

MIDANPIRG COM/MET SG/4
Appendix 4E to the Report on Agenda Item 2

AIR NAVIGATION SHORTCOMINGS/DEFICIENCIES IN THE MET FIELD IN THE MID REGION

Item No	Identification		Shortcomings/Deficiencies				Corrective Action			
	Requirement	States/ Facilities	Description	Date first reported	Status *	Remarks	Description	Executing body	Target Date	Priority
1	MID RAN Rec. 10/13	To be decided	Status of Impl. Of facilities & services at Aero. MET offices in MID Region	May 95	D	Lack of reliable data and non-adherence to recommended procedures and coding guidelines	States to make full use of TCP to train their personnel at all levels & acquire the equipment to support air navigation	To be decided	Ongoing	A
							COM/MET SG to monitor adherence to procedures and guidelines	COM/MET SG	Ongoing	A
	Rec. 10/19	To be decided	Training for new meteorological codes	May 95	D		States to ensure that all staff concerned continue to receive training in coding procedures for AIREP, METAR, SPECI & TAF codes	To be decided	Ongoing	A
2 ¹	MET service at aerodromes	Egypt: a) Luxor b) Hurgada	No Wx forecast Inadequate service	April 98 April 98	D D		Ensure forecasts are available. Improve service.	Egyptian MET Authority	ASAP ASAP	B B
		Syria: a) Damascus	No valid forecasts	April 98	D		Ensure forecasts are valid and available.	Syrian MET Authority	ASAP	B
3	All provisions in ANP	Afghanistan Baghdad	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	U

Due to prevailing situation, little or no information is available regarding the provision of aeronautical MET services to civil aviation.

S = Shortcoming D = Deficiency.

U = **Urgent** Urgent requirements having a **direct** impact on **safety** and requiring **immediate** corrective actions.

A = **Top priority** requirements **necessary** for aviation **safety**.

B = **Intermediate** requirements **necessary** for aviation **regularity**.

¹ Source of information: IFALPA Annex 19 part 3 dated April 1998