

**AVIATION OPERATIONAL MEASURES FOR**  
**FUEL AND EMISSIONS REDUCTION**  
**WORKSHOP**

Aircraft Operating Procedures to  
Reduce Airport Ground  
Emissions

Captain Richard Sowden

Project Pilot Air Canada Flight Operations  
Technical Group



Airport Panel – Part 1  
Ottawa, 5-6 November 2002

# Objectives

- » Describe aircraft operating techniques to reduce aircraft noise and engine emissions for phases of flight below 3,000 ft. AGL
- » Review strategies to ensure success
- » Outline means to measure success
- » Discuss conflicting environmental objectives
- » Discuss the collective industry effort required to achieve the greatest enhancements in efficiency



# Efficiency Assumptions

- » A320 aircraft
- » 100 aircraft in fleet
- » 15,000 sectors/month or 180,000 sectors/year
- » Every 100 litres of fuel burned releases the following combustion by-products
  - CO<sub>2</sub> – 233 kg
  - CH<sub>4</sub> – 219 g
  - NO<sub>x</sub> – 23 g



# Pre-departure

## » Minimize APU use

– Start APU 10 minutes before scheduled departure time

- Reducing APU use by 2 minutes per sector saves 780,000 litres of fuel annually

– APU vs. Ground Support equipment

- APU burns **6 times** as much fuel per hour as mobile ground support equipment (B744 – 20X)

– Requires precise procedures & dedicated effort by ground handling teams



# Engine Start & Taxi

» Single engine taxi should be the normal departure procedure unless conditions preclude it

- 1 minute of single engine taxi-out per sector saves 430,000 litres of fuel annually

» Five key areas to focus on in Standard Operating Procedures (SOP's)

- Limiting weight
- Limiting thrust
- Checklists
- Engine start sequence
- Stabilization times



# Takeoff

## » “Flex Thrust” normal operating procedure

- Reduces noise
- Reduces overall sector fuel consumption
- Reduces gas path wear & maintenance costs
- Currently 85% of A320 family departures are “Flex Thrust”

## » Depart in direction of flight

- Airborne fuel flow is 6 times higher than ground idle - 18 min. taxi = 3 minutes airborne



# Initial Climb

» Climb profile tailored to direction of flight for turns limited by altitude due to noise abatement requirements

– Use  $V_2 + 10$  to 3,000 ft AGL for altitude restricted SID's when departure runway is more than  $90^\circ$  from direction of flight

– Used on 1/3 of departures saves 3.2 million litres of fuel annually



# Approach

## » RNAV arrivals

- Enhanced traffic & energy management
- Reducing IFR arrival distance by 4 miles saves 50 litres of fuel

## » Decelerated approaches as normal SOP

- Flap/gear selection defined by altitudes
- Used on 1/3 of arrivals saves 4.5 million litres of fuel per year



# Landing

## » Reduced flap landings as normal SOP

- Quieter approaches
- Used on 1/3 of landings saves 3 million litres per year of fuel

## » Idle reverse as normal SOP

- Quieter & can improve carbon brake wear
- Reduced gas path wear & maintenance costs
- Used on 1/3 of landings saves 1.2 million litres of fuel per year



# Taxi-in

» Single engine taxi should be the normal arrival procedure unless conditions preclude it

– 1 minute of single engine taxi-in per sector saves 430,000 litres of fuel annually



# Gate Arrival

» Minimize APU use with full ground support on arrival at gate

– Start APU 10 minutes before scheduled departure time

- Reducing APU use by 2 minutes per sector saves 780,000 litres of fuel annually

– APU vs. Ground Support equipment

- APU burns **6 times** as much fuel per hour as mobile ground support equipment (B744 – 20X)

– Requires precise procedures & dedicated effort by ground handling teams



# Achievable Fuel Savings

» A fleet of 100 A320 aircraft flying 15,000 sectors a month can save:

|                     |                       |
|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Pre-departure       | 780,000 litres        |
| Taxi-out            | 430,000 litres        |
| Initial climb       | 3,200,000 litres      |
| Approach            | 4,500,000 litres      |
| Landing             | 3,000,000 litres      |
| Taxi-in             | 430,000 litres        |
| <u>Gate arrival</u> | <u>780,000 litres</u> |

**Total** **13,120,000 litres (1.25%)**



# Fuel Savings Impact

» Operating cost reduction through reduced fuel consumption

– \$3.25 million US

» Emission reductions through reduced fuel consumption

– CO<sub>2</sub> – 12.2 million kg

– CH<sub>4</sub> – 11,500 kg

– NO<sub>x</sub> – 1200 kg



# Strategies for Success

## » Build an efficient operating culture

- Top down management support
- Policy to define fuel efficiency as a corporate objective, but not at the expense of safety
- Procedures that:
  - Establish fuel efficient procedures as the norm
  - Recognize conservative, safety oriented pilot culture
- Education & awareness material to explain “why”
- Training to teach the SOP
- Checking to reinforce the SOP



# Measuring Success

- » Fuel Management Information Database
  - Capture flight plan and actual aircraft operating fuel values
  - Validate policy & systems
- » Flight Operations Quality Assurance (FOQA)
  - Capture aircraft flight profiles
  - Validate procedures and compliance rates
- » Maintenance QAR & ACARS data
  - Trending information pending introduction of FOQA
- » Clear employee non-judgmental clauses required



# Conflicting Environmental Objectives

## » Centralized de-icing facilities

- Reduce glycol contamination
- Incur significant taxi-out delays thereby increasing engine emissions
- 30 minute deicing taxi event burns
  - A320            400 litres
  - B747-400    3300 litres



# Conflicting Environmental Objectives...2

## » Noise abatement procedures (N. Am):

– Preferential runways and arrival/departure procedures are:

- Based on track/altitude monitoring
- Do not reflect actual aircraft noise or promote noise and fuel efficiency
- Have not been revisited for years
- Are not based on reasoned scientific and engineering analysis or evolution of aircraft technology



# An Industry Integrated Approach to Fuel Efficiency

## » Airlines

- Develop and implement fuel efficient SOP's

## » ANS Providers

- Develop and implement fuel efficient arrival and departure paths

## » Airports

- Maximize on-gate de-icing & minimize CDF delays
- Facilitate departures in direction of flight
- Ensure noise abatement procedures minimize adverse affect on fuel burns



# An Integrated Approach to Fuel Efficiency (cont'd)

## » Aircraft Manufacturers

– Introduce fuel efficiency improvements to aircraft in a timely manner to support the industry

– Examples of needed aircraft modifications:

1. A320 family aircraft approach idle change to Flap 3 or gear down from Flap 1 would save 12 litres of fuel per approach

2. Bombardier Regional Jet Flap 30 landing instead of Flap 45 would save 18 litres of fuel per approach



**AVIATION OPERATIONAL MEASURES FOR**  
**FUEL AND EMISSIONS REDUCTION**  
**WORKSHOP**



**Thank you !**

**Contact: [richard.sowden@aircanada.ca](mailto:richard.sowden@aircanada.ca)**

