Network (MON) of legacy Navaids to sustain the system in case of PBN disruption or degraded operations and addresses the PBN contingency modes.

This plan, developed in partnership with the national authorities (ANSP, Operators and Airspace users), should be revisited with the introduction of new navigation capabilities and frequently updated and considered as a living document.

1. 2. Introduction

The implementation of Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) on a wide scale in all phases of flight is well under way and is itself a prerequisite for ground-based navigation aids (navaids) rationalization. This is because PBN procedures are enabled by GNSS as the primary navigation means. While some of the ground systems can also support PBN operations (e.g. DME), the role of the ground based navigation infrastructure will evolve towards providing a reversion capability for GNSS and supporting contingency operations in the case of GNSS becoming unusable. This offers the opportunity to rationalize some of the terrestrial infrastructure while retaining a Minimal Operational Network to maintain ATM operations using only ground-based Navaids.

This plan supports the evolution of PBN as the preferred means of navigation by sustaining and expanding the use of GNSS, providing a PBN-capable backup with the DME, and a minimum operational network of VORs to ensure aircraft can navigate safely during GNSS outages.

The GANP has the objective of a future harmonized global navigation capability based on area navigation (RNAV) and performance-based navigation (PBN) supported by the global navigation satellite system (GNSS)

The optimistic planning that was considered at the time of the Eleventh Air Navigation Conference for all aircraft to be equipped with GNSS capability and for other GNSS constellations to be available, together with dual frequency and multiconstellation avionics capability being carried by aircraft have not been realized

The shift from facility-referenced navigation to coordinate-based navigation enabled by performance-based navigation (PBN) provides significant benefits, in particular by supplying the flexibility required to design airspace and associated routes and procedures according to operational needs. The most suitable navigation infrastructure to support PBN is GNSS. Consequently, the role of conventional navigation aids is currently evolving towards that of a reversionary terrestrial infrastructure capable of maintaining safety and an adequate level of operations in case of unavailability of GNSS (for example due to outages). During this evolution, terrestrial aids may also enable PBN operations for users not yet equipped with GNSS.

It had initially been expected that the rationalization of the legacy navigation infrastructure would have been a consequence of a top-down process where the implementation of PBN and GNSS within volumes of airspace would result in navigation aids being made totally redundant so they could be simply be switched off

NAVS ASBU Elements:

Element ID	Title

NAVS-BO/1	Ground Based Augmentation System (GBAS)
NAVS-B0/2	Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS)
NAVS-BO/3	Aircraft Based Augmentation System (ABAS)
NAVS-B0/4	Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (Nav.MON)
NAVS-B1/1	Extended GBAS
NAVS-B2/1	Dual Frequency Multi Constellation (DF MC) GBAS
NAVS-B2/2	Dual Frequency Multi Constellation (DF MC) SBAS
NAVS-B2/3	Dual Frequency Multi Constellation (DF MC) ABAS

2. NAVS-B0/4 Navigation Minimal Operating Networks (Nav. MON):

The new element "Navigation Minimal Operating Networks" (NAVS B0/4) has been classified as priority 1 in the MID Region Air Navigation Strategy (MID Doc 002). The NAV MON element allows the rationalization of the ground based conventional infrastructure through the definition of minimal networks of ground navaids. Consultations and agreements from airspace users and aircraft operators including MIL are required to define this element. The MON should be revisited with the introduction of new navigation capabilities. The main purposes of the NAV MON Element (NAVS B0/4) are:

- To adjust conventional navaids networks through the increased deployment of satellite based navigation systems and procedures to ensure the necessary levels of resilience for navigation.
- To provide a minimum level of capabilities to accommodate State aircraft operations
 where there is a mismatch in terms of aircraft equipage.
- To make a more efficient use of the frequency spectrum

MIDANPIRG Conclusion related to NAV MON TBA and Link to MID AN Strategy

- 3. 3. Performance-based navigation impact on NAVAID infrastructure planning
- 4. Infrastructure planning is complex, particularly with the increased integrated reliance on global navigation satellite system (GNSS) by communication, navigation and surveillance (CNS) and the increased pressure to decommission unnecessary terrestrial NAVAID infrastructure. Therefore, NAVAID infrastructure planners cannot look at the NAVAID infrastructure in isolation, but need to work closely with ATM system engineers, surveillance and communication infrastructure, operators and regulators when planning the infrastructure for both normal and contingency operations. The removal of conventional navigation aids and associated procedures constitutes an airspace change. In this respect, extensive consultation needs to take place with all impacted stakeholders.
- 5. PBN implementation will require infrastructure planners to consider:
- 6. a) the infrastructure requirements for normal operations;
- 7. b) the infrastructure required for contingency operations (a function of the objective of the contingency operations (such as safety only, required levels of service, compliance with regulatory requirements); and
- 8. c) how CNS supports both normal and contingency PBN operations (trade-offs between C-N-S can be made)

- ICAO Twelfth Air Navigation Conference ANC 12 adopted the following Recommendations in this
 respect, published in ICAO Doc 10007:
- 10. Recommendation 6/8 Planning for mitigation of global navigation satellite system vulnerabilities That States: a) Assess the likelihood and effects of global navigation satellite system vulnerabilities in their airspace and apply, as necessary, recognized and available mitigation methods; f) where it is determined that terrestrial aids are needed as part of a mitigation strategy, give priority to retention of distance measuring equipment (DME) in support of inertial navigation system (INS)/DME or DME/DME area navigation, and of instrument landing system at selected runways.
- 11. Recommendation 6/10 Rationalization of terrestrial navigation aids That, in planning for the implementation of performance-based navigation, States should:
- 12.~ a) assess the opportunity for realizing economic benefits by reducing the number of navigation aids through the implementation of performance based navigation;
- 13. b) ensure that an adequate terrestrial navigation and air traffic management infrastructure remains available to mitigate the potential loss of global navigation satellite system service in their airspace; and
- 14. c) align performance-based navigation implementation plans with navigation aid replacement cycles, where feasible, to maximize cost savings by avoiding unnecessary infrastructure investment.

15.

16. The overview of the ICAO Global context given above shows that in general,

3. ICAO Strategy

<u>T</u>the role of the ground-based Navaids will evolve towards providing a reversion for GNSS and supporting contingency operations in case of GNSS becoming unusable. This evolution offers the opportunity for the rationalization of some of the terrestrial infrastructure and retaining only a Minimum Operational Network (MON) which is designed to efficiently provide reversion service.

However, each Navaid can fulfil different operational roles irrespective of the availability of ATS Surveillance:

- During normal ATM operations, ground-based Navaids support
 - PBN applications as a primary positioning source;
 - PBN applications as a secondary positioning source to GNSS
 - Conventional procedures (e.g. either in an environment where there are no PBN procedures; or to accommodate non-PBN capable aircraft.)
- During ATM contingency operations, ground-based Navaids support
 - PBN applications as a back up positioning source due to GNSS outage;
 - Conventional procedures as a means of reversion during a GNSS outage;

In order to plan the evolution of the navigation infrastructure in MID Region, it is important to have a thorough picture of the type of operations that can be supported by each type of terrestrial Navaid as per MID PBN Implementation Plan. This understanding will enable States to develop both an optimization and decommissioning plan of Navaids as well as a coordinated evolution to a reversionary terrestrial infrastructure. Table below identifies which ground-based Navaid support which PBN specification.

MID Navigation Specifications and (Required or Optional) Navaid Infrastructure

	GNSS	IRU	DME/DME	DME/DME/ IRU	VOR/DME
RNAV 10 ¹	0	0			
RNAV 5 ¹	0	0	0	0	0
RNAV 1 ¹	0		0	0	
RNP 1	R		TBD ²	TBD ²	
RNP APCH	R				
RNP AR	R	0			

Note 1: For this navigation specification without required navaid infrastructure at least one navaid is requested for the associated navigation application.

Note 2: the use of DME/DME for this navigation specification requires a specific State authorization.

Note 3: IRU may be integrated with the GNSS sensor to improve performance and continuity of the operation.

3.1 ICAO reversion strategy

Annex 10 Attachment H defines a global "Strategy for rationalization of conventional radio navigation aids and evolution toward supporting performance based navigation". The objective of Attachment H is to provide guidance to the States for both the rationalization and reversion of the terrestrial Navaid infrastructure. The recommendations included in this high-level strategy are based on the residual roles foreseen for each type of Navaid to support PBN operations and/or conventional procedures.

Furthermore, consideration of this strategy should be given when deciding investments into new facilities or on facility renewals. As this strategy is highly relevant to the objectives of this plan, key points of this strategy are included below, customized for the MID region.

Operational Considerations for terrestrial Navaids and reversion strategy

		Operational Roles	Navigation	Specific	Opportunities And Solutions
			<u>Performance</u>	<u>Limitations</u>	(Residual roles – PBN/conventional)
NDB	<u>PBN</u>	Exceptionally, can be used for extraction on the missed approach for RNP APCH. This operation is not encouraged. Can support en route	None Can enable homing to a	N/A Ref Annex 10,	Rationalize NDB and associated conventional procedures and if NDBs are used to define PBN ATS Routes they should be replaced by RNAV waypoints. Non—Precision Approaches based on NDB should be replaced by RNP APCH. Similarly, if NDBs are used as ILS locators associated with an RNAV procedure intercept, RNAV Waypoints should replace these.
	CONV	operations and ATS Routes, SIDS/STARs and NPAs. This is not encouraged. NDB may be paired with a DME.	beacon. When co-located with a DME, ranging information is also available.	Chapter3	
Von	<u>PBN</u>	Can be used in the en-route phase of flight and arrival segment of an IFP. On the missed approach it can be used for extraction of an RNP APCH.	Can support a position estimation for RNAV 5. This enables operations in FRA and on RNAV 5 ATS Routes.	(*) Maximum range of conventional VOR typically 60 NM: Doppler VOR, typically 75 NM.	The opportunity arises to rationalize some VORs providing cost savings. Introduction of new VORs is not encouraged, but existing ones may be needed to support reversion operations; enhance situational; provide limited inertial updating if DME/DME not available; exceptionally to be used for NPAs if no other option is available; to support aircraft only able to navigate conventionally (this may include state aircraft) and
VOR	CONV	Paired (or not) with a DME can support en route operations and SIDS/STARs and NPA and intercept to the ILS or missed approach.	Can provide bearing information and enable homing to a beacon. When co-located with a DME, range and bearing information is available.	Ref Annex 10, Chapter3	support procedural separation. The use of VOR(/DME) to support RNAV 5 should be considered only in exceptional cases: • in areas where DME/DME coverage is not possible (e.g. islands environment) • in areas where DME/DME coverage is achievable only with high investment and operational cost (e.g. near the bottom of enroute airspace in terrain rich environment)
DME	PBN	Can be used in all phases of flight except final approach. On the missed approach it can be used for extraction.	Can support a position estimation for RNAV 5 and RNAV 1 operations. This enables operations in FRA, RNAV 5 ATS Routes and RNAV 1 SIDS/STARS.	Minimum range of 3NM and maximum range of 160 NM for RNAV 1; Below 40° above the horizon as viewed from the	DME/DME provides a fully redundant capability to GNSS for RNAV applications, and a suitable reversionary capability to RNAV 1 for RNP applications requiring a lateral accuracy performance of ±1 NM (95%), providing there is an adequate DME infrastructure. Many DMEs are co-located with VORs which creates certain limitations. When VORs are decommissioned.

			DME facility;	this can be an opportunity to optimise the DME network.
			geometric	In such instances, to save costs or to improve
			limitations	DME/DME performance, DME's can be re-located
			between DME	(ideally with other CNS assets) if a co-located VOR is
			pairs of 30° to	withdrawn. To be operationally robust, efficient DME
			<u>150°;</u>	network design should fill gaps and provide DME/DME
	Paired with a VOR, ILS or	Can provide range when	Ref Annex 10,	coverage as low as possible without requiring more
	NDB, it can support	co-located with a VOR,	Chapter3	investment unless needed for safety reasons. (Other
	conventional operations.	NDB or ILS.		solutions such as requiring on-board IRU, reliance on
	Stand-alone it can enable the			ATS surveillance and/or military TACANS may be
	flying of DME arcs.			viable alternatives). Cross-border use of DME facilities
				is encouraged supported by the necessary authorisations
				and/or
				agreements. Deployment of new DME stations should
				avoid that part of the frequency spectrum close to the
				GNSS L5/E5 band (1164 – 1 215 MHz).
				CONCLUSION: The application of the above principles
				should enable uniformity of DME deployment across the
				MID region;
CONV				It is recognized that in some areas, the provision of D/D
				navigation is not possible or practical, such as at very
				low altitudes, in terrain-constrained environments, or on
				small islands, remote areas and airspace over the water.
				Finally, it is possible that in some countries there could
				be an increase in the number of DMEs to support A-
				PNT.
				Note: Some FMS may exclude the use of ILS-associated
)	DMEs. Consequently, it is not possible to ensure
				consistent D/D service is available to all D/D-equipped
				users based on ILS-associated DMEs.
				Therefore, those facilities should not be planned in the
				provision of such D/D service (regardless of whether
				they are published in the en-route section of the AIP),
				* *
				without an appropriate fleet assessment.

3.2 Evolution Strategy

There is a need to consult aircraft operators and international organizations, and to ensure safety, efficiency and cost-effectiveness of the proposed infrastructure solutions. Based on the above, the global strategy is to:

- a) Rationalize NDB and VOR and associated conventional procedures;
- b) Align rationalization planning with equipment life cycles and PBN implementation planning;
- c) Replace conventional approaches without vertical guidance with vertically guided approaches;
- d) Where a terrestrial navigation reversion capability is required, evolve the existing DME infrastructure towards providing a PBN infrastructure complementary to GNSS; and
- e) Provide a residual capability based on VOR (or VOR/DME, if possible) to cater to airspace users not equipped with suitable DME/DME avionics, where required.

Operational considerations

NDB-related considerations: NDBs serve no role in PBN operations except as a means for position cross-checking and general situational awareness. These minor roles should not lead to the requirement to retain NDB facilities.

Add MIDANPIRG Conclusion regarding decommissioning of NDB

VOR related considerations: The only PBN navigation specification enabled by VOR, provided a colocated DME is present, is RNAV 5. Provision of RNAV 5 based on VOR/DME is subject to significant limitations, since integrated multi-sensor navigation makes very little use of VOR/DME, in some cases limiting the range of use to 25 NM. Also, only very few aircraft operators have a certified RNAV 5 capability which is based only on VOR/DME. Consequently, the use of VOR/DME to provide PBN services is discouraged. The only exception to this could be to support RNAV 5 routes at or near the bottom of en-route airspace (above minimum sector altitude, MSA) where achieving DME/DME coverage is challenging. In principle, to enable cost savings, VOR facilities should be withdrawn in the context of an overall PBN plan. No new stand-alone VOR facilities (e.g. at new locations) should be implemented. However, VORs may be retained to serve the following residual operational purposes such as as a reversionary navigation capability.

The analysis concerning VOR's operational role should consider all the other potential residual roles described in ICAO Annex 10 Attachment H. The following minimum set of considerations is recommended.

En route & TMA

Identify where VOR (/DME) is needed to support:

- RNAV 5 operations in FRA or on ATS routes;
- Conventional ATS routes defined by VOR/DME which are required to be maintained;
- the operations of State aircraft or aircraft of lower capabilities on ATS Routes;
- --- the provision of:
 - Navigation, cross-checking and situational awareness (e.g. during contingency operations, in support of radar vectoring or to avoid airspace infringements) within an airspace valume.
 - procedural separation within an airspace volume;

Approach and landing				
Approach and landing	TOCHEN WITCH	VOINT DIVIL	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	to sabbott.

- Conventional instrument approach procedures that will be maintained or potentially redesigned. The analysis should consider the aerodromes which are designated as alternates for major aerodromes and/or for aerodromes where only RNP APCH procedures are foreseen;
- ILS IAP (LOC intercept and; avoid premature automatic flight control system arming for ILS intercept);
- Missed Approach Operations;

 $\label{eq:DME-related-considerations:DME/DME fully supports PBN operations based on the RNAV 1, RNAV 2 and RNAV 5 navigation specifications. Consequently, DME/DME (for equipped aircraft) is the most suitable current terrestrial PBN capability. DME/DME provides a fully redundant capability to GNSS for RNAV applications, and a suitable reversionary capability for RNP applications requiring an accuracy performance of <math>\pm 1$ NM (95 per cent) laterally, where supported by an adequate DME infrastructure.

Consequently, the following to be considered when identifying the future operational roles of the DME network:

En route & TMA

Identify where DME/DME is needed to support:

- RNAV 5 operations in FRA or ATS routes, in ENR airspace volumes;
- RNAV 1 operations (SIDs/STARs) in terminal airspace volumes;
- RNP 1 reversion operations (actually RNAV 1, SIDs/STARs) terminal airspace volumes;

What type of operation requires DME or DME/DME and where is this coverage needed?

What is the required performance of the DME (DME/DME) signal-in-space?

 Conventional ATS Routes incl. SIDS/STARS in en route or terminal airspace volumes, where DMEs are co-located with VORs;

Approach and landing

Identify where DME is required, as a co-located facility, to support:

— The intercept, approach or missed approach of conventionaNol approach procedures.

Add para decommissioning plan including lifetime, spare parts,...etc

4. National Navigation Minimal Operating Networks

4.1 Main operations supported by VORs in the GNSS contingency concept

			<u>Mai</u>	n operatio	ns supported	d in the GNSS contingency concept				
		IAP		TMA		EN-ROUTE				
		<u>IAP</u>	Conventio	cross-	Conventio	RNA	Conventio	<u>Situatio</u>	RNAV	Conventio
VO		<u> -</u>	<u>nal</u>	checkin	<u>nal</u>	<u>V 5</u>	<u>nal</u>	<u>nal</u>	<u>Holdi</u>	<u>nal</u>
<u>VO</u> <u>R</u>	<u>Locati</u>	interce	SIDs/STAR	g and	<u>Holding</u>	<u>and</u>	Routes	<u>Awaren</u>	<u>ng</u>	<u>Holding</u>
ID ID	<u>on</u>	<u>pt</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>situatio</u>		FRA	<u>and</u>	ess &		
10		<u>- Final</u>		<u>nal</u>			<u>procedura</u>	<u>Reach</u>		
		Ξ.		awaren			1	Alternat		
		Misse		ess			separatio	<u>e</u>		
		<u>d</u>					<u>n</u>	A/D		

4.1 Ground based Navigation Aids

Description to be added

4.2 Space based operation

Description to be added

Add para about coverage analysis tool

Phase of flight (enroute,	Area of operation	NAV Facility(ies)						
terminal,		Normal	Augmentation	Contingency	Facility ID			
approach)		operation		operation				
RNAV5	Enroute	GNSS	ABAS	VOR/DME				

4.2 Evolution of the ground infrastructure towards MON configuration

Type of NAV facility	<u>Locatio</u> <u>n</u>	<u>ID</u>	Facility life cycle		Rationalization plan		relocation of existing facilities or installation of new
			<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	Decommissioning	<u>Replacement</u>	<u>facilities</u>

Formatted Table

Formatted Table

4.14.3 4.3 Future components of the National Navigation Minimal Operating Networks

Description to be added

Type of NAV facility	Locatio n	U	Range	Decommissioning Plan		
				Date	Replacing facility type	

Type of NAV facility	Location	<u>ID</u>	Phase of flight	<u>Range</u>	Purpose o	f operation
<u>facility</u>			<u>(enroute,</u>		Normal operation	<u>Contingency</u>
			terminal,			<u>operation</u>
			approach)			