## **Discussion Paper 03- DP03**

## Agenda Item 11 GREPECAS/22 Conclusions and Decisions

**DECISION** 

What:

GREPECAS/22/1

11.1 The virtual and in-person phases meeting of GREPECAS/22 approved the following conclusions and decisions:

**GTE SAFETY ISSUES** 

AD-HOC GROUP TO ASSESS THE COORDINATION OF PA-RAST/MAC -

**Expected impact:** 

An Ad-hoc Group is established under the responsibility of PA-RAST/MAC, in coordination with the GREPECAS GTE and the ICAO Secretariat, to assess the root cause of the identified issues which results will be presented by the ESC/40 meeting.			<ul> <li>□ Political / Global</li> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>□ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Operational/Technical</li> </ul>	
Why:	entify the causes of LHDs in RVSM airspace	ce in the CAR/SAM F	Regions.	
When:	Results to be presented by ESC/40	Status: X□ Valid	d / □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who:	☐ States ☐ ICAO ☒ Other:	PA-RAST		
CONCLU GREPEC		ON THE DEVELOPM	ENT OF VOLUME III OF THE RANP  Expected impact:	
That the CAR/SAM States, in conjunction with air navigation service providers and airports, with the participation of Airlines and international Organizations, assisted by the Secretariat, populate the Tables of Volume III of the RANP CAR/SAM with the data of performance indicators - KPIs, prioritizing and harmonizing the management of these indicators according to the progress of the Working Groups for the regional implementation of air navigation by GREPECAS/23.			<ul> <li>□ Political / Global</li> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>□ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Technical/Operational</li> </ul>	
Why:  To ensure a cost-efficient process in the management of KPIs, as well as to strengthen regional planning focused on safe, efficient and adequately capable air navigation, in order to promote the growth of the Industry, based on the performance-based planning methodology by the States.				
When:	Present revised version of RANP VOL II, at GREPECAS 23	Status: x□ Valid	$I  /  \square$ Not valid $/  \square$ Completed	

Who:	☑ States ☑ ICAO ☑ Others:	ANSP	providers,	Airports,	Airlines,	International
wiio:	△ States △ ICAO △ Others:	Organ	izations, Air	Navigation	n Working	Groups.

CONCLUSION	SUPPORT FOR INSTRUMENT FLIGHT PROCEDURES DESIGN IN THE			
GREPECAS/22/3	CAR/SAM REGIONS			
What:		Expe	ected impact:	
That, to ensure safety of ins	strument flight operations,	□ P	olitical / Global	
			nter-regional	
	rvice Providers assign the	·   🗀 L	conomic	
"	el, training, procedures, etc.		nvironmental	
,	nen the quality assurance , particularly the five-year		perational/Technical	
review of designs; a	• • • • •	periodic		
b) the ICAO NACC a	and SAM Regional Office	continue		
supporting the pro	ovision of flight procedure	s design		
-	nd recurring training and re	ort it to		
GREPECAS/23.				
Why:				
To reinforce quality assurance for instrument flight procedures design		cedures design		
When: Report to GREPECA	AS/23 Status:	<b>Status:</b> ⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed		
Who: ⊠ States ⊠ ICAO	☐ Other:			

CONCLUSION						
GREPECAS/22/4 SUPPORT FOR SEARCH AND RESCUE EXERCISES						
What:	Expected impact:					
That to assess the status of Search and Reso CAR/SAM Regions while discovering additional improvements,  a) CAR/SAM States schedule Search and assess their coordination and resp including autonomous distress tracking	Rescue exercises to conse capabilities, □ Inter-regional □ Economic □ Environmental □ Operational/Technical					
b) the ICAO NACC and SAM Regional Offices provide support and coordination for the conduction of Regional and Interregional SAREX and report it to GREPECAS/23						
Why:						
To promote Regional and Interregional Collaboration to enhance SAR Services.						
When: Report by GREPECAS/23	Statu S: □ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed					

Who:	☑ States X□ ICAO □ Other:	
CONCLUSI	ON HARMONIZATION OF THE CAR/SAM	ATM CONTINGENCY PLANNING
GREPECAS	5/22/5 FRAMEWORK	
What:		Expected impact:
That, to	promote global harmonization of the CAR/SAM ATM	☐ Political / Global
conting	ency <del>planning <u>management</u> framework, the Secretariat,</del>	☑ Inter-regional
		☐ Economic
a)	organize a workshop in early 2025 to develop a follow up	☐ Environmental
	on the approval of the revised APAC Region ATM	☑ Operational/Technical
	Contingency Framework and using this a reference	, ·
	develop a proposal to update the CAR/SAM ATM Contingency Planning management Framework (RACF).	
	The CAR/SAM RACF should be based on the APAC/MID	
	RACF and presented to GREPECAS/23 for endorsement;	
	and	
b)	request the CAR/SAM States to take action to harmonize	
	their contingency plans with neighbouring States'	
	adjacent ATS units and report it to GREPECAS/23.	
Why:		

To -implement global harmonization of the CAR/SAM ATM contingency planning framework in the

Status:

**NACC and SAM Regional Offices** 

 $\boxtimes$  Valid /  $\square$  Superseded /  $\square$  Completed

**CAR/SAM Regions** 

When:

Who:

Report to GREPECAS/23

 $\square$  States  $\boxtimes$  ICAO  $\square$  Other:

CONCLUSION HARMONIZED H GREPECAS/22/6 REGIONS	PRIZONS: AIRSPACE OPTIMIZATION IN THE CAR-SAM
What: That, as the proposal for a CAR/SAM Guide Horizons: Airspace Optimization in CAR-SA unify Free Route Airspace (FRTO) in	M Regions" aims to ☐ Inter-regional ☐ Economic
NEOSPACE-1 project, upon approval of Horizons and Airspace Concept documed Optimization Task Force, aimed at harmonization in the CAR/SAM Regiodevelop a comprehensive roadmap to soperational goals by GREPECAS/23.	ts for the Airspace enhancing ATM s, the Secretariat
Why:	·

As the proposal for a CAR/SAM Guide, titled "Harmonized Horizons: Airspace Optimization in CAR-SAM Regions" aims to unify Free Route Airspace (FRTO) initiatives under the NEOSPACE-1 project

When:	GREPECAS 23	Status:	☑ Valid / ☐ Superseded / ☐ Completed
Who:	☐ States ☑ ICAO ☐ Other:	NACC Regional Office	

CONCLUSION GREPECAS/22/7	EVALUATION PROCESS OF THE	NEW AIRSPACE CONCEPTS
What:		Expected impact:
_	at/ICAO SAM Regional Office, SAM States,	<ul> <li>□ Political / Global</li> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>☑ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Operational/Technical</li> </ul>
users' needs; and  b) coordinate with GESEA/SG: analyse and optimize this n from various State practice needs of each State, recog		
30/10 - Optimized impl	ementation Plan for Project Recommendation 3.1/1Project Tementation of longitudinal dorsement by GREPECAS/23	
to flight and flow – info environment services and	mendation 3.2/2 – Transition ormation for a collaborative cessation of ICAO 2012 flight ort of experts from States and	
Why:		

The Secretariat, in collaboration with the CAR and SAM States, will lead efforts to create a streamlined, user-focused evaluation process for new operational scenarios. This approach will establish a standardized methodology, developed with GESEA/SG1, that adopts best practices to meet each State's unique needs without directly replicating any single model.

Also, an implementation plan for Project 30/10 and initial transition plan to FF-ICE will be developed for presentation to GREPECAS/23 meeting.

•		Γ		
When:	Report to GREPECAS/23	Status: ⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed		
Who:	⊠ States ⊠ ICAO □ Other:			
CONCLUS		RSPACE SYSTEM A	ANALYSIS (DASA) WORKSHOP IN	
GREPECA	AS/22/8 BRAZIL			
What:			Expected impact:	
			Expected impact.	
	to improve the analysis and manag	_	☑ Political / Global	
	ce, Brazil host a DASA Workshop fo		☑ Inter-regional	
_	ns, aiming to implement User Preferr	= = =	☐ Economic	
-	ghout South American airspace, while electors were the DASA tool for UPR analysis		☐ Environmental	
	ECAS/23 accordingly.	iysis and imomi	☑ Operational/Technical	
	<del></del>			
Why:				
appro	orting sustainable development by low ach to SAM airspace management, dra ry to enhance overall operational efficie	wing on the expe	riences and unique needs of each	
When:	Report to GREPECAS/23	Status: ⊠ Valid	/ □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who: ☐ States ☐ ICAO ☑ Other: Brazil				
CONCLU	SION CLARIFICAT	ION OF THE DIFFE	ERENCE BETWEEN HIGHER SPACE	
GREPEC/	AS/22/9 OPERATION	I <mark>S (HAO) AND SP</mark> AC	CE OPERATIONS	
What:			Expected impact:	
,	to support ICAO in the construction of		□ Political / Global	
	vill help States in various issues to be	•	☑ Inter-regional	
	et on ATM, ICAO establish a unified frame		<del>□ Economic</del>	
	operations management in the CA		□ Environmental	
	ling sharing experiences and develo dures, working with required SME grou	. •	□ Operational/Technical	
	ence between HAOs and Space Operation			
	EPECAS/23.	iis, to be reported		
Why:				
-	entiating HAO from space activities and f	ostering regional co	ollaboration is essential for efficient	
	vigation service management. A unified a			
ATM challenges posed by HAO and space operations, ensuring better coordination and operational				
	tency across the region.		•	
When:	Report to GREPECAS/23	Status: 🗵 Valid	d / ☐ Superseded / ☐ Completed	
\A/box		DO/ATM/2 NIACO		

CONCLUSION DISSEMINATION OF THE IMPACTS OF SEVERE WEATHE				
0050501		IA ON THE SAFET	OF AIR OPERATIONS	
GREPECAS	5/22/ <u>09</u> <del>10</del>			
What:			Expected impact:	
That,			☑ Political / Global	
۵۱	States International Organization	as and somia	☑ Inter-regional	
a)	States, International Organization providers collect information on the			
	meteorological phenomena on the	•	r   Livironmental	
	operations and airport operability;		☐ ☑ Operational/Technical	
	, , , , , ,			
b)				
	Organizations, States, and service pro	~		
	dissemination activities on severe possible connection to Climate Chang	•		
	on aviation;	e, and their impac		
c)	the Secretariat work in coordination	with Internationa	1	
	Organizations, States, and service pr	2		
	severe phenomena that have impac			
	and airport operability to assess the emergence of new risks associated with these phenomena by			
	risks associated with these	/		
	GREPECAS/23; and			
4)	the Secretariat work in coordination v	with International		
u,	Organizations, States, and service			
	establish mitigation procedures for t			
	determined.			
Why:				
States parties are required to ensure the operational safety of air operations by providing services and information that ensure a real situational awareness of the environment in which the air				
	on will take place.	iai awaieiiess Ui	the environment in which the an	
-		Status 🗵	Valid / □ Superseded / □	
When:	GREPECAS/23	Status:	mpleted	
Who:	States	International Org	ganizations and Service Providers	

CONCLUSION	CYCLIC VERIFICATION OF BBB MET	
GREPECAS/22/1 <mark>04</mark>		
What:	Expected impact:	
That,	☐ Political / Global	

c)	the NACC and SAM Regional Offices devel associated with the BBB MET in coord States, Territories and International Org with the CAR/SAM eANP work plan GREPECAS/23;	lination with the anizations in line	<ul><li>☐ Inter-regional</li><li>☐ Economic</li><li>☐ Environmental</li><li>☐ Operational/Technical</li></ul>	
c)	the States, Territories and Internation support the work of the Regional Office development of the BBB MET verification	s to continue the		
c)	the States, Territories and Organization surveillance and oversight processes, a control, to generate synergy with the verpresented, combine national efforts dashboard.	s well as quality erification process		
Why:				
	In accordance with the provisions of GREPECAS, it is necessary for States to establish and monitor the			
	lementation status of the Meteorological		ational Air Navigation through the	
verification of the BBB and represent the results in a dashboard.				
When	: GREPECAS/23	Status: ⊠ Valid	/ □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who:	States  ICAO □ Other:	Secretariat, States	5	

CONCLUSION ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGY IN THE NAM/CAR/SAM REGIONS			
GREPECAS/22/112			
What:  That,  a) States support their counterparts in charg Plans on CO <sub>2</sub> emissions reduction in their to complete the development or update of the benefits derived from the operation.	corresponding States, Ithis plan to emphasize Itional improvements  □ Environmental □ Operational/Technical		
implemented by integrating quantified data,  b) GREPECAS establish a link between the capacity and efficiency objectives and environmental protection objectives, through data on CO <sub>2</sub> emission savings derived from operational improvements implemented through the Aviation System Block Upgrade (ASBUs) by GREPECAS/23.			
Why:			
Following ICAO Assembly resolutions, States agreed to support the aviation industry's transition to cleaner energy sources and achieve the net-zero 2050 global aspirational goal.			
When: GREPECAS/23	<b>Status:</b> ⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed		
Who:    States   ICAO   Other:	Secretariat, States		

CONCLUSION				
GREPECAS	GREPECAS/22/123 MODIFICATIONS APPROVAL TO CAR/SAM F3 PROJECT			O CAR/SAM F3 PROJECT
What:				Expected impact:
	to implement Surface Movement G	Guidance C	ontrol	☐ Political / Global
System	(SMGCS) as part of the F3 Project:			☑ Inter-regional
٥,	the Chatas annually the marriand marris	/ a difi a a	۱۵ م م د نه	⊠ Economic
a)	the States approve the revised version of the CAR/SAM F3 Project at <b>Appendi</b>			☐ Environmental
	of the CANYSAWTS Project at Appendi	X A OI tills i	ерогт.	☑ Operational/Technical
b)	Member States and International Org	anizations r	eview	
	the proposed modifications to Project			
	their comments to the Secretariat by	than 31 Ja	nuary	
	2025, and			
۵)	F2 Project Members prepare a detail	ad action n	من مما	
c) F3 Project Members prepare a detailed action plan, in conjunction with the Secretariat, to carry out such				
activities, with the identification of priority international				
aerodromes.				
Why:				
To date, the F3 project has focused efforts on promoting the A-CDM concept and prepared an				
implementation guide accepted by the GREPECAS States. However, the Secretariat proposes new				
approach of the F3 project, based on the implementation of Surface Movement Guidance Control				
System (SMGCS) reflected in the revised version of the F3 Project.				
When:	1 December 2024	Status:	∨alid	/ □ Superseded / □ Completed
Who:	☑ States ☑ ICAO ☐ Other:			

1	VIEW OF THE CURRENT GREPECAS WORK PROGRAMME AND DIECTS
What: That,  a) the Meeting adopts the List Projects submitted under Description Template (Apperent);  b) the Secretariat to complete project, and that they are su	WP/14 and the Project  Indices B and C to WP/14  The templates for each

с)	the Secretariat to formulate a manage and responsibilities for better monitor of these GREPECAS Programmes a presents them for the analysis a GREPECAS/23.	ing and fond for the notice in	ollow-up cts and	
Why:  A review of Programmes and Projects is formulated to a) update the list of GREPECAS Programmes and Projects available on the GREPECAS webpage h, including its objectives and goals to comply with current priorities and needs; b) align the indicators and targets on the GREPECAS Dashboards with the GREPECAS Programmes and Projects; and c) enhance integration of projects of the SAM and CAR Regions.				
When:	<ul><li>a) Immediate</li><li>b) GREPECAS/23</li><li>c) GREPECAS/23</li></ul>	Status:	□ Valid	/ □ Superseded / □ Completed
Who:	⊠ States ⊠ ICAO □ Other:			

CONCLUSION			
GREPECAS/22/145 ENHANCE CAR/SA	AM RVSM AIRSPACE SAFETY		
What:	Expected impact:		
That, to promote actions that allow maint safety in RVSM airspace,  a) States of FIRs that reported in 2023 acceptable level to work with their respect Office to develop an action plan to respect occurrences identified in this period;	□ Inter-regional □ Economic □ Environmental □ Operational/Technical		
a)b)take necessary measures to ensure that LHDs, in particular  Categories A, B, C, D, E, H, J and K, as applicable, are reported  in timely manner; and			
b)c) the ICAO NACC and SAM Regional Offices the importance of keeping the regional modatabase on RVSM approvals up to date a #t-to GREPECAS/23	onitoring agencies'		
Why:			
To ensure target level of safety is achieved in 0	CAR/SAM Regions RVSM airspace		
When: Report by GREPECAS/23	Status: ⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed		

Who: ⊠ States ⊠ ICAO □ Other		
	DATE OF THE GUIDANCE MANUAL FOR CONTACT POINTS CREDITED TO CARSAMMA	
GREE EG/15/ 22/ 1 <u>5</u> 0		
What:	Expected impact:	
The Meeting accepts the update to t	e Manual for Accredited	
Focal Points to CARSAMMA, aimed	at improving the RVSM 🛛 Inter-regional	
airspace monitoring process in th		
American (CAR/SAM) regions, in	reasing efficiency and	
accuracy in data collection for RVSM	airspace safety analysis,   ☑ Operational/Technical	
as presented in Appendix B to this report.		
Why:		
Efficiency in collecting, analysing, and validating significant altitude deviations in the RVSM airspace of the CAR/SAM regions is essential to maintaining operational safety within the expected level. The update of the functions and responsibilities of the Focal Points, CARSAMMA, and the Rapporteur is essential to improve the efficiency of the RVSM airspace monitoring process		
When: GREPECAS 22	<b>Status:</b> ⊠ Valid / □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who: ⊠ States ⊠ ICAO □ Other:	ANSP, airports and airlines	

CONCLUSION/DECISION  GREPECAS/22/16  Global Challenges and GREPECAS Meetings' Report		
What:		Expected impact:
	global challenges in Appendix XX from ons be including in the report of eeting; and	<ul> <li>□ Political / Global</li> <li>□ Inter-regional</li> <li>□ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>□ Operational/Technical</li> </ul>
the report of the the regional chal	with the GREPECAS Chairpersons improve GREPECAS meetings for better visibility of lenges and the actions recommended for rs (ANB, ANC or Council).	
<del>a)</del>		
<del>b)</del>		

Why:  To improve the reporting mechanism and the visibility for the CAR/SAM activities, achievements and challenges with the proposed associated actions to address those reported challenges.					
When:	March 2025	Status: ☐ Valid / ☐ Superseded / ☐ Completed			
Who:		Chairperson GREPECAS			

Who:

☐ States ☐ ICAO ☒ Other:

DECISION  GREPECAS/22/17  Approval of the update of the GREPECAS Procedural Handbook			
What: That,  The members of the GREPECAS meeting a GREPECAS Procedures Manual, as present this report.		Expected impact:  ☐ Political / Global ☐ Inter-regional ☐ Economic ☐ Environmental ☐ Operational/Technical	
Why: DECISION GREPECAS/21/22 and DECISION GREPECAS/21/25 mandated that the GREPECAS Procedures Manual be updated in line with the actions outlined in these decisions and submitted for review and approved during GREPECAS/22.			
When: Immediately	Statu s: □ Valid /	<sup>′</sup> □ Superseded / □ Completed	
Who:   States   ICAO □ Other:			
DECISION TCAS REDUCTI GREPECAS/22/18	ON AND MITIGATION	STRATEGY IN CAR/SAM FIRS	
What:  Considering the risk represented by the TCAS events in the airspace, and its implication for the safety and efficiency of aviation, a strategy is implemented it is essential to enhance the collection, analysis and implementation of reduction measures on a based-drive approach.  Expected impact: □ Political / Global □ Inter-regional □ Economic □ Environmental □ Operational/Technical		□ Political / Global  ☑ Inter-regional □ Economic □ Environmental	
Why: The RASGPA/GREPECAS meeting emphasized the need to improve data collection related to TCAS events and implement strategic, data-driven reduction measures in FIRs across the CAR/SAM regions, including reporting, training, collaborative work, and the involvement of the SMS ATS.			

ATSP; Industria, CAAs, PA RAST.

DECISION Activation of an Ad-hoc Group for the development of KPIs of			
GREPECAS/22/xxx GANP (KAHG	<u> </u>		
What:		Expected impact:	
Under the framework of the P Strengthening of the Regional Plan (R. Plans (NANP) of the CAR/SAM, working regional implementation groups, stakeholders, an Ad-Hoc Group is development of key performance ind GANP (KPI Ad-hoc Group - KAH	ANP) and National together with the industry and activated for the licators KPI of the	<ul> <li>□ Political / Global</li> <li>☑ Inter-regional</li> <li>□ Economic</li> <li>□ Environmental</li> <li>☑ Operational/Technical</li> </ul>	
d) Prepare a regional RAC/SAM guide and standardized teaching materials on the methodology of performance indicators, data collection and management, and calculations; d) Formulate an Action Plan for the progressive implementation of KPIs, identifying priorities and resources required, harmonized with the progress of the implementation groups; d) Implement a Communications Plan and adequate means of dissemination (dashboards, etc.) of the KPIs; and d) Formulate a regional and/or interregional initiative for KPIs benchmarking activities.			
Why: To promote performance-based pl	anning aligned to the	e GANP, strengthen Volume III of	
the RANP CAR/SAM, and foster collabor	ative work with the	implementation groups, Industry	
and stakeholders. To improve skills of States on the management of KPIs, so that they prepare			
for the application of new indicators of the GANP 8th edition and the NANP Template, starting in 2026.			
When: Results to be presented at GREPECAS 23	Status: ⊠ Valid /	☐ Superseded / ☐ Completed	
Who:   States SICAO Others:	International organ	izations, users, stakeholders.	