

## INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION

# ICAO document

# CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels



October 2024



This ICAO document is referenced in Annex 16 — *Environmental Protection*, Volume IV — *Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA)*. This ICAO document is material approved by the ICAO Council for publication by ICAO to support Annex 16, Volume IV and is essential for the implementation of the CORSIA. This ICAO document is available on the ICAO CORSIA website and may only be amended by the Council.

Table A shows the origin of amendments to this ICAO document over time, together with a list of the principal subjects involved and the dates on which the amendments were approved by the Council.

Table A. Amendments to the ICAO document "CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels"

| Amendment               | Source(s)  | Subject(s)   | Approved         |  |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------------|--|
| 1st Edition             | Eleventh meeting of the<br>Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection               | First edition of the document.   | 25 Nov 2019      |  |
| 2 <sup>nd</sup> Edition | 2020 Steering Group<br>meeting of the<br>Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection | a) new default LCA values for CORSIA Sustainable     Aviation Fuels (SAFs) produced with new pathways     (HEFA Brassica Carinata, and ETJ agricultural residues, forestry residues, Miscanthus, and Switchgrass); and     b) editorial amendments that clarify the purpose of the ICAO document.  | 12 March 2021    |  |
| 3 <sup>rd</sup> Edition | 2021 Steering Group<br>meeting of the<br>Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection | <ul> <li>a) new default emission values for SAF produced from waste gases (ETJ conversion process)</li> <li>b) new default emission values for SAF from tallow, soybean oil, and used cooking oil co-processed at petroleum refineries;</li> <li>c) specifications for various pathways (agricultural residues-FT and ATJ; corn oil HEFA; palm oil HEFA; corn grain / sugarcane ATJ and ETJ; forestry residues / miscanthus / switchgrass ETJ);</li> <li>d) editorial amendments to improve readability of the document</li> </ul> | 10 November 2021 |  |
| 4 <sup>th</sup> Edition | Twelfth meeting of the<br>Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection                | <ul> <li>a) inclusion of global ILUC values for various pathways</li> <li>b) new default emission values for SAF produced from molasses (ATJ conversion process)</li> <li>c) new default values for SAF produced from jatropha (HEFA conversion process)</li> <li>d) inclusion of guidance for the calculation of life cycle emissions of co-processed fuels</li> </ul>  | 3 June 2022      |  |
| 5 <sup>th</sup> Edition | 2023 Steering Group<br>meeting of the<br>Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection | <ul> <li>a) Removal of the limitation of use of negative ILUC values on the CORSIA Pilot phase only,</li> <li>b) Consequential amendments from the adoption of the second edition of Annex 16, Vol IV.</li> <li>c) Inclusion of clarifications regarding oilseeds and plastic waste feedstocks.</li> </ul>   | 11 March 2024    |  |

## ICAO document - CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels

| Amendment               | Source(s)   |    | Subject(s)  | Approved        |
|-------------------------|---|----|---|-----------------|
| 6 <sup>th</sup> Edition | 2024 Steering Group meeting of the                | a) | Inclusion of default core LCA values for beef tallow, poultry fat, lard fat, and mixed animal fats                              | 28 October 2024 |
|                         | Committee on Aviation<br>Environmental Protection | b) | Inclusion of applicability provisions for the default core LCA value for tallow.  |                 |
|                         |   | c) | General restructuring of the document, for inclusion of specific applicability language   |                 |
|                         |   | d) | Clarification on the life cycle emissions for co-<br>processed fuels for compliance with Sustainability<br>Criteria 1.1         |                 |
|                         |   | e) | Inclusion of default core LCA value for non-standard coconuts   |                 |
|                         |   | f) | Inclusion of pathway specifications for ILUC values associated with cellulosic feedstocks (poplar, miscanthus, and switchgrass) |                 |

### CORSIA DEFAULT LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS VALUES FOR CORSIA ELIGIBLE FUELS

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| TABLE OF CONTENTS  | 1  |
|--|----|
| 1 ACRONYMS   | 2  |
| 2 DEFINITIONS  |    |
| 3 GENERAL PROVISIONS   |    |
| 3.1 Use of default values for L <sub>CEF</sub> calculation                             |    |
| 3.1.1 Specific provisions for co-processed fuels                                       |    |
| 3.2 Applicability provisions   |    |
| 4 DEFAULT CORE LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT (LCA) VALUES FOR CORSIA                           |    |
| FUELS  |    |
| 5 DEFAULT ILUC VALUES FOR CORSIA ELIGIBLE FUELS  | 10 |
| 5.1 General provisions   | 10 |
| 5.2 Default ILUC values for feedstocks classified as wastes, residues, or by-products  |    |
| 5.3 Default ILUC values for feedstocks classified as main products                     |    |
| 5.4 Specific provisions for cellulosic feedstocks associated with negative ILUC values |    |
| 5.4.1 Guidance to verify compliance with the cellulosic pathway specification          |    |

#### 1 ACRONYMS

ATJ Alcohol-to-jet

CO<sub>2</sub>e Carbon dioxide equivalent

FT Fischer-Tropsch

HEFA Hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids

ILUC Induced land use change

LCA Life cycle assessment

L<sub>CEF</sub> Life cycle emissions value for a CORSIA Eligible fuel in gCO<sub>2</sub>e/MJ

MSW Municipal Solid Waste

NBC Non-biogenic carbon

POME Palm Oil Mill Effluent

SIP Synthetic iso-paraffin

#### 2 **DEFINITIONS**

*Standalone conversion design* – pathway utilizes a facility to produce fuel from an intermediate product (e.g., ethanol/isobutanol) that is not co-located with the facility that produces the intermediate product from the fuel feedstock.

*Integrated conversion design* - pathway utilizes a co-located facility where heat is integrated between the systems to produce the fuel and intermediate products (e.g., ethanol/-isobutanol) from the fuel feedstock to minimize energy requirements.

*Conversion process* - a type of technology used to convert a feedstock into aviation fuel (reference: Annex 16 Volume IV). For the purposes of this document, the following conversion processes apply:

| Short name   | Conversion process specification   | Description  |
|--|--|--|
| Gasification FT                                    | ASTM D7566 Annex A1 (Fischer-<br>Tropsch), or equivalent specification                           | Fischer-Tropsch hydroprocessed synthesized paraffinic kerosene from gasification of feedstocks such as biomass and coal                                    |
| CO <sub>2</sub> FT                                 | ASTM D7566 Annex A1 (Fischer-<br>Tropsch), or equivalent specification                           | Fischer-Tropsch hydroprocessed synthesized paraffinic kerosene with the use of CO <sub>2</sub> as a feedstock  |
| HEFA   | ASTM D7566 Annex A2 (HEFA), or equivalent specification  | Synthesized paraffinic kerosene from hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids   |
| SIP  | ASTM D7566 Annex A3 (SIP), or equivalent specification   | Synthesized iso-paraffins from hydroprocessed fermented sugars   |
| FT-SKA   | ASTM D7566 Annex A4 (FT-SKA), or equivalent specification  | Synthesized kerosene with aromatics derived by alkylation of light aromatics from non-petroleum sources  |
| ATJ-SPK from ethanol                               | ASTM D7566 Annex A5 (ATJ-SPK), or equivalent specification                                       | Alcohol to jet synthetic paraffinic kerosene, from the use of ethanol as input   |
| ATJ-SPK from isobutanol                            | ASTM D7566 Annex A5 (ATJ-SPK), or equivalent specification                                       | Alcohol to jet synthetic paraffinic kerosene, from the use of isobutanol as input  |
| ATJ-SPK from isobutene                             | ASTM D7566 Annex A5 (ATJ-SPK), or equivalent specification                                       | Alcohol to jet synthetic paraffinic kerosene, from the use of isobutene as input   |
| СНЈ  | ASTM D7566 Annex A6 (CHJ), or equivalent specification   | Catalytic hydrothermolysis jet fuel  |
| HC-HEFA-SPK  | ASTM D7566 Annex A7 (HC-HEFA-SPK), or equivalent specification                                   | Synthesized paraffinic kerosene from hydrocarbon - hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids   |
| ATJ-SKA  | ASTM D7566 Annex A8 (ATJ-SKA), or equivalent specification                                       | Synthetic Paraffinic Kerosene with Aromatics with the use of C2-C5 alcohols from biomass as inputs   |
| Coprocessing Esters and Fatty Acids                | ASTM D1655 Annex A1, or equivalent specification   | co-processing of Esters and Fatty Acids in a traditional petroleum refinery  |
| Coprocessing of<br>Fischer-Tropsch<br>hydrocarbons | ASTM D1655 Annex A1 - co-processing of Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons, or equivalent specification | co-processing of Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbons in a traditional petroleum refinery  |
| Coprocessing HEFA                                  | ASTM D1655 Annex A1 - co-processing of HEFA, or equivalent specification                         | co-processing of hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids in a traditional petroleum refinery   |
| Lower Carbon<br>Aviation Fuel                      | ASTM D1655   | fossil-based fuel produced according to the methodologies defined in Chapter 7 of the ICAO Document "CORSIA methodology for calculating actual LCA values" |

#### 3 GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### 3.1 Use of default values for $L_{CEF}$ calculation

An aeroplane operator that intends to claim for emissions reductions from the use of CORSIA eligible fuels in a given year may use an Actual Life Cycle Emission Value or a Default Life Cycle emission value to compute these emission reductions (reference: Annex 16 Vol IV Part II Section 3.3.).

A Life Cycle Emissions value ( $L_{CEF}$ ) is calculated from the following equation:

L<sub>CEF</sub>= core LCA value + ILUC - emission credits; where:

- a) core LCA value can be obtained in two ways:
  - 1. a default core LCA value obtained from Section 4 of this document, or
  - 2. an actual core LCA value calculated with the use of the methodologies described in the ICAO document "ICAO document CORSIA Methodology For Calculating Actual Life Cycle Emissions Values"
- b) ILUC value can be obtained in two ways:
  - 1. Default ILUC values obtained from Section 5 of this document,

*Note:* These default ILUC values are directly applicable to feedstocks produced in land that was converted before 1 January 2008. In the event of land use conversion after 1 January 2008, direct land use change (DLUC) emissions will be calculated according to the methodologies defined in Section 8 of the ICAO document "CORSIA methodology for calculating actual life cycle emissions values". If DLUC greenhouse gas emissions exceed the default induced land use change (ILUC) value, the DLUC value will replace the default ILUC value.

Or

- 2. ILUC=0 with the use of the low Land use change (LUC) risk practices provided in Section 5 of the ICAO document "CORSIA methodology for calculating actual life cycle emission values".
- c) emission credits can be obtained with the methodologies listed in Section 6 of the ICAO document "CORSIA methodology for calculating actual life cycle emission values". The use of emission credits is optional.

#### 3.1.1 Specific provisions for co-processed fuels

The default core LCA values for co-processed fuels provided in Table 6 and the default ILUC values provided in Table 12 refer only to the biogenic fraction of the fuel. The  $L_{CEF}$  of a finished co-processed fuel needs to be calculated as the sum of the  $L_{CEF}$  of the two components, weighted by their energy contributions, as provided in Equation 1 below:

$$L_{CEF,CoPro} = \frac{89*\%Mass_{fossil}*LHV_{fossil} + L_{CEF\,bio}*\%Mass_{bio}*LHV_{bio}}{\%Mass_{fossil}*LHV_{fossil} + \%Mass_{bio}*LHV_{bio}} (eq.1)$$

Where:

%Mass<sub>fossil</sub> percentage of the final co-processed fuel derived from petroleum, in mass

%Mass<sub>bio</sub> percentage of the final co-processed fuel derived from SAF feedstocks, in mass

*LHV*<sub>fossil</sub> lower heating value of the fossil fraction of the fuel.

*LHV*<sub>fossil</sub> lower heating value of the biogenic fraction of the fuel.

L<sub>CEF bio</sub> *lifecycle emission value of the* biogenic fraction of the fuel (core LCA value from Table 6 + default ILUC value from Table 12).

The L<sub>CEF, bio</sub> value will be used for the purposes of assessing compliance with Sustainability Criteria 1.1.

Due to the difficulties and the approximations related to the definition of the LHV and %mass for each group of molecules constituting the fuel components, Equation 2 below can be used as a practical solution for operators and the SCS for calculating  $L_{\text{CEF}}$  of the finished jet fuel from co-processing facilities. This equation allows the calculation of  $L_{\text{CEF}}$  with the information coming from the process simulation (%vol.) and/or from measurements (for instance with 14C techniques).

$$L_{CEF,CoPro} = 89 * \%vol_{fossil} + L_{CEF,bio} * \%vol_{bio}$$
(eq.2)

Where:

%vol<sub>fossil</sub> percentage of the final co-processed fuel derived from petroleum, in volume

%vol<sub>bio</sub> percentage of the final co-processed fuel derived from SAF feedstocks, in volume

L<sub>CEF bio</sub> lifecycle emission value of the biogenic fraction of the fuel.

#### 3.2 Applicability provisions

When a default value is updated in a published version of this document, the Economic Operator has until the end of the next full CORSIA compliance cycle to apply the updated pathway information and values to new CEF batches. Therefore, some of the default values listed in Sections 4 and 5 are associated with specific applicability provisions as indicated. Updated values cannot be applied to previously produced CEF batches.

# 4 DEFAULT CORE LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT (LCA) VALUES FOR CORSIA ELIGIBLE FUELS

To use a default core LCA values in the  $L_{CEF}$  calculation, an Aeroplane Operator will have to provide documentation to their State showing compliance with the methodologies defined in this Section. An Aeroplane Operator will need to work with a CEF supplier to obtain this information.

Tables 1 to 6 provide the list of CORSIA Default Core LCA Values that may be used by an aeroplane operator to claim emissions reductions from the use of CORSIA eligible fuels in a given year.

Note: The CORSIA Supporting Document "CORSIA Eligible Fuels - Life Cycle Assessment Methodology" describes the methodologies used by ICAO to calculate these Default Life Cycle Emissions Values, as well as the process for requesting the inclusion of a new conversion process or feedstock on these tables.

Table 1. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Gasification FT Fuel Conversion Process

| Fuel Feedstock  | Pathway Specifications   | Default Core<br>LCA<br>Value |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| Agricultural residues   | Residue removal does not necessitate additional nutrient replacement on the primary crop | 7.7                          |
| Forestry residues   |  | 8.3                          |
| Municipal solid waste (MSW), 0% non-biogenic carbon (NBC)*  |  | 5.2                          |
| Municipal solid waste<br>(MSW) (NBC given as a<br>percentage of the non-<br>biogenic carbon content)* |  | NBC*170.5 + 5.2              |
| Poplar (short-rotation woody crops)   |  | 12.2                         |
| Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  |  | 10.4                         |
| Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops)   |  | 10.4                         |

<sup>\*</sup>Note: as of the current version of this document, plastics are not included in the list of wastes, residues, or by-products approved by ICAO to produce SAF and claim emissions reductions under CORSIA. Under MSW, plastics will be considered as non-biogenic content.

Table 2. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the HEFA Conversion Process

| Fuel Feedstock             | Pathway Specifications   | Default<br>Core<br>LCA<br>Value | Applicability<br>Provisions   |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|
| Tallow                     |  | 22.5                            | This value can be applied to CEF batches produced until 31 December 2029. |
| Beef Tallow                | relevant lifecycle starts with transportation from slaughterhouse to rendering facility  | 29.7                            |   |
| Poultry fat                | relevant lifecycle starts with transportation from slaughterhouse to rendering facility  | 33.7                            |   |
| Lard fat                   | relevant lifecycle starts with transportation from slaughterhouse to rendering facility  | 27.8                            |   |
| Mixed Animal Fats          | relevant lifecycle starts with transportation from slaughterhouse to rendering facility  | 28.6                            |   |
| Used cooking oil           |  | 13.9                            |   |
| Palm fatty acid distillate |  | 20.7                            |   |
| Corn oil                   | Oil from dry mill ethanol plant  | 17.2                            |   |
| Soybean oilseed            |  | 40.4                            |   |
| Rapeseed/Canola oilseed    |  | 47.4                            |   |
| Palm fresh fruit bunches   | At the oil extraction step, at least 85% of the biogas released from the Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) treated in anaerobic ponds is captured and oxidized.                                | 37.4                            |   |
| Palm fresh fruit bunches   | At the oil extraction step, less than 85% of the biogas released from the Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) treated in anaerobic ponds is captured and oxidized.                               | 60.0                            |   |
| Brassica carinata oilseed  |  | 34.4                            |   |
| Camelina oilseed           |  | 42.0                            |   |
| Jatropha oilseed           | Meal used as fertilizer or electricity input   | 46.9                            |   |
| Jatropha oilseed           | Meal used as animal feed after detoxification  | 46.8                            |   |
| Non-standard coconuts      | The default value is valid if the hydrogen used is not produced from coal. If hydrogen is produced from coal, a correction value of 5.17 gCO2e/MJ needs to be added to the core LCA value. | 26.9                            |   |

Table 3. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the ATJ-SPK from Isobutanol Conversion Process

| Fuel Feedstock                              | Pathway Specifications  | Default<br>Core<br>LCA<br>Value |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Agricultural residues                       | Residue removal does not necessitate additional nutrient replacement on the primary crop. | 29.3                            |
| Forestry residues                           |   | 23.8                            |
| Sugarcane                                   | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 24.0                            |
| Corn grain                                  | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 55.8                            |
| Miscanthus<br>(herbaceous energy<br>crops)  |   | 43.4                            |
| Switchgrass<br>(herbaceous energy<br>crops) |   | 43.4                            |
| Molasses                                    |   | 27.0                            |

Table 4. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the ATJ-SPK from Ethanol Conversion Process

| Fuel Feedstock                        | Pathway Specifications   | Default<br>Core<br>LCA<br>Value |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Sugarcane                             | Integrated conversion design   | 24.1                            |
| Corn grain                            | Standalone or integrated conversion design   | 65.7                            |
| Agricultural residues                 | Standalone conversion design Residue removal does not necessitate additional nutrient replacement on the primary crop. | 39.7                            |
| Agricultural residues                 | Integrated conversion design Residue removal does not necessitate additional nutrient replacement on the primary crop. | 24.6                            |
| Forestry residues                     | Standalone conversion design   | 40.0                            |
| Forestry residues                     | Integrated conversion design   | 24.9                            |
| Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Standalone conversion design   | 43.3                            |
| Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Integrated conversion design   | 28.3                            |
| Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Standalone conversion design   | 43.9                            |
| Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Integrated conversion design   | 28.9                            |
| Waste gases                           | Ethanol produced via microbiologic conversion route Standalone conversion design                                       | 42.4                            |
| Waste gases                           | Ethanol produced via microbiologic conversion route Integrated conversion design                                       | 29.4                            |

Table 5. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the SIP Conversion Process

| Fuel Feedstock | Pathway Specifications | Default<br>Core<br>LCA<br>Value |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Sugarcane      |                        | 32.8                            |
| Sugar beet     |                        | 32.4                            |

Table 6. CORSIA Default Core LCA Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Coprocessing HEFA Conversion process \*

| Fuel Feedstock   | Pathway Specifications   | Default<br>Core<br>LCA<br>Value* |
|------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Tallow           | Maximum of 5% of tallow in volume<br>Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater<br>(HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points           | 27.2                             |
| Used cooking oil | Maximum of 5% of used cooking oil in volume<br>Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater<br>(HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points | 16.7                             |
| Soybean oilseed  | Maximum of 5% of soybean oil in volume<br>Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater<br>(HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points      | 40.7                             |

<sup>\*</sup>The default core LCA values in Table 6 refer only to the biogenic fraction of the fuel. The  $L_{CEF}$  of a finished co-processed fuel needs to be calculated as the sum of the  $L_{CEF}$  of the two components, weighted by their energy contributions, as provided in Section 3.1.1.

#### 5 DEFAULT ILUC VALUES FOR CORSIA ELIGIBLE FUELS

#### **5.1** General provisions

To use default ILUC values in the  $L_{CEF}$  calculation, an Aeroplane Operator will have to provide documentation to their State showing compliance with the methodologies defined in this Section. An Aeroplane Operator will need to work with a CEF supplier to obtain this information.

#### 5.2 Default ILUC values for feedstocks classified as wastes, residues, or by-products

Feedstocks that have been classified as wastes, residues, or by-products by ICAO have a default ILUC value of zero, independently from region or conversion process. These feedstocks are listed in Section 4 of the ICAO document "CORSIA methodology for calculating actual life cycle emission values.

#### 5.3 Default ILUC values for feedstocks classified as main products

Feedstocks that have been classified by ICAO as main products are associated with the default ILUC values provided in the Tables 7 to 12 below.

Table 7. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Gasification FT Conversion Process

| Region | Fuel Feedstock                              | Pathway Specifications  | Default<br>ILUC<br>value |
|--------|---|---|--------------------------|
| USA    | Poplar (short-rotation woody crops)         | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -5.2                     |
| Global | Poplar (short-rotation woody crops)         | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | 8.6                      |
| USA    | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)        | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -32.9                    |
| EU     | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)        | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -22.0                    |
| Global | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)        | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -12.6                    |
| USA    | Switchgrass<br>(herbaceous energy<br>crops) | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -3.8                     |
| Global | Switchgrass<br>(herbaceous energy<br>crops) | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | 5.3                      |

Table 8. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Hydroprocessed Esters and Fatty Acids (HEFA) Conversion Process

| Region                  | Fuel Feedstock            | Pathway Specifications   | Default<br>ILUC<br>value |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| USA                     | Soybean oilseed           |  | 24.5                     |
| Brazil                  | Soybean oilseed           |  | 27.0                     |
| Global                  | Soybean oilseed           |  | 25.8                     |
| EU                      | Rapeseed/Canola oilseed   |  | 24.1                     |
| Global                  | Rapeseed/Canola oilseed   |  | 26.0                     |
| Malaysia &<br>Indonesia | Palm fresh fruit bunches  | At the oil extraction step, at least 85% of the biogas released from the Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) treated in anaerobic ponds is captured and oxidized.  | 39.1                     |
| Malaysia &<br>Indonesia | Palm fresh fruit bunches  | At the oil extraction step, less than 85% of the biogas released from the Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) treated in anaerobic ponds is captured and oxidized. | 39.1                     |
| Brazil                  | Brassica carinata oilseed | Feedstock is grown as a secondary crop that avoids other crops displacement  | -20.4                    |
| USA                     | Brassica carinata oilseed | Feedstock is grown as a secondary crop that avoids other crops displacement  | -21.4                    |
| Global                  | Brassica carinata oilseed | Feedstock is grown as a secondary crop that avoids other crops displacement  | -12.7                    |
| Global                  | Camelina oilseed          | Feedstock is grown as a secondary crop that avoids other crops displacement  | -13.4                    |
| India                   | Jatropha oilseed          | Meal used as fertilizer or electricity input   | -24.8                    |
| India                   | Jatropha oilseed          | Meal used as animal feed after detoxification  | -48.1                    |

Table 9. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Alcohol to Jet – Isobutanol (ATJ-Isobutanol) Conversion Process

| Region | Fuel Feedstock                        | Pathway Specifications  | Default<br>ILUC<br>value |
|--------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Brazil | Sugarcane                             | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 7.3                      |
| Global | Sugarcane                             | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 9.1                      |
| USA    | Corn grain                            | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 22.1                     |
| Global | Corn grain                            | Standalone or integrated conversion design  | 29.7                     |
| USA    | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -54.1                    |
| EU     | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -31.0                    |
| Global | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -23.6                    |
| USA    | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -14.5                    |
| Global | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | 5.4                      |
| Brazil | Molasses                              |   | 7.3                      |
| Global | Molasses                              |   | 9.1                      |

Table 10. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Alcohol to Jet – Ethanol (ATJ-Ethanol) Conversion Process

| Region | <b>Fuel Feedstock</b>                 | Pathway Specifications   | Default ILUC value |
|--------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Brazil | Sugarcane                             | Integrated conversion design   | 8.7                |
| Global | Sugarcane                             | Integrated conversion design   | 8.5                |
| USA    | Corn grain                            | Standalone or integrated conversion design   | 25.1               |
| Global | Corn grain                            | Standalone or integrated conversion design   | 34.9               |
| USA    | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Standalone conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -42.6              |
| EU     | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Standalone conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -23.3              |
| Global | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Standalone conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -19.0              |
| USA    | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Integrated conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -42.6              |
| EU     | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Integrated conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -23.3              |
| Global | Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)  | Integrated conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -19.0              |
| USA    | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Standalone conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -10.7              |
| Global | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Standalone conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | 4.8                |
| USA    | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Integrated conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | -10.7              |
| Global | Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops) | Integrated conversion design Feedstock is produced according to the definitions and guidance provided in Section 5.4 | 4.8                |

Table 11. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Synthesized iso-paraffins (SIP) Conversion Process

| Region | Fuel Feedstock | Pathway Specifications | Default<br>ILUC<br>value |
|--------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Brazil | Sugarcane      |                        | 11.3                     |
| Global | Sugarcane      |                        | 11.1                     |
| EU     | Sugar beet     |                        | 20.2                     |
| Global | Sugar beet     |                        | 11.2                     |

Table 12. CORSIA Default ILUC Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels produced with the Hydroprocessed Esters and Fatty Acids (HEFA) Conversion Process co-processed at petroleum refineries\*

| Region | Fuel Feedstock  | Pathway Specifications   | Default<br>ILUC value |
|--------|-----------------|--|-----------------------|
| USA    | Soybean oilseed | Maximum of 5% of soybean oil in volume   |                       |
|        |                 | Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater (HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points | 24.5                  |
| Brazil | Soybean oilseed | Maximum of 5% of soybean oil in volume   |                       |
|        |                 | Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater (HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points | 27.0                  |
| Global | Soybean oilseed | Maximum of 5% of soybean oil in volume   |                       |
|        |                 | Feedstock inserted at either the hydrotreater (HDT) or hydrocracker (HYK) points | 25.8                  |

#### 5.4 Specific provisions for cellulosic feedstocks associated with negative ILUC values

The SAF pathways that use cellulosic feedstocks should only use marginal land to produce these feedstocks. Marginal is defined as:

Agricultural land parcels that are not used for crop production or other agricultural products for 36 consecutive months and/or land parcels that are not excluded by Sustainability Criterion 2.1 and perform in the lowest tercile of proper norms for a comparable land type in the ICAO Member State<sup>1</sup>, or first level administrative division of the ICAO Member State of feedstock production will be considered as marginal land for planting cellulosic feedstock. A non-exhaustive list of norms could include: yield, soil properties and conditions, moisture conditions, and economic variables.

SCSs will follow the CORSIA guidance provided in Section 5.4.1 to identify land marginality.

#### 5.4.1 Guidance to verify compliance with the cellulosic pathway specification

**Definition**: In this guidance the term *converted land* refers to the land that is selected to produce cellulosic feedstocks for SAF.

Thes definition of marginal land considers two groups of land:

**Group 1**) Agricultural land parcels that are not used for crop production or other agricultural products for 36 months prior to the date of land conversion for feedstock.

For this group of land, SCSs could use the existing records (including but not limited to satellite data) to identify the use of converted land for 36 months prior to the date of land conversion for cellulosic feedstock production.

**Group 2)** Land parcels that are not excluded by Sustainability Criterion 2.1 and perform in the lowest tercile of proper norms in the country or state (or province) of feedstock production.

For this group of land, SCSs should consider the following verification steps:

- **Step 1:** Verify compatibility of the converted land to feedstock production with Sustainability Criterion 2.1. If the converted land meets this criterion, check the next step. Otherwise, the converted land is not qualified to produce cellulosic feedstocks for SAF.
- **Step 2:** Select a proper norm to assess quality of the converted land before conversion to feedstock production. Depending on the type of converted land, SCSs may use different norms to evaluate quality of land. For converted cropland to cellulosic feedstocks, yield is an appropriate norm. For non-cropland converted to cellulosic feedstocks, other norms can be used, e.g., annual economic return per hectare.
- **Step 3**: Select the geographical boundary of the investigation depending on data availability: either State, or first level administrative division of the State. Information from the first level administrative division of the State should be used only in the absence of State-level information.
- **Step 4:** Collect representative data to determine the distribution of the selected norm and its lowest tercile for the selected geographical boundary. If the converted land falls in the lowest tercile of the distribution, it is qualified to be used for producing cellulosic feedstock. The following formula provides a threshold for the lowest tercile of the selected norm:

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup> The\ official\ list\ of\ ICAO\ Member\ States\ is\ available\ at\ \underline{https://www.icao.int/about-icao/Pages/member-states.aspx}$ 

$$T_i = MIN_i + (MAX_i - MIN_i)/3$$

In this formula i is an index that represents the selected norm (e.g., yield or rent),  $T_i$  shows the higher end of the first tercile of the selected norm,  $MAX_i$  is the largest observed value of the selected norm, and  $MIN_i$  is the lowest observed value of the selected norm.

**Step 5:** Compare  $T_i$  with the size of the measured norm  $(M_i)$  for the converted land to feedstock production. If  $M_i < T_i$ , then the selected land qualifies for cellulosic feedstock production. If data is available it is preferred to calculate the average for each variable  $(T_i, MAX_i, MIN_i, \text{ and } M_i)$  for three years prior to land conversion.

-END-