

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

SEVENTH MEETING OF THE DIRECTORS GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION OF THE AFI REGION (AFI-DGCA/7)

20 July 2018, Niamey, Niger.

Agenda Item 4.2: Effective Implementation of the revised AFI Safety and ANS Targets – Approach and Strategy (WP/7)

(Presented by AFCAC)

SUMMARY

This paper describes the revised approach and strategies for monitoring and measurement of progress made by RASG-AFI member States in the implementation of the Abuja Safety Targets.

Action by the meeting is in paragraph 3.

REFERENCE(S):

- Abuja Declaration on Aviation safety in Africa;
- Revised Abuja Safety Targets incorporating AFI ANS Performance Indicators;
- Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP);
- Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP).

Related ICAO Strategic Objective(s):

- Safety
- Air Navigation Capacity and Efficiency

1. INTRODUCTION:

The "Abuja Safety Targets" were adopted by the African Ministers responsible for civil aviation at the Ministerial Conference on Aviation Safety in Africa, from 16 – 20 July 2012 through the Abuja Declaration on Aviation Safety. In time, it became apparent that implementation timeframes were no longer relevant as all the set deadlines expired and some targets were not met. New challenges were emerging in other aviation service areas e.g. provision of Air Navigation Services (ANS). The AUC Specialized Technical Committee (STC) meeting on Infrastructure, Transport, Tourism and Energy, held in Lomé, Togo from 13

to 17 March 2017, agreed on the necessity of the revision. AFCAC and ICAO then undertook necessary coordination meetings with the stakeholders to finalize and propose the new Safety Targets, taking into consideration the Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP), the Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP) and relevant regional safety initiatives.

As a result, 16 safety targets were established and they included (1) revised safety targets and (2) new ANS related safety targets (refer to Attachment "A"). In order to accurately measure the level of implementation of the Abuja Safety Targets (ASTs), AFCAC developed a draft implementation and monitoring mechanism for AFI member States. This working paper describes the revised approach and strategies for monitoring and measurement of progress made by RASG-AFI member States in the implementation of the Abuja Safety Targets.

2. DISCUSSION:

AFCAC developed a draft monitoring and measuring mechanism for implementation of the ASTs by member States. The mechanism is an information sharing and feedback system to allow member States to implement the ASTs and share information on how much progress was made for a given period. It consists of extensive use of the print and electronic media where AFCAC will publish flyers and website material to publicize the ASTs to member States. It includes a set of questionnaires with a matrix to measure the level of implementation of the ASTs. Results of the feedback information from questionnaires will be published in the form of **percentage implementation** for each State and overall combined performance of the region. For each year an agreed target is set for all States and the baseline for 2018 is 60%.

AFCAC recognizes that member States do not timely respond to requests for information due to a multitude of competing priorities. To overcome this hurdle, the proposed mechanism will include a system of recognizing ASTs champion States duly recognized for high performance. Such States will be used extensively to assist other need States. This will be complimented by publishing the percentage level of compliance for each State.

A. Challenges to be addressed by the proposed implementation and monitoring mechanism

- i. Need to enhance aviation safety for AU member States through monitoring and evaluation of set safety targets;
- ii. Need to develop a mechanism to monitor level of implementation of the revised Abuja Safety Targets;
- iii. Need to produce timely reports showing clear dashboard displays of what was achieved and what is outstanding;
- iv. Need to identify and assist States with deficiencies relating to safety oversight / or address shortfalls encountered in implementing the Abuja Safety Targets;
- v. Need to provide a basis for coordination and collaboration with key stakeholders to address safety gaps identified through this mechanism.

B. Strategies Adopted

Use of the Deming Cycle as a PLAN/ DO/ CHECK/ ACT management tool to monitor and measure progress made by member States and at the same time plan technical assistance where needed;

Re-establish focal points and use revised questionnaires requiring member States to give feedback on ASTs implementation;

Use of dashboard techniques to show level of compliance of each member State and overall performance of RASG-AFI States on an annual basis;

Measure feedback given by member States and calculate level of compliance to ASTs as a **percentage** with targets for each progressive year up to 2022;

Share the result with all key stakeholders and publish the results for all member States to appreciate their level of compliance to the ASTs;

Use of flyers and the AFCAC website as a means of communicating ASTs to member States and what is expected of them;

Identify champion States who will take the lead to assist other need States in the implementation of the ASTs;

Use results of the monitoring mechanism to identify need States and offer technical assistance to fast track compliance with ASTs.

C. Outcomes expected from the mechanism

- i. 100% status of compliance to ASTs by AFCAC member States;
- ii. Improved EI above 60% for all AFI States;
- iii. Calculated performance of each AFCAC member States measured in terms of percentage compliance to the ASTs;
- iv. Targeted technical assistance missions to need States. Type of technical assistance shall include AFI-CIS, ICAO ROST, AFCAC High Level Technical Assistance or HRDF:
- v. Identification of Champion States for implementation of ASTs.

D. Current Developments

• Consistent with this monitoring mechanism, AFCAC sent out questionnaires to all

¹ AFCAC High Level Technical Assistance means AFCAC led targeted assistance missions to governments of members States. The missions involve high level strategic issues relating to aviation structure and general administration of civil aviation matters. AFCAC may include partner organisations such as ICAO, IATA, ACI etc to be part of the delegation.

- AFCAC member States in order to assess level of implementation for the first half of 2018.
- Out of 48 AFI States, only 12 have not yet responded to this request and telephone follow-ups are being made to ensure that all States respond.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING:

- 3.1. The meeting is invited to note the concept of the proposed monitoring and measuring mechanism for implementation of the ASTs.
- 3.2. AFI member States who have not yet responded to the AST Questionnaires are urged to do so by the 31st of July 2018 deadline.

-END-

ATTACHMENT

Abuja Safety Targets Questionnaire

Name of State:		Name of Director (General:		
Foo	cal Point - Name :				
	Position:				
	E-mail address:				
	Cell number :				
SA	FETY TARGETS	STATUS and MEASURES UNDERTAKEN			
Rev	vised Abuja Safety Targets	Weight	Actual	Rating	Weighted Score
	Did your State include implementation of the Abuja Safety Target in its Strategic Plan			l	l
	(Show how many of the 16 Safety Targets were included)				
	Did your State include implementation of the Abuja Safety Targets in its annual plans				
	(show how many of the 16 Safety Targets were included)				
1.	1. Progressively reduce the African accident rate from 8.6 to 2.5 per million departures by the end of 2022, with focus on [8% weighted score]				
a)	Did your State Reduce runway related accidents and serious incidents? By what percent?	3%			
b)	Did your State Reduce controlled flight into terrain (CFIT) related accidents and serious incidents? By what percent?	3%			

c) Die	d your State Reduce Loss of Control related	2%	
acc	cidents/serious incidents? By what percent?		
d) Ou	at of all the accidents that occurred within	-6%	
the	e period under review, how many were		
fata	al?		
2. Al	ll States establish and strengthen autonon	nous Civil Aviation	Authorities with independent
reg	gulatory oversight, sustainable sources of	funding and resou	rces to carry out effective safety
ov	ersight and regulation of the aviation ind	ustry by 2022. [1	0% weighted score]
a) Die	d your State establish and strengthen the	6%	
Civ	vil Aviation Authority with full autonomy?		
(fo	or example, powers and independence,		
sus	stainable sources of funding and resources		
to	carry out effective safety oversight and		
reg	gulation of the aviation industry).		
b) Die	d you delegate your functions and tasks to	4%	
RS	SOOs or other African State(s)?		
3. Sta	ates should resolve ALL identified Signifi	icant Safety Concer	ns by June 2018 [8% weighted
sco	ore]		
a) Die	d your State, resolve ALL identified	4%	
Sig	gnificant Safety Concerns if any?		
b) Die	d State resolve newly identified SSCs	4%	
wit	thin 6 months from the date of its official		
pul	blication by ICAO.		
A \$4	ates abide by the timelines and provide re	ecourage for implem	contation of ICAO/State Plans of

a)	Did the State abide by the timelines and	8%	
	provided resources for the implementation of		
	ICAO/State Plans of Action?		
	ICAO/State Plans of Action?		
b)	Any challenges hindering State from the		
	timely completion of ICAO/ State Action		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Plans?		
5.	States should progressively increase the Eff	ective Implementat	tion (EI) percentage under the ICAO
	USOAP as follows: [6% weighted score]		
	■ EI < 60% attain 60% by 2020;		
		•	
	■ 60% ≤ EI ≤ 70% attain 80% by 202	2;	
	■ 70% < EI attain 95% by 2028.		
a)	What is the current EI status for the State?		
ĺ			
	• EI < 60%	1%	
	• $60\% \le EI \le 70\%$	4%	
	• 70% < EI	6%	
L)	Discos in discos the year of years lost ICAO		
b)	Please indicate the year of your last ICAO		
	Audit or ICVM)		
6	States should establish Legislative and Orga	nicational Frames	varies and Implement SSD/SMS by
0.		amsauonai Framev	vorks and implement SSF/SWIS by
	2025 [8% weighted score]		
a)	Did the State have a foundation SSP	2%	
	established, addressing all pre-requisites?		
	the second of th		
b)	Does the State have an effective SSP with	2%	
	appropriate maturity level established?		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	D 1 C 1 C 1	20/	
c)	Does the State contribute information on	2%	
	safety risks, including SSP SPIs, to the		
	RASG-AFI?		

d)	Does the State have a positive safety margin , and an effective SSP, to actively engage in	1%	
	RASG-AFI safety risk management activities		
	(analysis of safety risks, design and		
	implementation of risk mitigation actions)?		
e)	Do All Service Providers use globally harmonized SPIs as part of their SMS?	1%	
f)	Please indicate the new EI of ICAO SARPs, if there was an evolution.		
7.	All International Aerodromes to be certified	d by 2022. [6% we	ighted score]
	Dil God God Haranda	204	
a)	Did your State certify all International Aerodromes? (Please indicate number of	2%	
	International Aerodromes)		
b)	If not how many International Aerodromes were certified and how many were not?	1%	
	A A11 :	20/	
c)	Are All airport operators participatants in the ICAO-recognized industry assessment	2%	
	programme for airports (APEX) by end of		
	2022?		
d)	How many international aerodromes in your	1%	
u)	State have established a Runway Safety Team	1 /0	
	(RST)?		
0	Chahaa manah mah in alama in a	II A fori a : 1° :	a abtain an IATA O
8.	States must put in place requirements for a Safety Audit (IOSA) certification by 2022.		_

a)	Did your State establish an appropriate framework for recognition of IATA Operational Safety Audit (IOSA) certificates?	2%	
b)	Did all your airlines obtain an IATA Operational Safety Audit (IOSA) certificate? If not how many did and how many did not?	4%	
9.	All States to establish an effective and opera	ational SAR organi	zation by year 2019. [6% weighted
a)	Did State develop a National SAR Plan?	2%	
b)	Did State conclude SAR Agreements/ MoUs with all neighboring States ? How many were concluded ?	2%	
c)	Is there in place or plan for an Organisation of multi-agency, multi-State and combined Regional SAR exercises to test SAR systems in place involving as many SAR units as practicable?	2%	
	. All States to implement the transition from		r 2022 [6% weighted score]
a)	Did your State development a National Action Plan for transitioning from AIS to AIM;	3%	
b)	Is the State Implementating the National Action Plan in accordance with the ASBU Block 0 D-ATM?	3%	
11	. All States to implement PBN procedures for score]	r all instrument rui	nways by year 2025. [5% weighted

a)	How many Instrument Runways have been designated for PBN procedures?	3%		
b)	How many Instrument runways have operational PBN procedures ?	2%		
12	All States to progressively reduce the rate managed airspaces by at least 50% annually a level of zero (0) Airprox by corresponding year 2020 [6% weighted score]	from Dec. 2017 basingly reducing erro	seline, in order to attain and maintain	
a)	Did State put in place measures for co- ordination between ATS Units?	2%		
b)	Did State put in place more comprehensive measures for Airspace Organization and ATC Procedures?	2%		
c)	Did State put in place Mobile Communication systems?	1%		
d)	Did State put in place measures to ensure that there are no incidents as a result of Poor Crew Discipline on board aircraft?	1%		
13. Establishment of seamless Air Navigation Services in the AFI Region by year 2024 [6% weighted score]				
a)	Did your State take steps to ensure provision of harmonized Air Navigation Services in terms of flight separation, interoperability of CNS/ATM systems to reduce airspace complexity and achieve seamless operations along major air traffic flows?	3%		

b)	Did your State take steps to harmonise initiatives formulated by the Regional Economic Communities (RECs) and ANSPs within the AFI Region?	3%	
14	. All States to implement ASBU B0 Modules	[6% weighted scor	el
a)	Did your State develop National ASBU Plan		c ₁
u)	by year 2018?	070	
15	. All States to develop and implement a Na	tional Plan for the	e reduction of CO ₂ emissions due to
	international civil aviation by year 2022. [5	<pre>'% weighted score]</pre>	
a)	Did your State develop a National Plan for CO ₂ reduction?	2%	
b)	Did your State fully implement the National Plan?	3%	
16	. All States ensure that their ANSPs effect	tively participate	in the African ANSP Peer Review
	Programme by year 2022. [6% weighted sco	ore]	
a)	Did your State join the programme and have in place an annual African ANSP Peer Review plan of activities?	3%	
b)	Did your State develop and implement appropriate corrective action plans to satisfactorily address Peer Review recommendations.	3%	