



ICAO

# INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

A UN SPECIALIZED AGENCY



# CNS TASK FORCES

## Communications; COMM

Provide Support to Communication and Navigation

## Frequencies Management: FREQ

Provides Support to aeronautical frequencies protection and frequencies management

## Automatization: AIDC

Provide Support to the implementation of AIDC and NAM/ICD protocols.

## ANS Implementation: ASBU

Support GANP and SBU Development and Implementation.

## Surveillance: SURV

Assists in the implementation of surveillance systems and operational ASBU elements where the enabler is Surveillance data.

## ECAR/NTG and ECAR/RD

Communication and Surveillance for Eastern Caribbean States.

# 2025

## NACC/WG/CNS

---

# CNS evolution: roadmap & strategy

---

No	Interface	State/ Organization	Adjacent State or	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Status	Notificación	Coordinación	Transferencia	% Implementación
					NAM/ICD	30	40	30	
1	Belize-Merida	Belize	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version D	Implementing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
2	Boston-Toronto	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version F	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
3	Cleveland-Montreal	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version F	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
4	Edmonton-Reykjavik	Canada	Iceland	NAT ICD	Operational	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
5	Edmonton-Salt Lake City	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational/	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
6	Edmonton-Seattle	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
7	Gander-New York	Canada	United States	NAT ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
8	Gander-Prestwick	Canada	SD	NAT ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
9	Gander-Reykjavik	Canada	Iceland	NAT ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
10	Gander-Santa Maria	Canada	SD	NAT ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
11	Moncton-New York	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Testing	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
12	Salt Lake City-Vancouver	Canada	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
13	Belize-CENAMER	COCESNA	Belize	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
14	Bogota-CENAMER	COCESNA	Colombia	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
15	CENAMER-Costa Rica	COCESNA	Costa Rica	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
16	CENAMER-El Salvador	COCESNA	El Salvador	PAC ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
17	CENAMER-Guatemala	COCESNA	Guatemala	PAC ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
18	CENAMER-Guayaquil	COCESNA	Ecuador	PAC ICD	Testing	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
19	CENAMER-Havana	COCESNA	Cuba	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
20	CENAMER-Kingston	COCESNA	Jamaica	NAM-ICD Version E	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
21	CENAMER-Merida	COCESNA	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
22	CENAMER-MAZATLAN	COCESNA	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
23	CENAMER-Nicaragua	COCESNA	Nicaragua	PAC ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
24	CENAMER-Panama	COCESNA	Panama	PAC ICD	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
25	Panama-San José	Costa Rica	Panama	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
26	Havana-Kingston	Cuba	Jamaica	NAM-ICD Version E	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
27	Havana-Merida	Cuba	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
28	Havana-Miami	Cuba	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
29	Havana-Port au Prince	Cuba	Haiti	0	Not planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
30	Curacao-Maiquetia	Curacao	Venezuela	0	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
31	Santo Domingo	Dominican Republic	Haiti	0	Not planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%



No	Interface	State/ Organization	Adjacent State or	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Status	Notificación	Coordinación	Transferencia	% Implementación
					NAM/ICD	30	40	30	
32	El Salvador-Guatemala	El Salvador	Guatemala	PAC ICD	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
33	El Salvador-Nicaragua	El Salvador	Nicaragua	PAC ICD	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
34	Belize-Guatemala	Guatemala	Belize	PAC ICD	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
35	Barranquilla-Kingston	Jamaica	Colombia	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
36	Curacao-Kingston	Jamaica	Curacao	PAN	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
37	Kingston-Panama	Jamaica	Panama	PAN ICD V.1	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
38	Albuquerque-Mazatlán	Mexico	United States	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
39	Mazatlan-México	Mexico	Mexico	LOA	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
40	Mazatlán-Monterrey	Mexico	Mexico	LOA	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
41	Mazatlán-Oakland	Mexico	United States	PAN ICD V.1	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
42	Mérida-México	Mexico	Mexico	LOA	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
43	Mérida-Monterrey	Mexico	Mexico	LOA	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
44	México-Monterrey	Mexico	Mexico	LOA	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
45	Nicaragua-San José	Nicaragua	Costa Rica	PAC ICD	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
46	Curacao-Santo Domingo	Republic	Curacao	PAN ICD V.1	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
47	Maiquetia-PIARCO	Tobago	Venezuela	0	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
48	New York-PIARCO	Tobago	United States	PAC ICD	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
49	PIARCO-San Juan/Miami	Trinidad and Tobago	United States	NAM-ICD Version D	Testing	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
50	French Guyanne- PIARCO	Trinidad and Tobago	French Guyanne	PAC ICD	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
51	Albuquerque-Monterrey	United States	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
52	Anchorage-Edmonton	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
53	Anchorage-Vancouver	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
54	Boston-Moncton	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
55	Boston-Montreal	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
56	Cleveland-Toronto	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
57	Houston-Merida	United States	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
58	Houston-Monterrey	United States	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
59	Los Angeles-Mazatlan	United States	Mexico	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	0.00%	66.67%
60	Miami-Nassau	United States	Bahamas	NAM-ICD Version E	Planned	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
61	Miami-Santo Domingo	United States	Republic	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	0.00%	0.00%	33.33%
62	Minneapolis-Toronto	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

No	Interface	State/ Organization	Adjacent State or	Bilateral Agreement or ICD	Status	Notificación	Coordinación	Transferencia	% Implementación
					NAM/ICD	30	40	30	
63	Minneapolis-Winnipeg	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
64	Oakland-Vancouver	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
65	Salt Lake City-Winnipeg	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
66	San Juan-Santo Domingo	United States	Republic	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
67	Seattle-Vancouver	United States	Canada	NAM-ICD Version E	Operational	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
									48.76%



ICAO  
Headquarters  
Montréal

European and  
North Atlantic  
(EUR/NAT) Office  
Paris

Asia and Pacific  
(APAC) Sub-office  
Beijing

Middle East  
(MID) Office  
Cairo

Western and  
Central African  
(WACAF) Office  
Dakar

North American  
Central American  
and Caribbean  
(NACC) Office  
Mexico City

South American  
(SAM) Office  
Lima

Eastern and  
Southern African  
(ESAF) Office  
Nairobi

Asia and Pacific  
(APAC) Office  
Bangkok

---

Thank You!