ANI/WG/4 — WP/26 16/08/18

Fourth NAM/CAR Air Navigation Implementation Working Group Meeting (ANI/WG/4)

Miami, United States, 21 – 24 August 2018

Agenda Item 4:

Follow-up, Performance Evaluation and Monitoring of the CAR Regional Performance Based Air Navigation Implementation Plan

4.4 Results of Project RLA/09/801 — Multi-Regional Civil Aviation Assistance Programme (MCAAP)

SUB-PROJECT TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PERFORMANCE-BASED NAVIGATION (PBN) AIRSPACE CONCEPT DOCUMENT FOR THE CAR REGION

(Presented by the Secretariat)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In order to assist the CAR Region in the compliance with agreed PBN implementation targets, the Secretariat used the resources available through Project RLA/09/801 — Multi-Regional Civil Aviation Assistance Programme (MCAAP) for the completion of a Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document for the CAR Region. Using the recognized skills, experience and outstanding knowledge of experts from Cuba, Dominican Republic, Trinidad and Tobago and COCESNA, the Secretariat was able to complete this important document for the objectives mentioned in paragraph 2.

| | Secretariat was able to complete this important abcament for the objectives mentioned in paragraph 2. | | |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| Action: | As included in paragraph 3. | | |
| | | | |
| Strategic Objectives: | Safety | | |
| | Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency | | |
| | Environmental Protection | | |
| References: | State Letter Ref. NT-NS2-35 — E.OSG - NACC71903 dated 20 February 2018 — Project RLA/09/801 — Multi-Regional Civil Aviation Assistance Programme (MCAAP) — 2018 Programme of Activities and 2017 Implementation Report Minutes of the Teleconference of the RLA/09/801 MCAAP Project Steering Committee (PSC) on Monday 26 February 2018 | | |

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Assembly Resolution A37-11 *Performance-based navigation global goals*, urges all States to implement RNAV and RNP air traffic services (ATS) routes and approach procedures in accordance with the ICAO PBN concept laid down in the Performance-based Navigation (PBN) Manual (Doc 9613). In line with that, the ICAO NACC Regional Office, under its "No Country Left Behind Strategy" has been supporting States conducting Technical Assistance Missions (TEAM) with the objective to reach the PBN implementation global and regionally agreed targets.
- 1.2 Under this strategy, individual PBN implementation projects are coordinated to determine States individual implementation requirements. This approach faces the difficulty that we have to plan and arrange individual visits for each State in order to assess the current PBN implementation challenges for States.
- 1.3 This proposal was included as part of the 2018 Programme of Activities of Project RLA/09/801 and the activity was approved by the RLA/09/801 MCAAP Project Steering Committee (PSC).

2. Purpose, Objectives, Beneficiaries and Impact

- 2.1 The purpose of the sub-project was to develop a PBN airspace concept for the CAR Region and evaluate the current implementation status of each Flight Information Region (FIR) and Terminal Area (TMA) in the Region, allowing the proposal of a concrete set of actions that States and International Organizations in order to comply with agreed regional targets and agreements for implementation in accordance with ICAO provisions. The Terms of Reference of the proposal are included in **Appendix A** to this working paper, for information of the Meeting.
- 2.2 The general objective of the sub-project was to develop and update Regional airspace and TMAs concept and implementation allows continuous flow in the upper, lower airspace of contiguous FIRs and TMAs, proposing a possible solution to the complex structure of the existing FIRs in the CAR Region.
- 2.3 Using the aforementioned model structure for airspace, the team performed an assessment of the regional and individual steps required to comply with the expected implementation steps.
- 2.4 The main beneficiaries of the results of this sub-project are:
 - States and air navigation service providers
 - Air operators
 - Airports
 - Environmental benefits
- 2.5 The main impact of this sub-project will be:
 - Improved airspace efficiency.
 - Reduction in fuel consumption and gas emissions.
 - This activity supports States in the Region in their endeavours to implement Annex 11 SARPs.

3. Result

- 3.1 The team of experts from Cuba, Dominican Republic, Trinidad and Tobago, COCESNA and the Secretariat met in the ICAO NACC Regional Office, Mexico City, Mexico, from 8 to 11 May 2018, to develop the Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document for the CAR Region, which is included in **Appendix B** for the review and approval of the Meeting. Dominican Republic donated the expenses of a Subject Matter Expert to support this sub-project as in-kind support to members of Project RLA/09/801.
- 3.2 As soon as the review by the ANI/WG/4 Meeting has been completed, the document will be published on the ICAO NACC Regional Office website and it will be sent to Project RLA/09/801 Members as well as States, Territories and International Organizations for their use and implementation in the CAR Region.

4. Suggested Action

- 4.1 The Meeting is invited to:
 - a) review the information provided in Appendix B to this paper concerning the Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document and approve the proposed document; and
 - b) discuss and agree any other action deemed appropriate.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

APPENDIX A TERMS OF REFERENCE

SUB-PROJECT TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PERFORMANCE-BASED NAVIGATION (PBN) AIRSPACE CONCEPT DOCUMENT FOR THE CAR REGION ICAO REGIONAL TECHNICAL COOPERATION PROJECT — "MULTI-REGIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME (MCAAP)" (RLA/09/801)

1. References

The Sub-Project to Develop and Implement a Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document for the CAR Region consists of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) selected among Project Member States and led by the ICAO NACC Regional Office, to develop a model structure for airspace and Terminal Areas (TMAs) that allows continuous flow in the upper and lower airspace of contiguous Flight Information Regions (FIRs) and TMAs, proposing a possible solution to the complex structure of the existing FIRs in the CAR Region.

Using the aforementioned model structure for airspace, SMEs will perform an assessment of the individual and regional steps required to comply with the expected implementation targets, aligned with regional air navigation and safety priorities contained in the NAM/CAR Regional Performance Based Air Navigation Implementation Plan (RPBANIP).

With this model structure it is expected that States will be able to comply with Aviation System Block Upgrade (ASBU) airspace optimization requirements, achieve increased homogeneity in the airspaces between adjacent States, enhance the operational approvals processes for aircraft and flight crews, reduce distance travelled from point to point for each aircraft operation, fuel savings, reduction of CO₂ emissions, better climb and descend profiles for aircraft, reduce the use of holding patterns, greater access through mountainous areas, noise reduction in the vicinity of airports, reduce pilot workload, reduce Air Traffic Control (ATC) use of vectors, reduce occupancy of telecommunications frequencies, reduce costs for purchase and maintenance of radio aids, lower proximity alerts against the terrain, more flexible use of airspace, etc.

2. Composition of the Sub-Project to Develop and Implement a Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document for the CAR Region

- The *sub-project* will be led by the ICAO NACC Regional Officer, Air Traffic Management and Search and Rescue (RO/ATM/SAR)
- The sub-project will be composed of SMEs from Cuba, Dominican Republic, Trinidad and Tobago and COCESNA, which are all Project Members selected by the ICAO NACC Regional Office
- The sub-project cost will be covered by the Regional Project RLA/09/801 MCAAP

- Other Project Member States could send additional specialists as observers, as long as all travel and daily subsistence allowance (DSA) costs are covered by such State
- The selected SMEs shall comply with the required background for the mission
- The meeting duration will be four days, as determined by the ICAO NACC Regional Office

3. General Work Programme

On-site Activities:

- Initial meeting with the SMEs to discuss the *sub-project* mission objectives
- Assessment of information for regional PBN implementation, as appropriate
- Review published information regarding every FIR and TMA in the CAR Region, and make an assessment of the implementation progress in accordance with the NAM/CAR RPBANIP
- Draft a report summarizing the *sub-project* mission outcomes

Follow-Up:

 The ICAO NACC Regional Office will present the results of this mission to the PBN Task Force of the NAM/CAR Air Navigation Implementation Working Group (ANI/WG) to be incorporated in their current work programme and communicated to individual States to support individual and regional implementation strategies as per the approved action plan.

4. Deliverables

- Report containing a model structure for airspace and TMAs for the CAR Region
- Gap analysis on regional and individual States implementation requirements
- Regional and individual PBN implementation strategy

5. Proposed Sub-project Membership

| Sub-Project | State / International Organization | Position | E-mail Address |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|
| Jorge Fermín | Instituto de Aeronáutica | Especialista Aeronavegación | jorge.centella@iacc.avianet.cu |
| Centella Artola | Civil de Cuba (IACC) | ATM | Jorge.cerreena@iacc.aviariet.ea |
| Alexi Manuel Batista Ruiz | Instituto Dominicano de Aviación Civil (IDAC) | Encargado Sección Gestión de Espacio Aéreo y Procedimientos Terminales | alexi.batista@idac.gov.do |
| Riaaz Mohammed | Trinidad and Tobago Civil Aviation Authority (TTCAA) | Manager, Air Navigation Planning and Development | rmohammed@caa.gov.tt |
| César Turcios Valiente | Corporación Centroamericana de Servicios de Navegación Aérea (COCESNA) | Gestor Planificación De Espacios Aéreos | cesar.turcios@cocesna.org |
| Eddian Méndez (Team Leader) | ICAO NACC Regional Office | Regional Officer, Air Traffic Management and Search and Rescue | emendez@icao.int |



International Civil Aviation Organization North American, Central American and Caribbean Office

Regional Technical Cooperation Project for the Multi-Regional Civil Aviation Assistance Programme (MCAAP) (RLA/09/801)

Sub-Project to Develop and Implement a Performance-Based Navigation (PBN) Airspace Concept Document for the CAR Region

Report

by

Jorge Centella Artola, Cuba Alexi Manuel Batista Ruiz, Dominican Republic William Alsina, Dominican Republic Riaaz Mohammed, Trinidad and Tobago César Turcios Valiente, COCESNA Eddian Méndez, ICAO

Mexico City, Mexico, 8 to 11 May 2018

Contents

| 1. | General | 1 |
|----|---|----|
| 2. | Introduction | 1 |
| 3. | Objectives | 1 |
| 4. | Scope | 2 |
| 5. | Methodology Employed by the Project Team | 3 |
| 6. | Model Concept for PBN Harmonization within the CAR Region | 6 |
| 7. | CAR Region PBN Implementation Form | 11 |
| 8. | List of Common Challenges to PBN | 12 |
| 9. | Templates for RNAV 5 and SID & STAR Development | 12 |
| 10 | Reference Documents | 13 |

1. General

| Place of Mission: | ICAO NACC Regional Office |
|--|---|
| | Mexico City, Mexico |
| | |
| Dates of Mission: | 8 to 11 May 2018 |
| | |
| Team Composition: | Jorge Centella Artola, Cuba |
| | Alexi Manuel Batista Ruiz, Dominican Republic |
| | William Alsina, Dominican Republic |
| | Riaaz Mohammed, Trinidad and Tobago |
| | César Turcios Valiente, COCESNA |
| ICAO Representative: | Eddian Méndez |
| | Regional Officer, Air Traffic Management and Search and Rescue |
| Objectives of the Mission: | Develop a model structure for airspace and Terminal Areas (TMAs) that allows continuous flow in the upper and lower airspace of contiguous Flight Information Regions (FIRs) and TMAs, proposing a possible solution to the complex structure of the existing FIRs in the CAR Region. |
| Summary of Activities: | Initial meeting with the Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to discuss the sub-project mission objectives Assessment of information for regional PBN implementation, as appropriate |
| | Review information regarding FIR and TMA requirements for the CAR Region, and make an assessment of implementation in accordance with the NAM/CAR RPBANIP and Air Navigation Plan Draft a report summarizing the sub-project mission outcomes. |
| Documents and Data Collected and Reviewed: | Refer to Attached Report |

2. Introduction

- 2.1 PBN implementation is a living concept. Even though PBN regional implementation targets may or may not have been met, States and International Organizations need to work to comply with agreed objectives and continue improvement to allow an efficient flow of the increasing traffic.
- 2.2 The composition of the Caribbean (CAR) region is very heterogeneous with different scenarios between adjacent States, with radar and non-radar environments, oceanic and continental airspaces, high density and moderate flows of traffic, different infrastructure and technologies amongst States, and other factors, reflected in this document, that creates a challenge to harmonize the PBN implementation plans of the States and Organizations within.
- Despite the differences and challenges within the region, it is imperative to develop a harmonized approach to PBN implementation. This Sub-Project, led by the ICAO NACC Regional Office and consisting of Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) selected from among Project Member States, was formed with the objective to develop a model concept for airspace and Terminal Areas (TMAs) that allows continuous flow in the upper and lower airspace of contiguous Flight Information Regions (FIRs) and TMAs, proposing a possible solution to the complex structure of the existing FIRs in the CAR Region.
- 2.4 The model concept developed provides the minimum requirements that each State or International Organization should consider in developing their individual PBN implementation plan. It also provides an analysis of PBN implementation within the region; documents reported challenges and aims to provide recommendations to assist those States/Organizations which are currently encountering difficulty in meeting PBN implementation objectives.

3. Objectives

- 3.1 The goal of ICAO is to ensure that no State is left behind. While it is understood that growth rates amongst individual States and Organizations may differ based on various factors, it is important to ensure that there is a mechanism which allow all States and Organizations to meet at least the minimum targets established for safety and efficiency within the region.
- 3.2 The main objective of this document is to ensure a balanced PBN implementation within the CAR Region which enhances the interoperability of Air Navigation Services throughout the entire airspace. States with difficulty in the implementation can review the information in this document to determine what is required to develop an efficient and safe airspace structure and also what kind of assistance they need to acquire.
- 3.3 It is expected that with a harmonized approach to PBN implementation within the region, the following benefits will be derived:

- Assistance for States to comply with Aviation System Block Upgrade (ASBU)
 Block Zero airspace optimization requirements.
- Assistance to meet and comply with Regional Performance Objectives (RPOs) within the ICAO NACC Regional Performance Based Air navigation Implementation Plan (RPBANIP).
- Achieve increased homogeneity in the airspace between adjacent States.
- Reduction of distance travelled from point to point for each aircraft operation.
- Improvement in aircraft fuel savings and reduction of CO₂ emissions.
- Optimizations of continuous climb and descend profiles for aircraft.
- Reduction of the use of holding patterns.
- Greater access through mountainous areas.
- Reduction of noise in the vicinity of airports.
- Reduction of pilot and Air Traffic Controllers (ATCOs) workload.
- Reduction in occupancy of telecommunications frequencies.
- Reduction in the need to purchase and maintain ground based navigational aids.
- Less proximity alerts based on the terrain.
- Increased flexible use of airspace.

4. Scope

4.1 In reviewing the Terms of Reference of the Sub-Project, the SMEs in collaboration with the ICAO ATM/SAR Regional Officer, determined that based on the diversity of the individual CAR State's/Organization's airspace and also on the limited information available to the project team, it would be more productive to carry out the project on the following three phases:

1. Phase 1 – Concept Development

On this phase the minimum requirements for upper and lower airspaces of the CAR Region are defined. The model concept is presented as a report for the subproject.

2. Phase 2 – Data collection and analysis

The purpose of this phase is to collect evidence regarding the PBN implementation status for each upper and lower airspace and the airspace optimization capabilities for each State and International Organization of the CAR Region.

3. Phase 3 – Assessment and development of individual plans

After detailed information regarding the implementation and capacities of States and International Organizations has been reviewed, an objective assessment of the situation is performed and individual plans to close the detected gaps are developed. Here will be also identified the assistance required and possible collaboration with other Stakeholders.

- 4.2 The model concept is developed to ensure understanding amongst all States and Organizations of the minimum requirements needed for an adequate and harmonized approach to PBN implementation within the CAR Region. This concept is intended to be applied in the airspaces of the CAR Region, detailed in Appendix A to this report.
- 4.3 Phases 2 and 3 should be carried out by the ICAO NACC Regional Office, supported by the ANI/WG PBN Task Force, following the guidelines provided by this Sub-Project.
- While it is understood that the United States is in the process of developing an airspace plan for the Miami Oceanic, Houston Oceanic and New York Oceanic FIRs, due to the high flow of traffic, and airspace complexity, a PBN point of contact from the FAA is required; For specific details regarding routes implementation, it is also recommended to identify points of contact for each of the United States aforementioned FIRs, to coordinate with the adjacent CAR State/Organization representatives.

5. Methodology Employed by the Project Team

5.1 **Phase 1** – Concept Development

The Project Team reviewed the PBN RPOs stated within the RPBANIP and determined a list of minimum requirements for each airspace that each State/Organization should be engaged in to ensure an adequate level of airspace harmonization within the CAR Region.

The team also reviewed previous reports provided by the PBN Task Force at past Working Group meetings and the Airspace Harmonization meetings and discussed common challenges faced by most of the States/Organizations.

As part of the process, the SMEs provided information relating to PBN implementation in their respective State/Organization, and discussed best practices employed in PBN implementation planning.

The Team developed generic templates of work programs for development of RNAV 5 routes and SIDs and STARs which are included within the document as **Appendixes B** and **C**.

As part of the review, the team recognized the fact the target dates specified in the RPBANIP have elapsed, and recommends that a review of target dates be considered during the ANI/WG/4 meeting.

5.2 **Phase 2** – Data collection and analysis

The team created a form aimed at capturing information relating to the PBN implementation status of Individual States and Organizations within the CAR Region. A State letter will be promulgated by the ICAO NACC Office, requesting that all States/Organizations complete the form and return it to the ICAO NACC Office.

Each State/Organization will be required to designate a representative capable of coordinating with the ICAO NACC Office and the Team.

The information collected will be entered into a database within the ICAO NACC Office and will be used by the Team to analyse the status of each State/Organization.

Apart from creating an individual profile for each State/Organization, the Team will also update the list of common challenges and provide recommendations for their mitigation.

During Phase 1 of this project, the team collected and analysed data from the following States/Organization:

- COCESNA UPPER AIRSPACE (Some information provided for LOWER AIRSPACE.
 Awaiting further information from Central American States)
- CUBA UPPER/LOWER AIRSPACE
- DOMINICAN REPUBLIC UPPER/LOWER AIRSPACE
- TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO UPPER/LOWER AIRSPACE for TTPP. (Awaiting further information from E/CAR States)

5.3 **Phase 3** – Assessment and development of individual plans

On completion of Phase 2, the Team will provide specific recommendations to each State/Organization based on the profiles created.

Additionally, the Team will identify both strengths and weaknesses of individual States/Organizations and will provide recommendations to the ICAO NACC Office regarding the best use of available resources within the region. The ICAO NACC Office will seek the support of those States/Organizations who are capable of providing assistance to any other State/Organization that may require it.

5.4 The table below shows the tasks and projected timelines for each phase.

| Phase | Task | Responsible | Start | End | Status |
|---------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Revision of PBN RPOs | Project Team | May 8, 2018 | May 8, 2018 | Completed |
| | Determine list of minimum requirements for all airspaces (Upper/Lower Airspace) | Project Team | May 8, 2018 | May 9, 2018 | Completed |
| | Review previous reports of PBN Taskforce | Project Team | May 9, 2018 | May 9, 2018 | Completed |
| | List common challenges faced by States/Organizations in PBN Implementation | Project Team | May 9, 2018 | May 9, 2018 | Completed |
| | SMEs provide information on best practices within their States/Organizations | Project Team | May 10, 2018 | May 10, 2018 | Completed |
| | Develop form to collect data from States/Organization | Project Team | May 10, 2018 | May 10, 2018 | Completed |
| | SMEs provide information on their respective States'/Organization's PBN implementation status | Project Team | May 10, 2018 | May 11, 2018 | Completed |
| | Develop Template for development of PBN SIDs and STARs as well as RNAV 5 routes | Project Team | May 11, 2018 | May 11, 2015 | Completed |
| | Produce report on Phase 1 Project | Project Team | May 11, 2018 | June 30, 2018 | Completed |
| Phase 2 | ICAO NACC Office to promulgate State letter to each State/Organization | ICAO NACC OFFICE | August 1 st , 2018 | August 8, 2018 | |
| | Each State/Territory to complete and submit the form to the ICAO NACC Office | States/Organizations | August 9, 2018 | September 26, 2018 | |

| Phase | Task | Responsible | Start | End | Status |
|---------|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| | Create database of responses | ICAO NACC OFFICE | September 27, 2018 | October 31, 2018 | |
| | Analysis of State/Organization responses | Project Team | November 5, 2018 | November 9, 2018 | |
| | Create profile on each State/Organization | Project Team in consultation with respective State/Organization | November 12, 2018 | November 23, 2018 | |
| | Update list of common PBN implementation challenges | Project Team | November 12, 2018 | November 23, 2018 | |
| Phase 3 | Provide each State/Organization with an assessment of their implementation, including recommendations | Project Team through the ICAO NACC Office | November 26, 2018 | November 30, 2018 | |
| | Provide recommendations to the ICAO NACC Office on best use of resources within the Region | Project Team | November 26, 2018 | November 30, 2018 | |

6. Model Concept for PBN Harmonization within the CAR Region

6.1 General

- 6.1.1 The model concept is based on the minimum requirements considered to accommodate all current and envisioned users of the airspace providing safety, capacity and efficiency of operations in a PBN environment.
- 6.1.2 The model concept is divided in requirements for upper and lower airspace, to facilitate States the verification of accomplishment for every airspace structure.
- 6.2. Minimum Requirements for the Upper Airspace
- 6.2.1 The minimum requirements for the upper airspace consider as listed in the following table:

| | Minimum Requirements |
|----------------|--|
| | Implementation of RNAV 5 routes as agreed to in the Regional ANP |
| | Removal of conventional routes made redundant by PBN route implementation |
| | Implementation of RNAV 1/2 STAR/SIDs (CCOs and CDOs) to TMAs within the FIR |
| Upper Airspace | Implementation of 20NM longitudinal separation at FIR Boundaries (WHERE APPLICABLE) |
| | All routes and waypoints published by the States are correctly processed through the ICAO International Codes and Route Designators (ICARD) database |
| | Oceanic airspace lateral separation (WHERE APPLICABLE) |

- 6.3 Description of the requirements for the upper airspace
- 6.3.1 Implementation of RNAV 5 routes as agreed to in the Regional ANP
- 6.3.1.1 RNAV 5 operations are based on the use of RNAV equipment which automatically determines the aircraft position in the horizontal plane using input from one or a combination of the following types of position sensors, together with the means to establish and follow a desired path: a) VOR/DME; b) DME/DME; c) INS or IRS; and d) GNSS.
- 6.3.1.2 The ANSP must assess the navaid infrastructure in order to ensure that it is sufficient for the proposed operations, including reversionary modes. It is acceptable for gaps in navaid coverage to be present; when this occurs, route spacing and obstacle clearance surfaces need to take account of the expected increase in lateral track-keeping errors during the "dead reckoning" phase of flight.
- 6.3.1.3 Regarding separation, in an ATC surveillance environment, the route spacing will depend on acceptable ATC workload and availability of controller tools, separation is consider as follows:
 - 16.5 NM for same-direction traffic
 - 18 NM for opposite-direction traffic, and
 - As low as 10 NM where ATC intervention capability permits.
- 6.3.2 Removal of conventional routes made redundant by PBN route implementation

6.3.2.1 RNAV/RNP routes are more efficient than conventional routes providing "gate to gate" operations and also don't rely on radio aids installed on ground, improving safety and accuracy. These are the main reasons why it is considered important to make redundant and replace conventional routes to RNAV/RNP routes.

6.3.3 Implementation of RNAV 1/2 STAR/SIDs (CCOs and CDOs) to TMAs within the FIR

- 6.3.3.1 The main objective is to improve safety, predictability of flights and airspace capacity while reducing noise, fuel consumption, emissions and pilot-controller communications at the same time.
- 6.3.3.2 CDO is an aircraft operating technique aided by appropriate airspace and procedure design and appropriate ATC clearances enabling the execution of a flight profile optimized to the operating capability of the aircraft, with low engine thrust settings and, where possible, a low drag configuration, thereby reducing fuel burn and emissions during descent. The optimum vertical profile takes the form of a continuously descending path, with a minimum of level flight segments only as needed to decelerate and configure the aircraft or to establish on a landing guidance system (e.g. ILS).
- 6.3.3.3 Continuous climb operations (CCO) is an aircraft operating technique enabled by airspace design, instrument procedure design and facilitation by ATC, allowing for the execution of a flight profile optimized to the performance of the aircraft. CCO enables the aircraft to attain initial cruise flight level at optimum airspeed and engine thrust settings set throughout the climb, thereby reducing total fuel burn and emissions. Ideally, the departure design is such that arriving traffic is also able to descend based on an optimum descent profile. Where the departure and arrival flows cannot be designed independently, there will need to be a compromise between the needs of the departure and arrival flow optimization; this compromise should be reached collaboratively.
- An aircraft's fuel efficiency in terms of fuel burned per kilometre flown in levelled flight increases with height. However, the fuel used in climbing to that altitude can be a significant part of the overall fuel used for the flight. Therefore, for any given route length, there is an optimum initial cruise flight level which will be dependent upon the aircraft type and mass, as well as on the meteorological conditions of the day. CCO is only one of the tools involved in a complete airspace design. Throughout the design process, CDO, CCO and other route modifications should all be considered.
- 6.3.4 Implementation of 20 NM longitudinal separation at FIR Boundaries (WHERE APPLICABLE)
- 6.3.4.1 This requirement is based on the Longitudinal Separation Minima based on distance using Distance Measuring Equipment (DME) and/or GNSS.

6.3.4.2 Separation shall be established by maintaining not less than specified distance(s) between aircraft positions as reported by reference to DME in conjunction with other appropriate navigation aids and/or GNSS. This type of separation shall be applied between two aircraft using DME, or two aircraft using GNSS, or one aircraft using DME and one aircraft using GNSS. Direct controller-pilot VHF voice communication shall be maintained while such separation is used.

6.3.5 All routes and waypoints published by the States in their AIP, are correctly processed through the ICAO International Codes and Route Designators (ICARD) database.

- 6.3.5.1 States/Organizations are requested to submit and maintain up to date ICAO ICARD database with the new and previous routes and waypoints in order to eliminate codes duplication and differences between the geographical coordinates of waypoints published in the AIP and those feed it in ICARD.
- 6.3.5.2 States/Organizations are also requested to make coordination with neighbouring FIRs to publish in the respective AIP the same coordinates for the Transfer of Control Points (TCP).

6.3.6 For oceanic airspace, use of 50 NM lateral separation.

- 6.3.6.1 RNAV 10 (designated and authorized as RNP 10) supports 50 NM lateral and 50 NM longitudinal distance based separation minima in oceanic or remote area airspace.
- 6.3.6.2 States/organizations shall comply with this separation in order to maintain a safety flow of traffic and a separation harmonization with all FIR of CAR and Central American Region.

6.3.7 For oceanic airspace, use of 30 NM longitudinal and lateral separation.

- 6.3.7.1 RNP 4 supports 30 NM lateral and the 30 NM longitudinal distance based separation minima in oceanic or remote area airspace.
- 6.3.7.2 States/organizations shall comply with this separation in order to maintain a safety flow of traffic and a separation harmonization with all FIR of CAR and Central American Region.

6.4. Minimum Requirements for the Lower Airspace

6.4.1 The minimum requirements for the lower airspace consider as listed on the following table:

| | Minimum Requirements |
|----------------|--|
| | Implementation of RNAV 1/2 STAR/SIDs(CCOs and CDOs) to TMAs within the FIR |
| | Implementation of at least LNAV approaches for International Airports |
| Lower Airspace | LNAV/VNAV (BARO VNAV) Approaches if analysis determines a benefit |
| | Implementation of RNP AR Approaches if analysis determines a benefit |
| | Implementation of APV (GBAS) Approaches if analysis determines a benefit |

6.5 Description of the requirements for the lower airspace

- 6.5.1 Implementation of RNAV 1/2 STAR/SIDs (CCOs and CDOs) to TMAs within the FIR
- 6.5.1.1 See paragraph 6.3.3
- 6.5.2 Implementation of at least LNAV approaches for International Airports
- 6.5.2.1 RNP APCH LNAV procedures provides lateral guidance and can be defined with fly-by and fly-over waypoints as "T" or "Y" type approach.
- 6.5.2.2 RNP APCH is defined as an RNP approach procedure that requires a lateral TSE of \pm 1.1 NM in the initial, intermediate and missed approach segments (MAS) and a lateral TSE of \pm 0.3 NM in the Final Approach Segment (FAS).
- 6.5.2.3 RNP APCH LNAV procedure does not rely on ground radio aids and are more accuracy than conventional VOR/DME procedures. Also improve access being aligned in most cases with the runway centre line.
- 6.5.3 LNAV/VNAV (BARO VNAV) Approaches if analysis determines a benefit
- 6.5.3.1 Baro-VNAV approach procedures are classified as APV procedures in support of Type A 3D approach operations. They utilize a DA/H and not an MDA/H, and neither a FAF nor a missed approach point (MAPt) is identified. They use obstacle assessment surfaces similar to those for ILS, but based on the specific lateral guidance system.
- 6.5.3.2 Baro-VNAV procedures are used in association with LNAV-only procedures. The LNAV-only FAF and MAPt are needed to define the lateral areas and to support the lateral guidance but they are not used for the vertical navigation function.
- 6.5.3.3 Baro-VNAV procedures shall not be authorized with a remote altimeter setting.

- 6.5.3.3 Providing lateral and vertical guidance, BARO-VNAV approaches increase safety, access and accuracy compare with an RNP APCH LNAV procedure.
- 6.5.4 Implementation of RNP AR Approaches if analysis determines a benefit
- 6.5.4.1 Implementation of RNP AR procedures extends beyond procedure design in that an authorization process for aircraft operators is necessary to ensure that other critical dependencies and associated airworthiness and operational procedure approvals are complete prior to implementation. Guidance on implementation and operational approval is provided in the PBN Manual.
- 6.5.4.2 RNP AR APCH is defined as an RNP approach procedure that requires a lateral TSE as low as ± 0.1 NM on any segment of the approach procedure. RNP AR APCH procedures are only published where significant operational advantages can be achieved while preserving or improving safety of operation.
- 6.5.4.3 RNP AR APCH are very useful in mountainous and noise sensitive areas to improve access to the airport through radius to fix RF turns.
- 6.5.5 Implementation of APV (GBAS) Approaches if analysis determines a benefit
- 6.5.5.1 GBAS is also refer as LAAS (local area augmentation system), It can be used to achieve accuracy required to CAT I-III and is done by locating 4 receivers on the ground at a precisely -surveyed (centimetre accuracy) positions.
- 6.5.5.2 The cost of one GBAS ground station is less that the cost of multiple ILSs for an airport. Another advantage of GBAS is that the accuracy enhancement is provided for the whole airport.
- 7. CAR Region PBN Implementation Form
- 7.1 The CAR Region PBN Implementation Form is included in the **Appendix D** to this Report.
- 7.2 General
- 7.2.1 An implementation form has been created in order to help States/organizations to determine the level of accomplishment of the airspace model structure.
- 7.2.2 It is mandatory to indicate an AIP reference as evidence to ICAO NACC Regional Office on each item of the form you agree.
- 7.2.3 For all longitudinal separation items filled in the form, an existing letter of agreement (LOA) shall be attached.

7.2.4 Information provided by States/organizations is going to remain confidential in ICAO NACC Regional Office.

7.3 Description of CAR Region PBN Implementation Form

7.3.1 The CAR Region PBN Implementation Status Form is intended to help States and International Organizations in the assessment of their implementation progress in accordance with the PBN airspace concept. The form has specific initiates for both upper and lower airspace. States/organizations shall list all the initiatives they have accomplished or that are in progress to implement and also provide an AIP reference as evidence to ICAO NACC Regional Office on each item of the form agreed. The form has a remark section where States/International Organizations can describe with more detail the status and difficulties they have face or are facing to achieve any of the initiatives listed on the form. At the end of the form a Comments section regarding PBN Implementation roadblocks has been created to provide space to communicate any issue or concern they might have in terms of the Implementation progress.

8. List of Common Challenges to PBN

- Lack of transparency with regards to internal issues preventing PBN implementation.
- Limited Collaborative Decision Making and Communication Channels between States/International Organizations/ANSPs regarding regional PBN implementation.
- Lack of consultation process with stakeholders to obtain feedback about PBN implementation initiatives.
- Lack of trained personnel in terms of PBN concept development and implementation.
- Poor data collection and database management regarding obstacles and aeronautical information.
- Difficulties to obtain proper design tools to develop Terminal and En-route procedures under PBN concept.

9. Templates for RNAV 5 and SID & STAR Development

9.1 Templates for RNAV 5 and SID/STAR help States/International Organizations and ANSPs to design routes and procedures according to a proposed work breakdown with organized tasks, time frames and parties involved. The templates aim to describe and consolidate the procedures/route initiation, data collection and validation, conceptual design, stakeholders, CDM, evaluation, simulation, draft design, safety assessment and others steps that are mandatory in a PBN concept design in accordance with ICAO standards. Both templates are presented in the Appendixes B and C.

10. Reference Documents

10.1 ICAO Reference Documents

- a) Performance-based Navigation (PBN) Manual (Doc 9613)
- b) Continuous Climb Operations (CCO) Manual (Doc 9993)
- c) Continuous Descent Operations (CDO) Manual (Doc 9931)
- d) Required Navigation Performance Authorization Required (RNP AR) Procedure Design Manual (Doc 9905)
- e) Procedures for Air Navigation Services (PANS) OPS Aircraft Operations Volume I Flight Procedures and Volume II Construction of Visual and Instrument Flight Procedures (Doc 8168)
- f) Procedures for Air Navigation Services (PANS) ATM Air Traffic Management (Doc 4444)
- g) Regional Performance-based Air Navigation Implementation Plan (RPBANIP) for NAM/CAR Regions.

APPENDIX A Airspaces of the CAR Region

| Upper Airspace | Lower Airspace |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Belize (Belize TMA) |
| | Guatemala (La Aurora TMA) |
| COCECNA (Control America) | Honduras (La Mesa TMA; Toncontín TMA; Roatán ATZ; La Ceiba CTR) |
| COCESNA (Central America) | El Salvador (El Salvador TMA) |
| | Nicaragua (Managua TMA) |
| | Costa Rica (El Coco TMA; Liberia TMA) |
| Mexico (Mexico & Mazatlán Oceanic) | Acapulco; Cancún-Cozumel; Ciudad Del Carmen; Ciudad Juárez; Ciudad Obregón; Ciudad Victoria; Culiacán; Chihuahua; Durango; Guadalajara; Hermosillo; Ixtapa-Zihuatanejo; La Paz; Los Mochis; León - Aguascalientes; Manzanillo; Matamoros; Mazatlán; Mérida; Mexico; Monterrey; Morelia; Nuevo Laredo; Oaxaca; Puebla; Puerto Vallarta; Querétaro; Reynosa; Saltillo; San Jose Del Cabo; San Luis Potosí; Tampico; Tijuana; Torreón; Tuxtla Gutiérrez; Veracruz; Villahermosa; |
| Jamaica (Kingston) | Jamaica TMA; |
| Haiti (Port Au Prince) | Port Au Prince TMA |
| Cuba (Havana) | Havana TMA; Santa Clara TMA; Santiago TMA |
| Curacao (Curacao) | Curacao TMA; Juliana TMA; Beatrix CTR; Flamengo CTR; |
| Dominican Republic (Santo | |
| Domingo) | Punta Cana TMA; Las Americas TMA; Cibao TMA |
| United States (San Juan) | San Juan |
| | Trinidad And Tobago (Piarco Ctr) |
| | Antigua And Barbuda (Vc Bird TMA) |
| | Barbados (Adams TMA) |
| Trigidad And Talance (Diagon) | Martinique (Martinique TMA) |
| Trinidad And Tobago (Piarco) | St Lucia (St Lucia CTR) |
| | St Vincent And The Grenadines (Argyle TMA) |
| | Grenada (Maurice Bishop TMA) |
| | Guadeloupe (Pointe-A-Pitre-TMA) |

APPENDIX B ANSP- PBN Route Design Work Flow

Proposed work breakdown plan for development SIDs and STARs for CAR Region in accordance with the CAR PBN Harmonization Sub-Project

| TACK | DECORPTIONS | SCRIPTIONS DARTIES INVOLVED TIME DEMARKS | | |
|-----------|---|--|-------------------|---|
| TASK # | DESCRIPTIONS | PARTIES INVOLVED | TIME (APPROX.) | REMARKS |
| 1 | Initiation | Stakeholders -Procedure Designers -ASM -ATM - ATS and ANS Safety - Airlines Safety Regulations - Any other stakeholder | Variable | Start date based on agreement by ASM and ATM managers & ANS Safety and approval. During this meeting, KEY stakeholders and responsibilities will be identified and a more detailed project plan will be created. Actual time for this phase is dependent on the CDM process and the time it takes for each stakeholder to respond. Based on CDM, a determination will be made on the actual number of SIDs and STARs to be designed. This decision does not preclude future requests from any stakeholder. |
| 2 | Collection and validation of all data | Procedure designers. ASM, ATS, AIM. CNS Stakeholders. Data sources. | TBD | Start date — Based on agreement by ANS Management. It is NOT totally dependent on responses from all stakeholders in task 1. PBN Equipage data collect RNAV 1/2 (SIDs and STARs) RNAV 5 (PBN Feeder routes RNP APCH (IAPs) Operational Flight data Climb and Descent Profiles ATC considerations Radar data i. Playback ii. Voice iii. Recording Terrain and Obstacle Helicopter Operations |
| 3 | Create conceptual design | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Time is dependent on 1 & 2 being completed. |
| 4 | Review by stakeholders | Involved Stakeholders. ASM | Variable | This is dependent on 3 being completed. Time period may be varied based on responses from stakeholders. |
| 5 | Re-design and further CDM if necessary | ASM and required Stakeholders | TBD | To address any critical issues with the initial conceptual design |
| 6 | Formal IFP Design and Evaluations | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Time may be greater depending on design complexities. |

| TASK # | DESCRIPTIONS | PARTIES INVOLVED | TIME (APPROX.) | REMARKS |
|-----------|---|---|-------------------|---|
| 7 | Simulation Exercises | ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | Requires simulator exercises to be built. This is for initial validation of the design and is not to be confused with ATCO training. This may require incorporation of ALL designs in order to determine how each procedure affects another. Will provide insight into any possible ATS operational concerns. |
| 8 | Create draft IFP chart | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Dependent on 7 being completed. Time is dependent on design complexities. |
| 9 | Document and Store | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Completion of Procedure and ARINC 424 Coding reports. |
| 10 | Conduct safety activities | ASM Procedure designers ATS and ANS Safety | TBD | Recommended to start simultaneously with 7 but also requires 9 to be completed in order for full Safety assessment to be conducted. |
| 11 | Conduct IFP design verification | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | 2 days for first review. 1 day for any corrective actions to be addressed by designer. 1 day for second review. Time may be greater depending on design complexities. |
| 12 | Conduct Ground validation | Qualified IFP Validator. | TBD | Time can vary based on availability of resources. |
| 13 | Present final design to stakeholders and agreement on effective date | Relevant Stakeholders. ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety | Variable | Stakeholders' endorsement. Timeline depends on Stakeholders' response time. |
| 14 | Flight Validation (if required) | Flight Validation service provider. CNS ASM Safety Regulations | TBD | Coordination with CNS regarding the Flight scheduling. |
| 15 | ICAO Approval | ASM | TBD | Waypoint 5LNC approvals. ICARD Database update. Time is dependent on ICAO response. |
| 16 | IFP Approval | Safety Regulations ASM | TBD | Time dependent on Safety Regulations |
| 17 | ATM system update to reflect map/route changes on GRP | ASM Procedure designers CNS ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | Coordination with ATM System provider. |

| TASK # | DESCRIPTIONS | PARTIES INVOLVED | TIME (APPROX.) | REMARKS |
|-----------|---|---|-------------------|--|
| 18 | Develop Training Plan and Training Delivery to Operational ATS Staff | ASM Procedure designers ATM ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | Requires coordination with ATM regarding the development of a training plan and provision of instructors. ATS & ANS Safety to advise of training schedule |
| 19 | Submit IFP package to AIM for publication | Safety Regulations AIM | TBD | IFP Data to be submitted 1 month before proposed promulgation date for AIM processing. AIRAC supplement to be promulgated 1 or 2 months prior to effective date. |
| 20 | Verify Draft Publication | ASM AIM. | TBD | This will occur simultaneously with 19 and must be completed prior to promulgation of the AIRAC supplement. |
| 21 | Implementation | ATS & ANS Safety ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Requires completion of all above tasks |
| 22 | Obtain and analyse feedback from stakeholders | ASM | Variable | Post implementation |
| 23 | Conduct continuous maintenance | ASM AIM ATS & ANS Safety Airline Operators | Variable | Post implementation |
| 24 | Conduct periodic review | Designer. AIM. Safety Regulations | TBD | Post implementation. ICAO require periodic review to ensure that IFPs continue to comply with changing criteria and meet user requirements, and to ensure that significant changes to the obstacles, aerodrome, aeronautical and navaid data are assessed for their impact on the IFPs. ICAO stipulates review period must not exceed 5 years. |

APPENDIX C ANSP— PBN Route Design Work Flow

Proposed work breakdown plan for continued development of RNAV 5 routes within the CAR Region in accordance with the CAR PBN Harmonization Sub-Project

| Task # | Descriptions | Parties Involved | Time (Approx.) | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|-------------------|---|
| 1 | Initiation | Stakeholders -Procedure Designers -ASM - ATS & ANS Safety - Airline operating agencies - ICAO NACC Offices - IATA - CANSO - Safety Regulations -Adjacent ANSPs - Any other stakeholder | TBD | Start date based on agreement by ASM and ATM managers & ANS Safety and approval. During this meeting, KEY stakeholders and responsibilities will be identified and a more detailed project plan will be created. Actual time for this phase is dependent on the CDM process and the time it takes for each stakeholder to respond. The East/West and North/South traffic flow will be addressed in this project. |
| 2 | Collection and validation of all data | ASM, ATS and ANS Safety, AIM, CNS IATA Aircraft Operators Additional Stakeholders. | TBD | Start date — Based on agreement by ANS Management. It is NOT totally dependent on responses from all stakeholders in task 1. O PBN Equipage data collect RNAV 5 O Operational Flight data ATC considerations Operator preferred flight routes |
| 3 | Create conceptual design | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Time is dependent on 1 & 2 being completed |
| 4 | Review by stakeholders | ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety Involved Stakeholders. | Variable | This is dependent on 3 being completed. Time period may be varied based on responses from stakeholders. |
| 5 | Re-design and further CDM if necessary | ASM Procedure designers Required Stakeholders | TBD | To address any critical issues with the initial conceptual design |
| 6 | Formal Route Design and Evaluations | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Time will depend on design complexities. |
| 7 | Simulation Exercises (if required) | ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | This requires simulator exercises to be built. This is for initial validation of the design and is not to be confused with ATCO training. Will provide insight into any possible design flaws. |

| | | | Time | |
|--------|--|---|-----------|---|
| Task # | Descriptions | Parties Involved | (Approx.) | Remarks |
| 8 | Create draft aeronautical chart | Procedure designers | TBD | Dependent on 7 being completed. Time will depend on design complexities. |
| 9 | Document and Store | ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Process and assimilate all aeronautical data required for safety assessment and publication. |
| 10 | Conduct Safety Assessment | ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | Recommended to start simultaneously with 7 but also requires 9 to be completed in order for full Safety assessment to be conducted. |
| 11 | Present final design to stakeholders and agreement on effective date | Relevant Stakeholders. ASM Procedure designers ATS & ANS Safety | Variable | Stakeholders' endorsement. Timeline depends on Stakeholders' response time. It should be noted that this process MAY be lengthy as it involves coordination with adjacent ANSPs. |
| 12 | ICAO Approval | ASM | TBD | Routes and Waypoint 5LNC approvals. ICARD database updates. Time is dependent on ICAO response. |
| 13 | ATM system update to reflect map/route changes on GRP | ASM Procedure designers CNS ATS & ANS Safety | TBD | Coordination with ATM System provider. |
| 14 | Develop Training Plan and Training Delivery to Operational ATS Staff | ASM Procedure designers ATM ATS & ANS Safety Safety Regulations | TBD | Requires coordination with ATM regarding the development of a training plan and provision of instructors. ATS & ANS Safety to advise of training schedule |
| 15 | Notification to Safety Regulations | State Licensing. ASM | TBD | |
| 16 | Submit aeronautical information package to AIM for publication* (*Logistics for responsibilities still to be finalized) | ASM AIM | TBD | Data to be submitted 1 month before proposed promulgation date. AIRAC supplement to be promulgated 1 or 2 months prior to effective date. |
| 17 | Verify Draft Publication | ASM Procedure designers AIM. | TBD | This will occur simultaneously with 16 and must be completed prior to promulgation of the AIRAC supplement. |
| 18 | Implementation | ATS & ANS Safety ASM Procedure designers | TBD | Requires completion of all above tasks |
| 19 | Obtain and analyse feedback from stakeholders | ASM | Variable | Post implementation |

| Task # | Descriptions | Parties Involved | Time (Approx.) | Remarks |
|--------|--------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| 20 | Conduct continuous maintenance | Procedure designers State Safety Regulations ATS and ANS Safety AIM | Variable | Post implementation |
| 21 | Conduct periodic review | ASM Procedure designers AIM State Safety Regulations | TBD | Post implementation ICAO requires periodic review to ensure that Airspace Organization and Management continue to comply with changing criteria and meets user requirements. |

CAR REGION PBN IMPLEMENTATION STATUS FORM

Table 1 – STATE/ORGANIZATION INFORMATION

| TUDIC 1 STATE/ONOF | ubic 1 State on dainization in onination | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| STATE: | Name of State. If not applicable use N/A. | | | |
| ORGANIZATION: | Name of the Organization. | | | |
| FIR: | Name of FIR. If not applicable use N/A. | | | |
| TMA(s): | List the Terminal Area(s) (TMAs) for which the STATE/ORGANIZATION has responsibility. | | | |
| AERODROMES: | List the Aerodromes for which the STATE/ORGANIZATION has responsibility. | | | |

Table 2 – GENERAL PBN QUESTIONS

| QUESTION | ANSWER | REMARKS |
|---|--------|---------|
| Has a PBN Airspace Concept Document been approved by your organization? | Y N | |
| Has the PBN Airspace Concept Document been submitted to the ICAO NACC Office? | Y | |
| Is your Aeronautical information Publication (AIP) published online? | Y N | |

Table 3 – UPPER AIRSPACE INFORMATION

| UPPER | INITIATIVE | STATUS | REMARKS |
|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| AIRSPACE | | Statement as to whether task has been achieved. | Comments from State/Organization regarding why the task has |
| | | Provide evidence in the form of reference to AIP and | not been achieved. Projected timeline for completion (if available). |
| | | Scanned page(s) | |
| | | If not applicable (for States/Organization who may | |
| | | not have an UPPER Airspace) use N/A | |
| FIR NAME | Implementation of RNAV | | |
| CONTINENTAL | 5 routes as agreed to in | | |
| AIRSPACE | the Regional ANP | | |
| If not | | | |
| applicable use | | | |
| N/A | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Removal of conventional | | |
| | routes made redundant | | |
| | by PBN route | | |
| | implementation | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| UPPER | INITIATIVE | STATUS | REMARKS |
|----------------|----------------------------|--|---|
| AIRSPACE | | Statement as to whether task has been achieved. | Comments from State/Organization regarding why the task has |
| | | | not been achieved. Projected timeline for completion (if |
| | | Provide evidence in the form of reference to AIP and | available). |
| | | Scanned page(s) | |
| | | If not applicable (for States/Organization who may | |
| | | not have an UPPER Airspace) use N/A | |
| FIR NAME | Implementation of RNAV | | |
| CONTINENTAL | 1/2 SIDs/STARs (CCOs and | | |
| AIRSPACE | CDOs) to TMAs within the | | |
| | FIR | | |
| If not | | | |
| applicable use | | | |
| N/A | | | |
| | | | |
| | Implementation of 20NM | | |
| | longitudinal separation at | | |
| | FIR Boundaries (WHERE | | |
| | APPLICABLE) | | |
| | | | |
| | All points corresponding | | |
| | to routes from the | | |
| | regional air navigation | | |
| | plan, published by the | | |
| | State in their AIP, are | | |
| | identical to the | | |
| | coordinates stored in the | | |
| | ICARD database. | | |

| UPPER AIRSPACE | INITIATIVE | STATUS Statement as to whether task has been achieved. Provide evidence in the form of reference to AIP and | REMARKS Comments from State/Organization regarding why the task has not been achieved. Projected timeline for completion (if available). |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | | Scanned page(s) If not applicable (for States/Organization who may | avallable). |
| | | not have an UPPER Oceanic Airspace) use N/A | |
| FIR NAME OCEANIC AIRSPACE | Use of 50 NM Lateral Separation | | |
| If not applicable use N/A | | | |
| | Use of 30NM Longitudinal and Lateral Separation | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Table 4

| LOWER AIRSPACE | INITIATIVE | STATUS | REMARKS |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| | | For each Aerodrome and RWY Statement as to whether task has been achieved. | Comments from State/Organization regarding why task has not been achieved. Projected timeline for completion (If available). |
| | | Provide evidence in the form of reference to AIP and Scanned page(s) | If not applicable use N/A |
| | | If not applicable (for States/Organization who may not have Lower Airspace) use N/A | |
| Name of TMA | Implementation | | |
| If not applicable use | of LNAV | | |
| N/A | approaches for | | |
| | International | | |
| List Aerodromes for which the | Airports | | |
| STATE/ORGANIZATION | | | |
| has responsibility | | | |
| | Implementation of APV BARO VNAV approaches for International Airports if analysis determines a benefit | | |
| | Implementation of RNP AR Approaches if analysis determines a | | |
| | benefit | | |

| LOWER AIRSPACE | INITIATIVE | STATUS For each Aerodrome and RWY Statement as to whether task has been achieved. Provide evidence in the form of reference to AIP and Scanned page(s) If not applicable (for States/Organization who may not have Lower Airspace) use N/A | REMARKS Comments from State/Organization regarding why task has not been achieved. Projected timeline for completion (If available). If not applicable use N/A |
|----------------|--|---|--|
| | Implementation of APV (GBAS) Approaches if analysis determines a benefit | | |
| | Implementation of RNAV 1/2 SIDs and STARs | | |

Table 5 - Comments

| COMMENTS FROM STATE/ORGANIZATION REGARDING PBN IMPLEMENTATION ROADBLOCKS | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |