

References:

Purpose and agenda:

Statement of purpose: Preparation for ANI/WG/3 and face to face meetings

- 1 Discuss the standardization of FPL error messages.
- 2 Review and comment working paper to be presented at the ANI/WG/3.
- 3 Discuss any important issues regarding the face to face meeting.
- 4 Other matters.

Participants:

- 1. Curação: Natasha Leonora-Belefanti
- 2. Dominican Republic: Fernando Casso (rapporteur)
- 3. Mexico: Margarita Rangel, Ricardo Sánchez (SENEAM), Daniel Castañeda (DGAC)
- 4. Trinidad and Tobago: Ricky Bissessar
- 5. United States: Dan Eaves

Discussions:

Discuss the standardization of FPL error messages

- 1. The United States pointed out that in their case the FPL error messages are being received directly by the users (operators), who have been familiar with these messages for 10 years. A change in format or content could have a significant impact. Also commented was the fact that there is no guidance from ICAO regarding FPL error messages, and that these messages are very much tied to the particular systems and procedures. One example is the error messages that indicate the need for manual coordination. Because of this, the meeting considered that a general guidance for how error messages should be structured could be a better alternative, and this is due to the fact that during data collection many error messages were vague.
- 2. This guidance would be discussed during the face to face meeting.

Review and comment the working paper to be presented at the ANI/WG/3.

- 3. ANI/WG/3 working paper 11 was presented to the meeting, without additions or modifications. The only comment was the information of Trinidad and Tobago's Central FPL Processing System implemention being completed. Details will be offered at a later time by the delegate.
- 4. The rapporteur presented graphs of additional analysis done on the data collected for duplicates. There were important inferations extracted from these graphs, which are presented in the appendix of these minutes. First of all, a graph of duplicates by originating addresses among the operators (airlines), in which the main contributing address was that of the Jeppesen flight plan utility page (KDENXLDS). The main originating address among airlines was then specified by State, to show in which States are the most duplicates for each airline. The duplication for the KDENXLDS address was detailed, showing the contributing callsigns to the total for this address. The main originating address among ATS units was shown, revealing several SAM region FIRs as main contributors to duplication, and hence the need for coordination with said region for the treatment of these cases. In summary, the information is useful to pinpoint the sources of duplications and take the necessary measures.

Discuss any important issues regarding the face to face meeting.

5. The additional results of analysis detailed in item 4 will be further discussed in the face to face meeting.



Other matters

6. United States will present an update to the operators contact list, and so requested that any updates be sent to him before the meeting.

Review of Previous Action Items

ACT No.	Description	Status	Comments
01/13	Rapporteur to send to Marco Vidal the companies with the most duplicates, for assistance in mitigation	Complete	Due November 18 th
02/13	United States to investigate users of Jepessen flight plan page	On going	Due November 25 th .
04/13	COCESNA will investigate and take corrective actions with duplicates originated from the address MGGTZPZX	On going	Due December 4 th .
06/13	The rapporteur will investigate if there is a list of contacts for ANSP's and send them to the group	Valid	Due November 20 th .

Summary of Action Items from this Meeting

ACT No.	Description	Status	Comments

Next meeting: April 7th, Mexico City.



Appendix Duplicate FPL Data Collection Graphs











