

Nicaragua State Air Navigation Plan

Date: Agosto 07, 2018 –Draft
Prepared by: INAC



Document History Record

Release	Date	Author(s)/Comments
Draft	Agosto 09, 2018	Hugo Cano / Luis Mahmud
Version 1.0	Xxxxx XX, 2018	

BORRADOR

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	4
1.1 Background	4
1.2 Environment	4
1.2.1 Authority of Your State/Organization	5
1.2.2 Airspace	6
1.2.3 Aerodromes	7
1.2.4 Traffic Forecast	8
1.3 Planning Methodology	8
1.4 Air Navigation Planning Process	9
1.4.1 Analysis and Work Flow Process	9
1.4.2 Monitoring and Reporting Results	10
1.5 Problem Identification	11
1.5.1 Existing Problems	11
1.5.2 Future Problems	11
2. Your State/Organization’s Aviation System Block Upgrade (ASBU) Implementation Status	12
2.1 ASBU Block 0 Implementation Metrics, Targets, and Status	12
2.1.1 ASBU B0 Implementation Metrics and Targets	12
2.1.2 ASBU B0 Implementation Status Summary	20
2.2 ASBU Block 1 Implementation Targets and Status	22
2.3 ASBU Block 2 Implementation Targets and Status	22
2.4 ASBU Block 3 Implementation Targets and Status	22
3. ICAO NACC Regional Aviation System Improvements (RASI) Status	23
4. Your State/Organization’s State Aviation System Improvements (SASI) Status	23
4.1 Equipment Upgrades	23
4.2 Procedure Upgrades	23
4.3 Infrastructure Upgrades	23
5. Your State/Organization State ANP Next Review Schedule	23
Appendix A: ANRF Explained	24
Appendix B: ASBU ANRF Template	26
Appendix C: RASI and SASI ANRF Templates	27
Appendix D: Your Organization ASBU Block 0 ANRFs	28
Appendix E: Your Organization ASBU Block 1 ANRFs	47
Appendix F: Your Organization SBU Block 2 ANRFs	47
Appendix G: Your Organization ASBU Block 3 ANRFs	47
Appendix H: Your Organization RASI ANRFs	48
Appendix I: Your Organization SASI ANRFs	49

1. Introduction

This document is Nicaragua's State Air Navigation Plan (ANP) describing the plan and status of aviation technology implementation. The background of the State ANP and the environment of our air navigation system are presented along with the method and process to evaluate and monitor aviation technology implementation.

1.1 Background

The ICAO Global Air Navigation Plan (Doc 9750, GANP) provides ICAO's vision to achieve sustainable growth of the global civil aviation system. It also presents all States with a comprehensive planning tool supporting a harmonized global air navigation system. The GANP is an overarching framework that includes key civil aviation policy principles to assist ICAO Regions and States with the preparation of their Regional and State Air Navigation Plans (ANPs).

Planning and Implementation Regional Groups (PIRGs) are expected to develop the regional ANPs reflecting the regional requirements. GANP obligates States to map their individual or regional programmes against the harmonized GANP, but provides them with far greater certainty of investment. GANP requires active collaboration among States through the PIRGs in order to coordinate initiatives within applicable regional ANPs.

The GANP introduces the Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBU) methodology. The ASBU methodology and its description of future aviation capabilities define programmatic and flexible global systems engineering approaches allowing all States to advance their air navigation capacities based on their specific operational requirements.

To this extent, the North American, Central American and Caribbean (NACC) Regional Office (RO), has published the NAM/CAR Regional Performance-Based Air Navigation Implementation Plan (RPBANIP, v3.1 in April 2014) aligning the activities and strategies with the ICAO ASBU methodology.

This document is the ANP for Nicaragua/INAC aligning activities and strategies to the GANP and RPBANIP. The information contained in the Nicaragua/INAC ANP is related mainly to:

- Planning: objectives set, priorities and targets planned at the state level
- Implementation monitoring and reporting: monitoring the progress of implementation towards targets planned. This information should be used for reporting purposes (i.e.: global and regional air navigation reports and performance dashboards); and/or
- Guidance: providing state guidance material for the implementation of specific system/procedures in a harmonized manner.

The Nicaragua/INAC ANP would be used as a tool for planning, monitoring, and reporting the status of implementation of the aviation capabilities.

1.2 Environment


The environments of Air Navigation of Nicaragua/INAC, such as authority, airspace and airports, and air traffic are described in this section.

1.2.1 Authority of Nicaragua

El Instituto Nicaragüense de Aeronáutica Civil (INAC) es un ente autónomo técnico y especializado que funge como la Autoridad de Aviación Civil (AAC). Nace a partir de la aprobación en octubre de 2006 de la Ley General de Aeronáutica Civil (Ley 595) como sucesor sin solución de continuidad de la Dirección General de Aeronáutica Civil (DGAC) anteriormente adscrita al Ministerio de Transporte e Infraestructura (MTI).

Le competen funciones de regulación, supervisión, control y aplicación de las normas que rigen los servicios de transporte aéreo y todas las actividades aeronáuticas que tengan lugar dentro del territorio nacional, su espacio aéreo y el que envuelve sus aguas jurisdiccionales (Ley 595, Título II, Capítulo I Arto.9).

De acuerdo a lo dispuesto por la Ley General de Aeronáutica Civil (Ley 595), el Instituto Nicaragüense de Aeronáutica Civil, (INAC), esta organizado de la siguiente manera:




1.2.2 Airspace

El espacio aéreo de la República de Nicaragua se encuentra de la Región de Información de Vuelo (FIR por sus siglas en inglés) denominada "FIR Centroamericana"; sus dimensiones laterales y verticales están claramente identificadas dentro de la Publicación de Información Aeronáutica de Nicaragua (AIP por sus siglas en inglés) parte ENR-2.1. Dentro de la misma se ha considerado un espacio aéreo inferior y un espacio aéreo superior, dividido verticalmente por el nivel de vuelo FL200. A los efectos del suministro de los servicios de control de Tránsito aéreo de Área para Nicaragua, se ha dispuesto el sector 2 y 3 de la FIR.

1	Nombre: "Centro de Control CENAMER"		Sector: 2	Frecuencia: 124.1 MHz
	Ubicación: Norte, Noroeste, Oeste, Suroeste, Sur, Sureste, Este	Sectores Adyacentes: 1 y 3	FIR Adyacentes: PANAMA, GUAYAQUIL BOGOTA	Espacios Aéreos: A, C, D y G
	CTR / Zonas de Control: MNMG	Aeródromos: MNMG	TMA / Aéreas Terminales: APP Sandino	

2	Nombre: "Centro de Control CENAMER"		Sector: 3	Frecuencia: 124.3 MHz
	Ubicación: Noreste	Sectores Adyacentes: 1 y 2	FIR Adyacentes: PANAMA, HABANA, MERIDA, KINGSTON	Espacios Aéreos: A, D y G
	CTR / Zonas de Control: N/A	Aeródromos: N/A	TMA / Aéreas Terminales: N/A	



1.2.3 Aerodromes

Desde el punto de vista Aeroportuario, existe un Aeropuerto comercial y de aviación general que sirve como aeropuerto internacional, cuyo nombre es Aeropuerto Internacional “Augusto C. Sandino” (MNMG), ubicado en el municipio de Managua, en el departamento de Managua.

1	Nombre: “Aeropuerto Internacional Augusto C. Sandino”		Ubicación: Managua, Managua	Código OACI: MNMG
	Pista(s): 10/28	Dimensiones (mts): 2442 x 45	Elevación (mts./ft.): 59mts./192ft.	Punto de referencia AD: 12°08`30.272”N , 86°10`11.171”W
	Radioayudas: VOR/DME, ILS/DME RWY10	Procedimientos Instrumentales: ILS 1, ILS/DME or LOC, VOR/DME 1 RWY 10, VOR/DME 2 RWY 10, RNAV(GNSS) RWY 28, RNAV(GNSS) RWY 10, VOR/DME 1 RWY 28, VOR/DME 2 RWY 28		Servicios ATS: Vigilancia, APP y TWR

Referencia AD: 4D	PCN: PCN 52/F/B/X/T	Balizaje Nocturno: SI	Horario de Operación: 24 horas
-----------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------	------------------------------------------

1.2.4 Traffic Forecast

Number of typical daily operation (arrivals/departures) at Aeropuerto Internacional Augusto C. Sandino (MNMG) are 56/55 (total of 111 movements). The RPBANIP forecasted that average annual growth of air traffic in the Caribbean region would increase 5.9% during 2011-2031. The INAC believes that this overall Caribbean regional forecast of annual increase of 5.9% is too optimistic for Nicaragua and more moderate number of 2.43% annual increase might realistic anticipation. Estimated daily operations at MNMG is shown in Tables 1.2.4a applying the increase forecasts to each year from 2019 to 2031.

Year	MNMG
2014	80
2015	88
2016	104
2017	100
2018	111
2019	114
2020	117
2021	120
2022	122
2023	125
2024	128
2025	132
2026	135
2027	138
2028	141
2029	145
2030	148
2031	152

Table 1.2.4a: Air Traffic Forecasts at MNMG (number of daily operation) using annual increase rate of 2.43%

1.3 Planning Methodology

Guided by the GANP and RPBANIP, the state planning process starts by identifying the state responsible ATM areas, major traffic flows and international aerodromes. An analysis of this data leads to the identification of opportunities for performance improvement. Available technologies and ASBU Elements are evaluated to identify which Elements best provide the needed operational improvements. Depending on the complexity of the selected technology or Elements, additional planning steps may need to be undertaken including financing and training needs. Finally, state plans would be developed for the

deployment of improvements and supporting requirements. This is an iterative planning process which may require repeating several steps until a final plan with specific regional targets is in place. This planning methodology requires full involvement of States, service providers, airspace users and other stakeholders, thus ensuring commitment by all for implementation.

Considering that some of the ASBU Modules contained in the GANP are specialized packages of implementable capabilities, called Elements, that may be applied where specific operational requirements or corresponding benefits exist, States will decide how each ASBU Element would fit into national and regional plans.

In establishing and updating the implementation priorities detailed in the Nicaragua/INAC ANP, due consideration should be given to the safety priorities set out in the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP) and the NAM/CAR regional safety strategy. Nicaragua/INAC would establish its own air navigation objectives, priorities and targets to meet its individual needs and circumstances in line with the global and regional air navigation objectives, priorities, and targets.

1.4 Air Navigation Planning Process

The air navigation planning process prescribes evaluation, implementation, reviewing, reporting, and monitoring activities. It is recommended to conduct the process on a cyclical, annual basis. An Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF) is a tool to monitor and report the implementation status of capabilities. The Nicaragua/INAC ANRF is a customized tool for the application of setting planning targets, monitoring implementation, and identifying challenges, measuring implementation/performance and reporting. The ANRF reflects selected key performance areas as defined in the Manual on Global Performance of the Air Navigation System (ICAO Doc 9883).

Many of the future capabilities are described in terms of ASBU Elements. Some capabilities are specific to the need of the Caribbean Region and/or the State needs. These specific needs are described as Regional Aviation System Improvements (RASI) and State Aviation System Improvements (SASI). Both Analysis and Work Flow and ANRF are useful to manage the implementation status of ASBU, RASI, and SASI capabilities.

1.4.1 Analysis and Work Flow Process

Figure 1.4.1 depicts the workflow for analyzing and implementing ASBU Elements. This flow process should be applied to each of the ASBU Elements. If the Element is applicable to an airport, each airport needs to be evaluated through this flow process. This same flow process is applicable to RASI and SASI.

The significance of each step in the workflow as it pertains to regional planning is as follows:

- **Analysis Not Started** – The requirement to implement this ASBU Element has not yet been assessed
- **Analysis In Progress** – A Need Analysis as to whether or not this ASBU Element is required, is in progress
- **N/A** – The ASBU Element is not required
- **Need** - The Need Analysis concluded that the ASBU Element is required, but planning for the implementation has not yet begun
- **Planning** – Implementation of this ASBU Element is planned, but not yet started
- **Developing** – Implementation of this ASBU Element is in the development phase, but not yet operational

- **Partially Implemented** – Implementation of this ASBU Element is partially completed and/or operational but all planned implementations are not yet complete
- **Implemented** - Implementation of this ASBU Element has been completed and/or is fully operational everywhere the need was identified




Figure 1.4.1: Analysis and Work Flow

The Need Analysis of ASBU Elements will identify which ASBU Elements are required. In this context, “required” means that the benefits estimated from the implementation would justify the associated implementation costs, or, the potential safety benefits are deemed to justify the implementation costs. The implementation status of ASBU Elements which are not required should be indicated as “N/A”, meaning “not applicable”.

The analysis and implementation status determined in accordance with the above is reflected in the applicable ANRFs and in the ASBU Implementation Status Tables.

1.4.2 Monitoring and Reporting Results

Monitoring and reporting results will be analyzed by the Regions, States and the ICAO Secretariat to steer the air navigation improvements, take corrective actions and review the allocated objectives, priorities and targets if needed. The results will also be used by ICAO and aviation partner stakeholders to develop the annual Global Air Navigation Report. The report results will provide an opportunity for the international civil aviation community to compare progress across different ICAO regions in the establishment of air navigation infrastructure and performance-based procedures. The reports will also provide the ICAO Council with detailed annual results on the basis of which tactical adjustments will be made to the performance framework work programme, as well as triennial policy adjustments.

The information provided in the Nicaragua/INAC ANRFs should be periodically reviewed and updated if subsequent analysis results in a change to the applicability of any ASBU Elements, whether or not they

were selected. The explanation of ANRF is provided in Appendix A. The customized Nicaragua/INAC ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form Template is provided in Appendix B. The Nicaragua/INAC RASI and SASI Air Navigation Reporting Form Templates are provided in Appendix C.

1.5 Problem Identification

To provide and promote safe and efficient aviation services to the customers, it is important to resolve ongoing challenges that hindering the mission. It is also important to anticipate and address the potential problems in the future.

1.5.1 Existing Problems (Dificultades)

Pendiente

1.5.2 Future Problems

Pendiente

BORRADOR

2. Nicaragua’s Aviation System Block Upgrade (ASBU) Implementation Status

The status of ASBU implementation is provided in this section. Though there are Block 0 to Block 4 (B0, B1, B2, and B3), only B0 capacities are ready to be implemented with supporting documents such as standards, procedures, specifications, and training materials. ICAO will provide supporting documents for B1 in 2019, B2 in 2025, and B3 in 2031.

2.1 ASBU Block 0 Implementation Metrics, Targets, and Status

ASBU B0 Implementation Targets and Status are presented in this section. Nicaragua/INAC considers one airports, Aeropuerto Internacional Augusto C. Sandino (MNMG) for airport oriented Elements.

2.1.1 ASBU B0 Implementation Metrics and Targets

Table 2.1.1 provides the ASBU B0 Implementation Metrics, Targets, and Progress for each B0 Element.

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
Performance Improvement Area 1: Airport Operations				
ACDM	1. Interconnection between aircraft operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ACDM-1 Target 1: Assessed in Aug 2018 a. No b. TBD B0-ACDM-1 Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started
	2. Interconnection between aircraft operator & airport operator systems to share surface operations information	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ACDM-2 Target 1: Assessed in Aug 2018 a. No b. TBD B0-ACDM-2 Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started
	3. Interconnection between airport operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ACDM-3 Target 1: Assessed in Aug 2018 a. No b. TBD B0-ACDM-3 Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started
	4. Interconnection between airport operator, aircraft operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ACDM-4 Target 1: Assessed in Aug 2018 a. No b. TBD B0-ACDM-4 Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started
	5. Collaborative departure queue management	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ACDM-5 Target 1: Assessed in Aug 2018 a. No b. TBD B0-ACDM-5 Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
APTA	1. PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LNAV/VNAV minima	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-APTA-1 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0--APTA-1 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	2. PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LPV minima	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-APTA-2 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0--APTA-2 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	3. PBN Approach Procedures without vertical guidance (LP, LNAV minima; using SBAS)	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-APTA-3 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2016 a. Yes b. 1 B0—APTA-3 Target 2: Implemented in Sep 2016 c. 1	Status – Implemented
	4. GBAS Landing System (GLS) Approach procedures	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-APTA-4 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0--APTA-4 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
RSEQ	1. AMAN via controlled time of arrival to a reference fix	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-RSEQ-1 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0-RSEQ-1 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	2. Departure management	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-RSEQ-2 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0-RSEQ-2 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	3. Departure flow management	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-RSEQ-3 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0-RSEQ-3 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	4. Point merge	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-RSEQ-4 Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0-RSEQ-4 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
SURF	1. A-SMGCS with at least one cooperative surface surveillance system	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-SURF-1. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- SURF -1 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	2. Including ADS-B APT as an element of A-SMGCS	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-SURF-2. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- SURF -2 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	3. A-SMGCS alerting with flight identification information	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-SURF-3. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- SURF -3 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	4. EVS for taxi operations	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-SURF-4. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- SURF -4 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	5. Airport vehicles equipped with transponders	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-SURF-5. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- SURF -5 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
WAKE	1. New PANS-ATM wake turbulence categories and separation minima	<i>ICAO has not developed new minima.</i>	N/A	Status – N/A
	2. Dependent diagonal paired approach procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-WAKE-2. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- WAKE -2 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	3. Wake independent departure and arrival procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-WAKE-3. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- WAKE -3 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	4. Wake turbulence mitigation for departures procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-WAKE-4. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- WAKE -4 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
	5. 6 wake turbulence categories and separation minima	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-WAKE-5. Target 1: Assessed in Sep 2014 a. Yes b. None B0- WAKE -5 Target 2: Implemented by N/A c. None	Status – N/A
Performance Improvement Area 2: Globally Interoperable Systems and Data				
AMET	1. WAFS	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-AMET-1.Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- AMET -1 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
	2. IAVW	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-AMET-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- AMET -2 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
	3. TCAC forecasts	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-AMET-3. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- AMET -3 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
	4. Aerodrome warnings	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-AMET-4. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0- AMET -4 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status – Implemented
	5. Wind shear warnings and alerts	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-AMET-5. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. TBD B0- AMET -5Target 2: Implemented by TBD c. TBD	Status – Analysis not started
	6. SIGMET	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-AMET-6. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- AMET -6 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. Yes	Status – Implemented

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	7. Other OPMET information (METAR, SPECI and/or TAF)	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-AMET-7. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0- AMET -7 Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status – Implemented
	8. QMS for MET	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-AMET-8. Target 1: Assessed in 2017 a. Yes b. Yes B0- AMET -8 Target 2: Implemented in Jun 2019 c. No	Status – Needed.
DATM	1. Aeronautical Information Exchange Model (AIXM)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-DATM-1. Target 1: Implemented in Jun 2016 a. Yes b. Yes B0- DATM -1 Target 2: Implemented in Jun 2016 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
	2. eAIP	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-DATM-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- DATM -2 Target 2: Implemented by 2018 c. No	Status – Developing
	3. Digital NOTAM	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-DATM-3. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. Yes B0- DATM -3 Target 2: Implemented by 2020 c. No	Status – Planning
	4. eTOD	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-DATM-4. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0- DATM -4 Target 2: Implemented by 2020 c. None	Status – Planning
	5. WGS-84	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-DATM-5. Target 1: Assess in Abr 2012 a. Yes b. Yes B0- DATM -5 Target 2: Implemented in Abr 2012 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
	6. QMS for AIM	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-DATM-5. Target 1: Assess in 2014 a. Yes b. Yes B0- DATM -6 Target 2: Implemented in 2014 c. Yes	Status – Implemented
FICE	1. AIDC to provide initial flight data to adjacent ATSU	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-FICE-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2017 a. Yes b. Yes B0-FICE-1. Target 2: Implemented in 2017 c. Yes	Status – Partially Implemented

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	2. AIDC to update previously coordinated flight data	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-FICE-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2017</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-FICE-2. Target 2: Implemented in 2017</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status – Partially Implemented
	3. AIDC for control transfer	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-FICE-3. Target 1: Assessed in 2017</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-FICE-3. Target 2: Implemented in 2017</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status – Partially Implemented
	4. AIDC to transfer CPDLC logon information to the Next Data Authority	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-FICE-4. Target 1: Assessed in 2017</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-FICE-4. Target 2: Implemented in 2017</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status – Partially Implemented
Performance Improvement Area 3: Optimum Capacity and Flexible Flights				
ACAS	1. ACAS II (TCAS version 7.1)	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ACAS-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2014</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-ACAS-1. Target 2: Implemented in 2014</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status - Implemented
	2. Auto Pilot/Flight Director (AP/FD) TCAS	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ACAS-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2014</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-ACAS-2. Target 2: Implemented in 2014</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status - Implemented
	3. TCAS Alert Prevention (TCAP)	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ACAS-3. Target 1: Assessed in 2014</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-ACAS-3. Target 2: Implemented in 2014</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status - Implemented
ASEP	1. ATSA-AIRB	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ASEP-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>B0-ASEP-1. Target 2: c. No</p>	Status - N/A
	2. ATSA-VSA	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ASEP-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>B0-ASEP-2. Target 2: c. No</p>	Status - N/A
ASUR	1. ADS-B	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-ASUR-1. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. Yes</p> <p>B0-ASUR-1. Target 2: Implemented May 2018</p> <p>c. Yes</p>	Status – Implemented

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	2. Multilateration (MLAT)	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 2 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-ASUR-2. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-ASUR-2. Target 2: c. None	Status - N/A
FRTO	1. CDM incorporated into airspace planning	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-FRTO-1. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-FRTO-1. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A
	2. Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-FRTO-2. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-FRTO-2. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A
	3. Flexible route systems	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-FRTO-3. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-FRTO-3. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A
	4. CPDLC used to request and receive re-route clearances	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-FRTO-4. Target 1: Assessed in May 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-FRTO-4. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A
NOPS	1. Sharing prediction of traffic load for next day	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-NOPS-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-NOPS-1. Target 2: Implement TBD c. No	Status – Analysis not started
	2. Proposing alternative routings to avoid or minimize ATFM delays	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-NOPS-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-NOPS-2. Target 2: Implement TBD c. No	Status – Analysis not started
OPFL	1. ITP using ADS-B	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-OFTL-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-OFTL -1. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A
SNET	1. Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-SNET-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-SNET-1. Target 2: c. No	Status – Analysis not started
	2. Area Proximity Warning (APW)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-SNET-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-SNET-2. Target 2: c. No	Status – Analysis not started

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	3. Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-SNET-3. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-SNET-3. Target 2: c. No	Status – Analysis not started
	4. Medium Term Conflict Alert (MTCA)	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-SNET-4. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. No b. No B0-SNET-4. Target 2: c. No	Status – Analysis not started
Performance Improvement Area 4: Efficient Flight Paths				
CCO	1. Procedure changes to facilitate CCO	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CCO-1. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CCO-1. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
	2. Route changes to facilitate CCO	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CCO-2. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CCO-2. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
	3. PBN SIDs	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CCO-3. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CCO-3. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
CDO	1. Procedure changes to facilitate CDO	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CDO-1. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CDO-1. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
	2. Route changes to facilitate CDO	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CDO-2. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CDO-2. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
	3. PBN STARs	Number of aerodromes to be considered: 1 a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. How many aerodromes need this capability? <i>None, 1</i> c. How many aerodromes implemented the capability? <i>None, 1</i>	B0-CDO-3. Target 1: Assess in 2018 a. Yes b. 1 B0-CDO-3. Target 2: Implemented in 2018 c. 1	Status - Implemented
TBO	1. ADS-C over oceanic and remote areas	a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i> b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i> c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i>	B0-TBO-1. Target 1: Assessed in 2018 a. Yes b. No B0-TBO-1. Target 2: c. No	Status - N/A

Block 0 Modules	Elements	Metrics	Targets	Status & Remarks
	2. CPDLC over continental areas	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-TBO-2. Target 1: Assessed in 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>B0-TBO-2. Target 2: c. No</p>	Status - N/A
	3. CPDLC over oceanic and remote areas	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-TBO-3. Target 1: Assessed in 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>B0-TBO-3. Target 2: c. No</p>	Status - N/A
	4. SATVOICE direct controller-pilot communication (DCPC)	<p>a. Have we assessed the need? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>b. Do we need this capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p> <p>c. Have we implemented the capability? <i>Yes or No</i></p>	<p>B0-TBO-4. Target 1: Assessed in 2018</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>B0-TBO-4. Target 2: c. No</p>	Status - N/A

Table 2.1.1: ASBU B0 Implementation Metrics and Targets

2.1.2 ASBU B0 Implementation Status Summary

The summary of ASBU B0 implementation status is provided in the Table 2.1. The details of ASBU B0 implementation status is recorded using ANRFs and provided in Appendix D.

Module	Elements	Need Analysis				Implementation Status (if Element is needed)			
		Not Started	In Progress	Need	N/A	Planning	Developing	Partially Implemented	Implemented
Performance Improvement Area 1: Airport Operations									
ACDM	1. Interconnection between aircraft operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	1							
	2. Interconnection between aircraft operator & airport operator systems to share surface operations information	1							
	3. Interconnection between airport operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	1							
	4. Interconnection between airport operator, aircraft operator & ANSP systems to share surface operations information	1							
	5. Collaborative departure queue management	1							
APTA	1. PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LNAV/VNAV minima				1				
	2. PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LPV minima				1				
	3. PBN approach procedures without vertical guidance to LNAV minima								1
	4. GBAS Landing System (GLS) procedures to CAT I minima				1				
RSEQ	1. AMAN via controlled time of arrival to a reference fix				1				
	2. Departure management				1				
	3. Departure flow management				1				
	4. Point merge				1				
SURF	1. A-SMGCS with at least one cooperative surface surveillance system				1				
	2. Including ADS-B APT as an element of A-SMGCS				1				
	3. A-SMGCS alerting with flight identification information				1				
	4. EVS for taxi operations				1				
	5. Airport vehicles equipped with transponders				1				
WAKE	1. New PANS-ATM wake turbulence categories and separation minima				1				

Module	Elements	Need Analysis				Implementation Status (if Element is needed)			
		Not Started	In Progress	Need	N/A	Planning	Developing	Partially Implemented	Implemented
	2. Dependent diagonal paired approach procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart				1				
	3. Wake independent departure and arrival operations (WIDAO) for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart				1				
	4. Wake turbulence mitigation for departures (WTMD) procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart based on observed crosswinds				1				
	5. 6 wake turbulence categories and separation minima				1				
Performance Improvement Area 2: Globally Interoperable Systems and Data									
AMET	1. WAFS								1
	2. IAVW								1
	3. TCAC forecasts								1
	4. Aerodrome warnings								1
	5. Wind shear warnings and alerts	1							
	6. SIGMET								1
	7. Other OPMET information (METAR, SPECI and/or TAF)								1
	8. QMS for MET			1					
DATM	1. Standardized Aeronautical Information Exchange Model (AIXM)								1
	2. eAIP						1		
	3. Digital NOTAM					1			
	4. eTOD					1			
	5. WGS-84								1
	6. QMS for AIM								1
FICE	1. AIDC to provide initial flight data to adjacent ATSU's							1	
	2. AIDC to update previously coordinated flight data							1	
	3. AIDC for control transfer							1	
	4. AIDC to transfer CPDLC logon information to the Next Data Authority							1	
Performance Improvement Area 3: Optimum Capacity and Flexible Flights									
ACAS	1. ACAS II (TCAS version 7.1)								1
	2. AP.FD function								1
	3. TCAP function								1
ASEP	1. ATSA-AIRB				1				
	2. ATSA-VSA				1				
ASUR	1. ADS-B								1
	2. Multilateration (MLAT)				1				
FRTO	1. CDM incorporated into airspace planning				1				
	2. Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA)				1				
	3. Flexible routing				1				
	4. CPDLC used to request and receive re-route clearances				1				
NOPS	1. Sharing prediction of traffic load for next day	1							
	2. Proposing alternative routings to avoid or minimize ATFM delays	1							
OPFL	1. ITP using ADS-B				1				
SNET	1. Short Term Conflict Alert implementation (STCA)	1							
	2. Area Proximity Warning (APW)	1							
	3. Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW)	1							
	4. Medium Term Conflict Alert (MTCA)	1							
Performance Improvement Area 4: Efficient Flight Paths									
CCO	1. Procedure changes to facilitate CCO								1
	2. Airspace changes to facilitate CCO								1
	3. PBN SIDs								1
CDO	1. Procedure changes to facilitate CDO								1

Module	Elements	Need Analysis				Implementation Status (if Element is needed)			
		Not Started	In Progress	Need	N/A	Planning	Developing	Partially Implemented	Implemented
	2. Airspace changes to facilitate CDO								1
	3. PBN STARS								1
TBO	1. ADS-C over oceanic and remote areas				1				
	2. CPDLC over continental areas				1				
	3. CPDLC over oceanic and remote areas				1				
	3. SATVOICE direct controller-pilot communication (DCPC)				1				

Table 2.1.2 ASBU B0 Implementation Status Summary

2.2 ASBU Block 1 Implementation Targets and Status

This section will be written after 2019. Appendix E is reserved for ASBU B1 ANRFs.

2.3 ASBU Block 2 Implementation Targets and Status

This section will be written after 2025. Appendix F is reserved for ASBU B2 ANRFs.

2.4 ASBU Block 3 Implementation Targets and Status

This section will be written after 2031. Appendix G is reserved for ASBU B3 ANRFs.

3. ICAO NACC Regional Aviation System Improvements (RASI) Status

The RPBANIP is aligned with GANP and provides guidance to States in the NACC region. The ICAO NACC RO also provides guidance to implement certain capabilities outside the ASBU scope, yet regionally important improvements. Currently 4 aerodrome associated NACC region specific improvements are identified and shown below. RASI ANRF for ICAO NACC Regional Initiatives is prepared and provided in Appendix H.

- Aerodrome certification – Status: Implementado en Septiembre 2014, MNMG.
- Heliport operational approval – N/A
- Visual aids for navigation – Status: Implemented
- Aerodrome Bird/Wildlife Organization and Control Program – Status: Implemented.

4. Nicaragua/INAC State Aviation System Improvements (SASI) Status

Nicaragua's State Aviation System Improvements (SASI) are broken into three categories; (1) Equipment upgrades; (2) Procedure upgrades; and (3) Infrastructure upgrades. The details of upgrades were recorded using SASI ANRFs and provided in Appendix I.

4.1 Equipment Upgrades

Equipment upgrades are not identified at this time.

4.2 Procedure Upgrades

Procedure upgrades are not identified at this time.

4.3 Infrastructure Upgrades

Infrastructure upgrades are not identified at this time.

5. Nicaragua State ANP Next Review Schedule

The next review and revision of this document is scheduled in September 2019.

Appendix A: ANRF Explained

An ASBU ANRF should be completed for each applicable ASBU Module as follows:

PIA	The Performance Improvement Area (1, 2, 3 or 4) for the ASBU Module, as per the <i>NAM ASBU Handbook</i> .
Block - Module	The Module Designation for the ASBU Module, as per the <i>NAM ASBU Handbook</i> .
Date	The date when the form was completed or updated.
Module Description	The Summary Description for the ASBU Module, as per the <i>NAM ASBU Handbook</i> .
Element	The descriptive text for each Element, as per the <i>NAM ASBU Handbook</i> . It is not necessary to include the Defined, Derived from or Identified By information. Insert additional rows, if necessary, to accommodate all of the Elements listed for the ASBU Module.
Date Planned or Implemented	The month and year when the Element was fully implemented or the year when it is planned for the Element to be fully implemented by all applicable States or at all applicable aerodromes. This field should be left blank if the Status for the Element is “Analysis Not Started” or “Not Applicable” for all States or aerodromes in the Region.
Status	<p>The Need Analysis or Implementation status for the Element, in accordance with Table NAM ASBU III-1, III-2, III-3 or III-4. Indicate the status as follows:</p> <p>Not Started: if the Need Analysis has not been started for any of the States or aerodromes</p> <p>In Progress: if at least one Need Analysis has been started but none have yet been completed</p> <p>Need: if at least one Need Analysis has determined a requirement for the Element, but no implementation planning has yet been initiated</p> <p>Not Applicable: 1) if all of the Need Analyses completed to date have concluded the Element is not required, or 2) if the Element is not an aerodrome-related improvement and the Region has not adopted the improvement for region-wide implementation.</p> <p>Planning: if at least one implementation is in the Planning phase and no implementations have yet been completed.</p> <p>Developing: if at least one implementation is in the Developing phase but no implementations have yet been completed.</p> <p>Partially Implemented: if at least one, but not all, implementations have been completed.</p> <p>Implemented: if all of Needed implementations have been completed.</p>
Status Details	Further information to support or explain the reported status. The reason(s) an Element was found to be “Not Applicable” for all the aerodromes (or States) in the Region. The reason(s) why the Need Analysis has not been completed for all or some of the aerodromes (or States) in the Region. Information on where implementation has or has not been completed (as appropriate) if the reported status is “Partially Implemented”.

Achieved Benefits

Describe the achieved benefits for the entire Module or particular Elements. The benefits can be quantitative or qualitative. The benefits should be described for the following 5 of the 11 Key Performance Areas (KPA) defined in the *Manual on Global Performance of the Air Navigation System* (Doc 9883):

Access & Equity: Improving the operating environment so as to ensure all airspace users have the right of access to ATM resources needed to meet their specific operational requirements; and ensuring that the shared use of the airspace for different airspace users can be achieved safely. Providing equity for all airspace users that have access to a given airspace or service. Generally, the first aircraft ready to use the ATM resources will receive priority, except where significant overall safety or system operational efficiency would accrue or national defence considerations or interests dictate by providing priority on a different basis.

Capacity: Improving the ability to meet airspace user demand at peak times and locations while minimizing restrictions on traffic flow. Responding to future growth by increasing capacity, efficiency, flexibility, and predictability while ensuring that there are no adverse impacts to safety and giving due consideration to the environment. Increasing resiliency to service disruption and minimising resulting temporary loss of capacity.

Efficiency: Improving the operational and economic cost effectiveness of gate-to-gate flight operations from the airspace users' perspective. Increasing the ability for airspace users to depart and arrive at the times they select and fly the trajectory they determine to be optimum in all phases of flight.

Environment: Contributing to the protection of the environment by minimizing or reducing noise, gaseous emissions, and other negative environmental effects in the implementation and operation of the air navigation system.

Safety: Reducing the likelihood or severity of operational safety risks associated with the provision or use of air navigation services.

Implementation Challenges A description of any circumstances that have been encountered or are foreseen that might prevent or delay implementation. Challenges should be categorized and described under the applicable subject area.

Notes Any further information as deemed appropriate.

Appendix B: ASBU ANRF Template

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)			
PIA	4	Block - Module	B0 - CDO
		Date	April 17, 2017
Module Description: To use performance-based airspace and arrival procedures allowing an aircraft to fly its optimum profile using continuous descent operations. This will optimize throughput, allow fuel efficient descent profiles, and increase capacity in terminal areas. The application of PBN enhances CDO.			
Element Implementation Status			
1	Element Description: Procedure changes to facilitate CDO	Date Planned/Implemented Dec 15, 2013	Status Implemented
	Status Details Describe status.		
2	Element Description Route changes to facilitate CDO	Date Planned/Implemented Dec 15, 2013	Status Planning
	Status Details Describe status.		
3	Element Description PBN STARs	Date Planned/Implemented Dec 15, 2013	Status Developing
	Status Details Describe status.		
Achieved Benefits			
<i>Access and Equity</i>			
Element 1: Describe if you can, else leave it blank.			
Element 3: Describe if you can, else leave it blank.			
<i>Capacity</i>			
<i>Efficiency</i>			
<i>Environment</i>			
<i>Safety</i>			
Implementation Challenges			
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>			
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>			
<i>Procedures Availability</i>			
<i>Operational Approvals</i>			
Notes			
Provide notes if applicable.			

Appendix C: RASI and SASI ANRF Templates

RASI and SASI ANRF templates are the same with ASBU ANRF template with exception of the header as shown in this Appendix. The first header is for the ICAO NACC Regional Office specific improvements while the second header is for the State specific improvements.

Section C.1: Regional Aviation System Improvements (RASI) ANRF Header

Enter appropriate State Name and Date. Describe the Module (i.e., improvement group description.)

Nicaragua RASI Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)		
ICAO NACC Regional Initiatives	Date	September 1, 2018
Module Description: ICAO NACC RO has identified airport improvements.		
Refer to the ASBU ANRF for the remaining sections (i.e., Element Implementation Status, Achieved Benefits, Implementation Challenges, and Notes)		

Section C.2: State Aviation System Improvements (RASI) ANRF Header

Enter appropriate State Name, Upgrades category (i.e., Equipment, Procedure, Infrastructure, etc.), Date. Describe the Module (i.e., Upgrades category description.)

Nicaragua SASI Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)		
Infrastructure Upgrades	Date	September 1, 2018
Module Description: Describe module.		
Refer to the ASBU ANRF for the remaining sections (i.e., Element Implementation Status, Achieved Benefits, Implementation Challenges, and Notes)		

Appendix D: Nicaragua ASBU Block 0 ANRFs

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - ACDM	Date	August, 2018
Module Description: To implement collaborative applications that will allow the sharing of surface operations data among the different stakeholders on the airport. This will improve surface traffic management reducing delays on movement and manoeuvring areas and enhance safety, efficiency and situational awareness.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: Interconnection between aircraft operator and ANSP systems to share surface operations information			Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details TBD				
2	Element Description: Interconnection between aircraft operator and airport operator systems to share surface operations information			Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details TBD				
3	Element Description: Interconnection between airport operator and ANSP systems to share surface operations information			Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details TBD				
4	Element Description: Interconnection between airport operator, aircraft operator and ANSP systems to share surface operations information			Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details TBD				
5	Element Description: Collaborative departure queue management			Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details TBD				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - APTA	Date	September, 2016
Module Description: The use of Performance-based Navigation (PBN) and ground-based augmentation system (GBAS) landing system (GLS) procedures will enhance the reliability and predictability of approaches to runways, thus increasing safety, accessibility and efficiency. This is possible through the application of basic global navigation satellite system (GNSS), Baro-vertical navigation (VNAV), satellite-based augmentation system (SBAS) and GLS. The flexibility inherent in PBN approach design can be exploited to increase runway capacity.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LNAV/VNAV minima			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
2	Element Description: PBN approach procedures with vertical guidance to LPV minima			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
3	Element Description: PBN approach procedures without vertical guidance to LNAV minima			Date Planned/Implemented Sep / 2016	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
4	Element Description: GBAS Landing System (GLS) procedures to CAT I minima			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)				
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - RSEQ	Date Sep, 2014
Module Description: To manage arrivals and departures (including time-based metering) to and from a multi-runway aerodrome or locations with multiple dependent runways at closely proximate aerodromes, to efficiently utilize the inherent runway capacity.				
Element Implementation Status				
1	Element Description: AMAN via controlled time of arrival to a reference fix		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A			
2	Element Description: Departure management		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A			
3	Element Description: Departure flow management		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A			
4	Element Description: Point merge		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A			
Achieved Benefits				
<i>Access and Equity</i>				
<i>Capacity</i>				
<i>Efficiency</i>				
<i>Environment</i>				
<i>Safety</i>				
Implementation Challenges				
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>				
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>				
<i>Procedures Availability</i>				
<i>Operational Approvals</i>				
Notes				

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - SURF	Date	September, 2014
<p>Module Description: First levels of advanced-surface movement guidance and control systems (A-SMGCS) provides surveillance and alerting of movements of both aircraft and vehicles at the aerodrome, thus improving runway/aerodrome safety.</p> <p>Automatic dependent surveillance-broadcast (ADS-B) information is used when available (ADS-B APT). Enhanced vision systems (EVS) is used for low-visibility operations.</p>					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: A-SMGCS with at least one cooperative surface surveillance system		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A	
	Status Details N/A				
2	Element Description: ADS-B APT		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A	
	Status Details N/A				
3	Element Description: A-SMGCS alerting with flight identification information		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A	
	Status Details N/A				
4	Element Description: EVS for taxi operations		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A	
	Status Details N/A				
5	Element Description: Airport vehicles equipped with transponders		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A	
	Status Details N/A				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - WAKE	Date	September, 2014
Module Description: Improved throughput on departure and arrival runways through optimized wake turbulence separation minima, revised aircraft wake turbulence categories and procedures.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: New PANS-ATM wake turbulence categories and separation minima			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	N/A			N/A	N/A
2	Element Description: Dependent diagonal paired approach procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	N/A			N/A	N/A
3	Element Description: Wake independent departure and arrival operations (WIDAO) for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	N/A			N/A	N/A
4	Element Description: Wake turbulence mitigation for departures (WTMD) procedures for parallel runways with centrelines spaced less than 760 meters (2,500 feet) apart based on observed crosswinds			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	N/A			N/A	N/A
5	Element Description: 6 wake turbulence categories and separation minima			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	N/A			N/A	N/A
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	1	Block - Module	B0 - AMET	Date	August, 2018
<p>Module Description: Global, regional and local meteorological information:</p> <p>a) forecasts provided by world area forecast centres (WAFc), volcanic ash advisory centres (VAAC) and tropical cyclone advisory centres (TCAC);</p> <p>b) aerodrome warnings to give concise information of meteorological conditions that could adversely affect all aircraft at an aerodrome including wind shear; and</p> <p>c) SIGMETs to provide information on occurrence or expected occurrence of specific enroute weather phenomena which may affect the safety of aircraft operations and other operational meteorological (OPMET) information, including METAR/SPECI and TAF, to provide routine and special observations and forecasts of meteorological conditions occurring or expected to occur at the aerodrome.</p> <p>This information supports flexible airspace management, improved situational awareness and collaborative decision making, and dynamically optimized flight trajectory planning.</p> <p>This module includes elements which should be viewed as a subset of all available meteorological information that can be used to support enhanced operational efficiency and safety.</p>					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: WAFS			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
2	Element Description: IAVW			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
3	Element Description: TCAC forecasts			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
4	Element Description: Aerodrome warnings			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details AWOS				
5	Element Description: Wind shear warnings and alerts			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
6	Element Description: SIGMET			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
7	Element Description: Other OPMET information (METAR, SPECI and/or TAF)			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2018	Status Implement
	Status Details Implemented				
8	Element Description: QMS for MET			Date Planned/Implemented August, 2017	Status Needed

	Status Details
	Needed
	Achieved Benefits
	<i>Access and Equity</i>
	<i>Capacity</i>
	<i>Efficiency</i>
	<i>Environment</i>
	<i>Safety</i>
	Implementation Challenges
	<i>Ground system Implementation</i>
	<i>Avionics Implementation</i>
	<i>Procedures Availability</i>
	<i>Operational Approvals</i>
	Notes

BORRADOR

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)				
PIA	2	Block - Module	B0 - DATM	Date June, 2016
Module Description: The initial introduction of digital processing and management of information, from origination to publication, through aeronautical information service (AIS)/aeronautical information management (AIM) implementation, use of aeronautical exchange model (AIXM), migration to electronic aeronautical information publication (AIP) and better quality and availability of data.				
Element Implementation Status				
1	Element Description: Standardized Aeronautical Information Exchange Model (AIXM)		Date Planned/Implemented Jun, 2016	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented			
2	Element Description: eAIP		Date Planned/Implemented Nov, 2018	Status Developing
	Status Details Implemented by 2018			
3	Element Description: Digital NOTAM		Date Planned/Implemented Nov, 2020	Status Planning
	Status Details Implemented by 2020			
4	Element Description: eTOD		Date Planned/Implemented Nov, 2020	Status Planning
	Status Details Implemented by 2020			
5	Element Description: WGS-84		Date Planned/Implemented Abr 2012	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented in 2012			
6	Element Description: QMS for AIM		Date Planned/Implemented 2014	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented in 2014			
Achieved Benefits				
Achieved Benefits				
<i>Access and Equity</i>				
<i>Capacity</i>				
<i>Efficiency</i>				
<i>Environment</i>				
<i>Safety</i>				
Implementation Challenges				
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>				
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>				
<i>Procedures Availability</i>				
Notes				

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	2	Block - Module	B0 - FICE	Date	2017
Module Description: To improve coordination between air traffic service units (ATSUs) by using ATS interfacility data communication (AIDC) defined by ICAO's Manual of Air Traffic Services Data Link Applications (Doc 9694). An additional benefit is the improved efficiency of the transfer of communication in a data link environment.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: AIDC to provide initial flight data to adjacent ATSUs			Date Planned/Implemented 2017	Status Partially Implemented
	Status Details Implemented 2017				
2	Element Description: AIDC to update previously coordinated flight data			Date Planned/Implemented 2017	Status Partially Implemented
	Status Details Implemented 2017				
3	Element Description: AIDC for control transfer			Date Planned/Implemented 2017	Status Partially Implemented
	Status Details Implemented 2017				
4	Element Description: AIDC to transfer CPDLC logon information to the Next Data Authority			Date Planned/Implemented 2017	Status Partially Implemented
	Status Details Implemented 2017				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)				
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - ACAS	Date Aug, 2014
Module Description: To provide short-term improvements to existing airborne collision avoidance systems (ACAS) to reduce nuisance alerts while maintaining existing levels of safety. This will reduce trajectory deviations and increase safety in cases where there is a breakdown of separation.				
Element Implementation Status				
1	Element Description: ACAS II (TCAS version 7.1)		Date Planned/Implemented Aug 2014	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented Aug 2014			
2	Element Description: AP/FD function		Date Planned/Implemented Aug 2014	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented Aug 2014			
3	Element Description: TCAP function		Date Planned/Implemented Aug 2014	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented Aug 2014			
Achieved Benefits				
<i>Access and Equity</i>				
<i>Capacity</i>				
<i>Efficiency</i>				
<i>Environment</i>				
<i>Safety</i>				
Implementation Challenges				
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>				
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>				
<i>Procedures Availability</i>				
<i>Operational Approvals</i>				
Notes				

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - ASEP	Date	2018
Module Description: Two air traffic situational awareness (ATSA) applications which will enhance safety and efficiency by providing pilots with the means to enhance traffic situational awareness and achieve quicker visual acquisition of targets: a) AIRB (basic airborne situational awareness during flight operations). b) VSA (visual separation on approach).					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: ATSA-AIRB			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
2	Element Description: ATSA-VSA			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)				
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - ASUR	Date May, 2018
Module Description: To provide initial capability for lower cost ground surveillance supported by new technologies such as ADS-B OUT and wide area multilateration (MLAT) systems. This capability will be expressed in various ATM services, e.g. traffic information, search and rescue and separation provision.				
Element Implementation Status				
1	Element Description: ADS-B		Date Planned/Implemented May 2018	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented in May 2018			
2	Element Description: MLAT		Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A			
Achieved Benefits				
<i>Access and Equity</i>				
<i>Capacity</i>				
<i>Efficiency</i>				
<i>Environment</i>				
<i>Safety</i>				
Implementation Challenges				
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>				
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>				
<i>Procedures Availability</i>				
<i>Operational Approvals</i>				
Notes				

Nicaragua ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - FRTO	Date	2018
Module Description: To allow the use of airspace which would otherwise be segregated (i.e. special use airspace) along with flexible routing adjusted for specific traffic patterns. This will allow greater routing possibilities, reducing potential congestion on trunk routes and busy crossing points, resulting in reduced flight lengths and fuel burn.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: CDM incorporated into airspace planning			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
2	Element Description: Flexible Use of Airspace (FUA)			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
3	Element Description: Flexible routing			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A.				
4	Element Description: CPDLC used to request and receive re-route clearances			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - NOPS	Date	2018
Module Description: Air traffic flow management (ATFM) is used to manage the flow of traffic in a way that minimizes delays and maximizes the use of the entire airspace. Collaborative ATFM can regulate traffic flows involving departure slots, smooth flows and manage rates of entry into airspace along traffic axes, manage arrival time at waypoints or flight information region (FIR)/sector boundaries and re-route traffic to avoid saturated areas. ATFM may also be used to address system disruptions including a crisis caused by human or natural phenomena.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: Sharing prediction of traffic load for next day			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
2	Element Description: Proposing alternative routings to avoid or minimize ATFM delays			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)				
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - OPFL	Date 2018
Module Description: To enable aircraft to reach a more satisfactory flight level for flight efficiency or to avoid turbulence for safety. The main benefit of ITP is fuel/emissions savings and the uplift of greater payloads.				
Element Implementation Status				
1	Element Description:		Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	ITP using ADS-B		N/A	N/A
	Status Details			
	N/A			
Achieved Benefits				
<i>Access and Equity</i>				
<i>Capacity</i>				
<i>Efficiency</i>				
<i>Environment</i>				
<i>Safety</i>				
Implementation Challenges				
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>				
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>				
<i>Procedures Availability</i>				
<i>Operational Approvals</i>				
Notes				

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	3	Block - Module	B0 - SNET	Date	2018
Module Description: To enable monitoring of flights while airborne to provide timely alerts to air traffic controllers of potential risks to flight safety. Alerts from short-term conflict alert (STCA), area proximity warnings (APW) and minimum safe altitude warnings (MSAW) are proposed. Ground-based safety nets make an essential contribution to safety and remain required as long as the operational concept remains human centred.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: Short Term Conflict Alert (STCA)			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
2	Element Description: Area Proximity Warning (APW). No esta levanto los obstaculos			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
3	Element Description: Minimum Safe Altitude Warning (MSAW)			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
4	Element Description: Medium Term Conflict Alert (MTCA)			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Analysis not started
	Status Details Analysis not started				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	4	Block - Module	B0 - CCO	Date	2018
Module Description: To implement continuous climb operations in conjunction with performance-based navigation (PBN) to provide opportunities to optimize throughput, improve flexibility, enable fuel-efficient climb profiles, and increase capacity at congested terminal areas. The application of PBN enhances CCO.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: Procedure changes to facilitate CCO			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	Status Details Implemented 2018			2018	Implemented
2	Element Description: Airspace changes to facilitate CCO			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	Status Details Implemented 2018			2018	Implemented
3	Element Description: PBN SIDs			Date Planned/Implemented	Status
	Status Details Implemented 2018			2018	Implemented
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	4	Block - Module	B0 – CDO	Date	2018
Module Description: To use performance-based airspace and arrival procedures allowing an aircraft to fly its optimum profile using continuous descent operations. This will optimize throughput, allow fuel efficient descent profiles, and increase capacity in terminal areas. The application of PBN enhances CDO.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: Procedure changes to facilitate CDO (DESCENSO)			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented				
2	Element Description: Airspace changes to facilitate CDO			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented				
3	Element Description: PBN STARS			Date Planned/Implemented 2018	Status Implemented
	Status Details Implemented				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

NICARAGUA ASBU Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)					
PIA	4	Block - Module	B0 – TBO	Date	2018
Module Description: To implement a set of data link applications supporting surveillance and communications in air traffic services, which will lead to flexible routing, reduced separation and improved safety.					
Element Implementation Status					
1	Element Description: ADS-C over oceanic and remote areas			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
2	Element Description: CPDLC over continental areas			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
3	Element Description: CPDLC over oceanic and remote areas			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
4	Element Description: SATVOICE direct controller-pilot communication (DCPC)			Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A				
Achieved Benefits					
<i>Access and Equity</i>					
<i>Capacity</i>					
<i>Efficiency</i>					
<i>Environment</i>					
<i>Safety</i>					
Implementation Challenges					
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>					
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>					
<i>Procedures Availability</i>					
<i>Operational Approvals</i>					
Notes					

Appendix E: Nicaragua ASBU Block 1 ANRFs

Insert ASBU B1 ANRFs in the future.

Appendix F: Nicaragua SBU Block 2 ANRFs

Insert ASBU B2 ANRFs in the future.

Appendix G: Nicaragua ASBU Block 3 ANRFs

Insert ASBU B3 ANRFs in the future.

BORRADOR

Appendix H: Nicaragua RASI ANRFs

INAC RASI Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)			
ICAO NACC Regional Initiatives		Date	Agosto 07, 2018
Module Description: ICAO NACC RO has identified airport improvements.			
Element Implementation Status			
1	Element Description: Aerodrome certification	Date Planned/Implemented Sep 2014	Status Implementado
	Status Details ICAO NACC region has a goal to have CAR aerodromes in its regional ANP Table AOP I-1 be certified. My Organization's one airport, MNMG. This airport is certified.		
2	Element Description: Heliport operational approval	Date Planned/Implemented N/A	Status N/A
	Status Details N/A		
3	Element Description: Visual aids for navigation	Date Planned/Implemented 2016	Status Implemented
	Status Details MNMG have PAPI visual aids.		
4	Element Description: Bird/Wildlife Organization and Control Program,	Date Planned/Implemented 2012	Status Implemented
	Status Details MNMG has a control program for birds.		
Achieved Benefits			
<i>Access and Equity</i> Element 1 - Aerodrome certification: International operators may not be permitted to operate to aerodromes that are not certified Element 2. N/A Element 3. Visual aids for navigation: International operators may not be permitted to operate to aerodromes that are not compliant with Annex 14			
<i>Capacity:</i> No report			
<i>Efficiency</i> Element 3. Visual aids for navigation: Annex 14 compliant visual aids for navigation assist flights to more efficiently complete ground movements			
<i>Environment:</i> No report			
<i>Safety</i> Element 1 - Aerodrome certification: Certification should be contingent upon the airport complying with applicable ICAO SARPs. Certification and the associated regulatory oversight should increase the effectiveness of SSP and SMS processes to identify and correct safety issues at certified aerodromes. Element 2. N/A Element 3. Visual aids for navigation: Annex 14 compliant visual aids for navigation reduce flight crew confusion and assist in avoiding runway incursions or other ground movement errors. Element 4. Aerodrome Bird/Wildlife Organization and Control Programme: An effective organization and control programme reduces the potential for aircraft to strike wildlife or ingest wildlife into engines or propellers.			
Implementation Challenges			
<i>Ground system Implementation:</i> No report: No report			
<i>Avionics Implementation:</i> No report			
<i>Procedures Availability:</i> No report			
<i>Operational Approvals:</i> No report			
Notes Element 1: Airport Terminal Development will also address the airport terminal security issues.			

Appendix I: Your Organization SASI ANRFs

NICARAGYA SASI Air Navigation Reporting Form (ANRF)			
Infrastructure Upgrades		Date	
Module Description:			
Element Implementation Status			
1	Element Description: Airport Terminal Development	Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Planning
Status Details			
2	Element Description: Airport Runway Rehabilitation and Extension	Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Analysis in Progress
Status Details			
3	Element Description: Technical Building Upgrades	Date Planned/Implemented TBD	Status Planning
Status Details			
Achieved Benefits			
<i>Access and Equity</i>			
<i>Capacity</i>			
<i>Efficiency</i>			
<i>Environment</i>			
<i>Safety</i>			
Implementation Challenges			
<i>Ground system Implementation</i>			
<i>Avionics Implementation</i>			
<i>Procedures Availability</i>			
<i>Operational Approvals</i>			
Notes			

