ASSEMBLY — 36TH SESSION

ECONOMIC COMMISSION

Agenda Item 41: Regulation of the provision of airports and air navigation services

ANS PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND BENCHMARKING

(Presented by CANSO²)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CANSO's strategic goals are focused on improving global ANS performance. As such, its mission is to provide a global platform for customer- and stakeholder-driven civil air navigation services, with emphasis on safety, efficiency and cost effectiveness. ANS performance measurement and global benchmarking lie at the heart of this objective. It is recognised that the ability to monitor and measure performance is a key requirement for any business or industry in identifying areas for improvement and setting performance-based targets. This paper provides an overview of the work CANSO and its Member ANSPs have been carrying out in the field of performance measurement and benchmarking.

Action: The Assembly is invited to note that:

- a) A global ATM performance measurement framework is being developed by ANSPs, in consultation with customers and other stakeholders
- b) This framework would also serve the needs of ANS oversight bodies

Strategic Objectives:	This working paper relates to Strategic Objective D.
Financial implications:	Not applicable
References:	Doc 9082/7, ICAO's Policies on Charges for Airports and Air Navigation Services Doc 9161/3, Manual on Air Navigation Services Economics

¹ Language versions provided by CANSO.

²CANSO is the global voice of ATM. In 2006, CANSO Member ANSPs serve 61% of world airspace, controlled 84% of world traffic and handled 44 million flights. Full members include: Aena - Spain | AEROTHAI - Thailand | Airports Authority of India | Airservices Australia | Airways New Zealand | ANS of the Czech Republic | ATNS - South Africa | ATSA - Bulgaria | Austro Control - Austria | Avinor - Norway | AZANS - Azerbaijan | Belgocontrol - Belgium | CAA Uganda | DFS - Germany | DHMI - Turkey | DSNA - France | EANS - Estonia | ENAV SpA - Italy | Federal Aviation Administration - USA | HungaroControl | Irish Aviation Authority | Kazaeronavigatsia - Kazakhstan | LFV - Sweden | LGS - Latvia | LPS Slovak Republic | LVNL - the Netherlands | MATS - Malta | MoldATSA - Moldova | NAMA | NANSC - Egypt | NATS - UK | NAV CANADA | NAV Portugal | Naviair - Denmark | OACA - Tunisia | Oro Navigacija - Lithuania | PANSA - Poland | ROMATSA - Romania | Sakaeronavigatsia Ltd - Georgia | Serco | skyguide - Switzerland | Slovenia Control | SMATSA - Serbia | UkSATSE - Ukraine

1. **INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 CANSO and its members are bound by a common objective to improve global ANS performance. ATM performance measurement and global benchmarking lie at the heart of this objective. It is recognised that the ability to monitor and measure performance is a key requirement for any business or industry in identifying areas for improvement and setting performance-based targets.
- 1.2 It is for this reason that CANSO launched its global benchmarking work programme, supported by its Global Benchmarking Workgroup (GBWG). One of the key objectives for this ANSP initiative is to support the establishment of performance-based ATM. Improved transparency of ANS performance and the visibility of performance of others, promotes understanding of what drives good performance. Further, it will support improved decision-making and facilitate target-setting. Overall, CANSO's aim is to develop a set of key global performance indicators for ANS, identify international best practice, support constructive dialogue with customers and other stakeholders, and assist individual ANSPs in optimising their performance.
- 1.3 An appropriate global performance measurement framework for ATM that is developed by ANSPs themselves, in consultation with customers and other stakeholders, would also serve the needs of ANS oversight bodies, thereby reducing the need for separate developments by such bodies which may otherwise be necessary.
- 1.4 The CANSO Global Benchmarking initiative acknowledges the significant achievements in the field of performance measurement and benchmarking by the Eurocontrol PRU. The approach taken by the Workgroup sought to draw from a range of existing initiatives, including those of the Eurocontrol PRU, the Asia Pacific ANSP benchmarking initiative, IATA's work on ANS performance and individual ANSP international benchmarking studies and harmonisation efforts.

2. **DISCUSSION**

- 2.1 The CANSO Global Benchmarking workgroup has been developing global performance indicators in ANS productivity, cost-effectiveness and quality of service. Safety metrics are also being developed by the CANSO Safety Standing Committee.
- 2.2 The ultimate goal for the CANSO Global Benchmarking Workgroup (GBWG) is to develop robust reports suitable for external publication, however, it is acknowledged that before this can be achieved more work is required to refine supporting processes; improve the speed of data collection and validation processes and to establish an appropriate scope of measures.
- 2.3 Phase 1 activities focused on establishing an active network of global benchmarking focal points and CANSO was successful in establishing a process to collect performance data. The selection of the first set of KPIs was largely based on readily available data and was regarded as an initial starting point. The GBWG identified a number of common data points and conducted trial data collection to support the computation of 5 KPIs pertaining to ANS productivity and cost-effectiveness:
 - 1. IFR Movements and/or km and/or Flight Hours per ATCO in Ops.
 - 2. Oceanic IFR Movements and/ or km and/ or Flight hours per ATCO in Oceanic Operations.
 - 3. Total ANS Costs per Total IFR Movements and/or km and/ or flight hours Controlled by ANSP.

- 3 - A36-WP/101 EC/11

- 4. Total ATCO in Operations Cost per ATCO Hour
- 5. Employment Cost of ATCOs in Operations as a % Total ANS Costs.
- 2.4 The Work Group completed the Phase 1 CANSO Global Benchmarking Report in late 2006 and highlighted a number of key issues and opportunities for improving the quality of output for Phase 2.
- 2.5 CANSO has just completed Phase 2 of its work, which continued to establish the foundations for an effective Global Benchmarking framework. A small Analytical Sub-group was established in November 2006 to support data collection, detailed analysis and validation of data. This has had a significant impact on the effectiveness of the GBWG as the underlying processes that support the workgroup have been enhanced.
- 2.6 In Phase 2, the scope of the KPIs covered by the workgroup was extended to include:

Continental IFR Performance Measures:

- 1. Continental IFR Movements per Continental ATCO in Operations
- 2. Continental IFR Flight Hours per Continental ATCO in Operations
- 3. Continental ATCO Employment Cost (in US dollars) per Continental ATCO Hour
- 4. Continental ATCO Employment Cost (in US Dollars) per Continental ATCO in Operations
- 5. Price per kilometer flown for a B737-300 by each ANSP
- 6. Price per Kilometer flown for a B747-400 by each ANSP

Oceanic IFR Performance Measures:

- 1. Oceanic IFR Flight Hours per Oceanic ATCO in Operations
- 2. Oceanic Cost per Oceanic IFR Flight Hour
- 3. Oceanic ATCO Employment cost (in US Dollars) per Oceanic ATCO Hour
- 4. Oceanic ATCO Employment Cost (in US Dollars) per Oceanic ATCO in Operations

General Performance Measures:

- 1. Average Annual Working Hours for ATCOs in Operations
- 2. Capital Cost as a percentage of Total Cost (Continental)
- 3. ATCO Cost as a percentage of Operating Cost (Continental)
- 2.7 For its next Phase 3, the Global Benchmarking Work Group has identified a list of key areas for action:
- 2.7.1 Improving data collection and timeliness of data availability due to different data reporting deadlines and data validation processes among ANSPs, it has been difficult to establish a common reference period for data analysis. For European ANSPs it has been decided that the next data submission to the Eurocontrol PRU should be copied to the CANSO Secretariat for integration with the CANSO Global Benchmarking initiative. The next Global Benchmarking report to be completed in October 2007 will cover ANSP performance for 2002-2006. Responsibility for validation of data will rest with ANSPs submitting data to CANSO.
- 2.7.2 Broadening the scope of KPIs & establishing a central data warehouse over time, performance measures reported in the CANSO Global Benchmarking report will be further refined and expanded. Any performance framework must be balanced and cover all performance areas within the control of management:

- 2.7.2.1 The *Human Resources Workgroup* has been comparing ATCO remuneration schemes and examining the challenge that some CANSO members face in operating with increasing ATCO shortages. The effective deployment of scarce resources is essential to improvements in performance.
- 2.7.2.2 The *Environment Workgroup* has produced a CANSO *Environmental Voluntary Code of Conduct*. This was approved by the CANSO Executive Committee in May 2007 and, as part of this work, will begin to identify appropriate environmental performance metrics to be reported on annually.
- 2.7.2.3 CANSO's *Safety Standing Committee* continues to seek ways to share best practice and has developed a practical implementation guide for CANSO members. This Guide identifies the essential elements that should be present in any fully functioning Safety Management System (SMS). The Safety Committee has also begun to exchange safety data and has begun work to establish safety metrics starting with IFR-IFR Losses of Separation (LoS). The group is also examining this metric in the context of severity/risk assessment schemes; understanding causal factors and measuring; safety occurrence reporting and safety culture.
- 2.7.3 Quality of Service balanced comparisons of ANSPs must take into consideration not only cost and productivity measures, but also quality of service. CANSO has issued a questionnaire to determine KPIs that could be used for a variety of quality of service measures, including delays and flight efficiency. A short-term sub-group or task force will be established to evaluate the measures that are currently used by ANSPs to measure both flight delay / flight efficiency with the goal of identifying a common metric that could be used to support global comparison and understanding. This sub-group will coordinate the work to develop an agreed set of criteria for understanding / comparing complexity.
- 2.7.4 *Understanding Complexity* work is ongoing to develop a proxy measure that describes / demonstrates the complexity associated with individual ANSP operations. Identifying the characteristics that make an operation complex may provide a way of ranking / grouping levels of complexity and provide a suitable group for comparing performance.
- 2.7.5 *Improving understanding of cost & financial data* more work is required to better understand the nature and components of ANSP costs. It is clear that consistency in the reporting of costs is essential to improving comparability of cost-related KPIs. Improving understanding of the relevant accounting standards that are applied by CANSO members will provide additional clarity about the comparability of financial data.
- 2.7.6 *Improving participation rates* 34 ANSPs have been included in the Phase 2 Global Benchmarking Report. The longer term goal is to include all 45 CANSO Full Members.

3. **CONCLUSION**

3.1 CANSO Member ANSPs have through their own initiative, and the demands and expectations of their customers, placed a great deal of importance on performance measurement and benchmarking. The CANSO Global Benchmarking initiative has and will continue to provide an essential opportunity to share knowledge and collaborate globally. It will promote understanding of what drives good performance in ATM, reveal best practice that will assist individual ANSPs in optimising their performance, and serve the needs of ANS oversight bodies.