Financial Structures in Air Traffic Management Organizations

John S. Strong
CSX Professor of Finance
College of William & Mary (USA)
john.strong@mason.wm.edu

Clinton Oster
Professor of Public Affairs
Indiana University (USA)
oster@indiana.edu

ICAO/McGill Air Navigation Conference Montreal, September 2006

Overview

- · Operating Statement Issues
- · Capital and Balance Sheet Issues
- Financial Flexibility
- · Linkages with Organizational Structure
- Conclusions

Operating Statement: Revenue

- Five basic models
 - Direct user charges
 - Direct user charges supplemented by other charges (e.g., fuel taxes)
 - Indirect user charges (pax fees)
 - Government fund-based (dedicated)
 - Government budgetary allocation
- Varying degrees of linkage with costs, even for user charge systems
- · Blurred boundaries (hybrids)

Operating Statement: Revenue

Other Issues

- Can you make a profit?
- If so, what can/must you do with it?
- Reserves?
- For-profit subsidiaries?
- Revenues for other services or noncharged functions (e.g., regulatory)

Operating Statement: Costs

- Major issues are labor costs and O&M expenditures
- Productivity improvements and cost containment interrelated, with shortterm and longer-term components
- Joint and common costs (allocation)
- Extent to which cost drivers link with revenue

Capital and Balance Sheet

- Access to capital markets
- Substantial value "locked up" on balance sheet
- · Debt
 - Guarantees? Collateral? Form?
- Equity
 - Shareholders? Strategic investors? Acquisitions?

Financial Flexibility

- Re-thinking scenarios (stress-testing)
- Not "what if?" but "when?"
- Debt
- Equity
- Regulatory environment
- · Governance structure

Two Examples

- NAV Canada
- · NATS (UK)

NAV Canada

- Created 1996 in context of congestion, delays, budget problems
- · Support of airlines, unions, pilots
- Non-share capital corporation
 - Not for profit
 - No shareholders
 - Board of directors (15)
 - · 10 by airlines, business aviation, labor, govt
 - Stakeholder model

NAV Canada Post 9/11

- Traffic and revenue decline 10%
- C\$ 145 m deficit vs. budget FY 2002
- Forecast 2002-05 cumulative revenue gap C\$ 360m
- "Balanced approach"
 - Drew down rate stabilization fund to (C\$116 m) by Aug 2003
 - Rate increases: 6% 2002, 3% 2003
 - Cost reductions salary, board, suppliers
 - Wage freeze
 - Reduced annual operating costs C\$100m / year (vs. 1996)
 - Reduce and defer capital spending
 - Lease/leaseback of C\$ 600m assets
- · Eliminate cumulative deficit over 5 years

NATS (UK)

- Restructuring context 1990s
 - Rising operational and capital pressures
 - Budgetary needs of government
 - "From privatisation to PFI to PPP"
- · "Regulated private corporatisation"
 - 46% Airline Group; 5% labor; 49% govt
 - RPI 4,5,5 %
- · Govt proceeds £800m
- >£730m debt at inception
- Project finance structure
- Born April 2001

NATS (UK) Post 9/11

"Do-Over"

- Bank debt from £ 730m to £ 600m
- £ 130 in new shareholder capital (from BAA plc and HM Govt)
- Regulatory asset base +12%
- RPI 2
- Traffic volume risk-sharing
- Suspend £ 1 billion investment program
 - Scottish Centre at Prestwick

Financial Performance and Organizational Structure

- Different financial structures can be used with different organizational and ownership models
- Some are better "matches", but even these have potential weaknesses
- · Starting points matter a lot
- Expect systems to evolve over time (not just one-off reforms)

Tentative Lessons

- User charge systems tend to evolve toward more user input and governance
- Capital investment problems in government organizations have as much to do with procurement and politics as money
- Cost containment harder under government revenue structures

Tentative Conclusions (cont'd)

- · The textbook doesn't strictly apply
 - Role of Debt
 - Role of Equity
 - Flexibility, contingencies, and public service aspects
- Legacy issues
- Longer-term transitions
- And..."why do we need to do anything at all?"