



**FPL Ad hoc Group Missing/duplicated/erroneous Filed flight plan /Flight plan (FPL) evaluation meeting (FPL/AD/MON)**

Mexico City, Mexico, 24-26 February 2015

**Agenda Item 3                      Review of the measures adopted during December – January 2014 period for mitigation of errors (recommended actions)**

**HAITI FPL Problem/Mitigation/Resolution**

(Presented by HAITI)

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The AIDC Task Force, created during the first ANI Working Group meeting, has the responsibility of streamlining the coordination, implementation and trials of AIDC in the NAM/CAR region. As part of this process, the Task Force has drafted a generic implementation procedure to serve as a template for the States for the implementation effort. This working paper serves the purpose of identifying FPL issues in Haiti and reviewing this implementation plan to introduce changes as needed.

<b>Action:</b>	Review Haiti’s action on FPL problem resolution
<i>Strategic Objectives:</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Safety</li><li>• Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency</li><li>• Environmental Protection</li></ul>
<i>References:</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Report of ANI WG Meeting (24-28 March, 2014)</li></ul>

**1. Introduction**

1.1 The AIDC Implementation Task Force was created during the first ANI/WG meeting, with the goal of streamlining implementation activities regarding this application, among others.

1.2 During the 10<sup>th</sup> AIDC Task Force teleconference on September 22<sup>nd</sup> 2014, a draft implementation procedure was presented, based on a checklist created by the AIDC Go Team. This implementation procedure was reviewed then and accepted.

1.3 As States plan and implement AIDC, it is important to review and update the implementation procedure, to include the experience of these recent processes and ensure smooth and uneventful implementations as much as possible as time goes along.

## 2. Discussion

2.1 Haiti had identified some FPL problems, taken some actions, and suggests some actions for its resolution or mitigation:

### a) *Missing FPL*

TSC664 (Air Transat) missing the inbound fpl (CYUL to MTPP)

Action taken: we contacted directly the dispatcher, unfortunately the company had not responded till that moment.

Suggested action: Conduct further coordination with FPL SERVICE of point of origin to solve the issue.

### b) *Duplicated FPL*

Some airlines sent flight plan directly to FIRs while flight plan originated from Haiti are also distributed to all parties involved with a flight. As a result outbound flight plans from Haiti happen to be duplicated.

A circular issued by Haiti dated 28 June 2012, required all Airlines to provide suggested flight plans only to MTEGYFYX and Haiti FPL processing unit will disseminate flight plans to all concerned users after further verification in view to avoid duplication.

### c) *Addressing of FPL Messages:*

HAITI AIP ENR1-11-1 .All flight plans to be addressed to MTEGYFYX\_

AIP  
HAITI

ENR 1.11-1  
July 09-10

## ENR 1.11 ADDRESSING OF FLIGHT PLAN MESSAGES

Flight movement messages relating to traffic into or via the Port-au-Prince FIR shall be addressed as stated below in order to warrant correct relay and delivery.

*Note.- Flight movement messages in this context comprise flight plan messages, amendment messages relating thereto and flight plan cancellation messages (ICAO PANS-RAC, Doc 4444, Part VIII, 2.1.1.3. refers).*

<i>Category of flight (IFR, VFR or both)</i>	<i>Route ( into FIR and/or TMA</i>	<i>Message Address</i>
1	2	3
IFR flights	into or via HAITI FIR and, in addition, for flights : -- within the Port-au-Prince FIR above FL 245 -- into TMA Port-au-Prince -- via TMA Port-au-Prince	MTEGYFYX  MTEGYFYX  MTEGYFYX
VFR flights		MTEGYFYX
All flights	Port-au-Prince controlled aerodrome Cap-Haïtien non controlled aerodrome	MTEGYFYX MTEGYFYX

AIS/HAITI

AMDT...

**d) Rejected FPL**

NIL

**e) AISR Problems:**

- 1) ATR 42 ACFT type unsupported by AISR
- 2) RVR (RWY visual Range) unsupported by AISR

**Actions taken:****Action 1:**

We contacted the NAIMES Technical Support: [7-AWA-NAIMES@faa.gov](mailto:7-AWA-NAIMES@faa.gov)

Feedback: From the first response they let us know that a ticket ID has been created to track our inquiry.

In a second time regarding the ACFT type, we should enter AT43 or 44 for all Types of ATR-42 aircraft.

Regarding RVR, we were referred to Mr. Ray Ahlberg who may be able to address this issue.

**Action 2:**

While verifying flight plan before transmission, we do not enter the RVR into the field 18 or we transmit the FPL through AISR Service B Message, it goes easily with the RVR included without rejection.

— END —