



ISCC CORSIA 205 LIFE CYCLE EMISSIONS

Version 1.1



Copyright notice

© 2021 ISCC System GmbH

This ISCC document is protected by copyright. It is freely available from the ISCC website or upon request.

No part of this copyrighted document may be changed or amended. The document may not be duplicated or copied in any form or by any means for commercial purposes without the permission of ISCC.

Document Title: ISCC CORSIA 205 Life Cycle Emissions

Version 1.1

This version becomes valid after approval by ICAO

Content

Glossary of Abbreviations	4
Summary of Changes	5
1 Introduction	6
2 Scope and Normative References	6
3 Options for obtaining life cycle emissions values.....	7
3.1 Use of default values	7
3.2 Use of actual values	7
3.3 Total life cycle emissions value	8
4 General requirements	8
5 Technical report requirements	11
5.1 Reporting requirements	11
5.2 Flow of information along the supply chain for actual LCA values	11
5.3 Verification, data record and reporting	12
6 Low Land Use Change (LUC) risk practices	12
6.1 Yield increase approach	13
6.2 Unused land approach	14
7 Emissions credits	15
7.1 Methodology for the calculation of landfill emissions credits	15
7.2 Methodology for the calculation of recycling emissions credits	19
7.2.1 Plastics	19
7.2.2 Metals	21
8 Calculation methodology for GHG savings	22
Annex CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels	23

Glossary of Abbreviations

CH ₄	Methane
CEF	CORSIA Eligible Fuel(s)
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
CORSIA	Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation
DOC	Degradable organic carbon
DOCF	Fraction of Degradable Organic Carbon Dissimilated
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
GWP	Global warming potential
ICAO	International Civil Aviation Organization
ILUC	Induced/Indirect Land Use Change
LCA	Life Cycle Assessment
LEC	Landfill Emissions Credit
LFG	Landfill Gas
LFGCE	Landfill Gas Collection Efficiency
LMP	Land Management Practice
LS _f	Life cycle emissions factor for a CORSIA eligible fuel in gCO ₂ e/MJ
LUC	Land Use Change
MCF	Methane Correction Factor
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
N ₂ O	Nitrous Oxide
REC	Recycling Emissions Credit
SAF	Sustainable Aviation Fuel

Summary of Changes

The following is a summary of all content changes to the previous version of the document. Minor amendments which do not affect the content, e.g. corrections of phrasings, marginal notes, amendments of graphics, etc. are not listed.

Summary of changes made in version 1.1	Chapter
Addition: <i>“As the methodology, rules and guidelines for calculating, reporting and verifying emissions reductions do not differ between ISCC CORSIA and ISCC CORSIA PLUS, all references made to ISCC CORSIA in this document apply to ISCC CORSIA PLUS as well.”</i>	2

1 Introduction

The intention of this document “Life Cycle Emissions” is to provide the methodology, rules and guidelines for calculating, reporting and verifying emissions reductions. The methodology described here is based on the ICAO Document “CORSIA Methodology for Calculating Actual Life Cycle Emissions Values” (2019).

Intention and applicability

As a basic principle, the methodology for calculating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions as specified in the ISCC EU Document 205 “GHG Emissions” under the ISCC EU certification system is valid in the framework of ISCC CORSIA as well. However, all rules and methodologies described in this document here have precedence over the ISCC EU methodology. This means, wherever the methodology described below differs from the methodology described in ISCC EU 205, the CORSIA version of the calculation methodology must be used.

ISCC EU methodology as the basis

An Aeroplane Operator seeking benefits from the use of CORSIA eligible fuels (CEF) in terms of reductions in CORSIA emissions offsetting requirements will have to provide documentation to their State on the life cycle emissions values (or factors; short: LS_f) and sustainability. An Aeroplane Operator will need to work with a fuel supplier to obtain this information.

CORSIA eligible fuels

CORSIA eligible fuels shall achieve net GHG emissions reductions of at least 10% compared to the baseline life cycle emissions values for aviation fuel on a life cycle basis.

Emissions reduction requirement

In order to obtain the life cycle emissions value (LS_f) of a CORSIA eligible fuel, the System User can either

Options for LS_f

- > use a default value as presented in the ICAO document “Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels” or
- > calculate an actual value after according to the methodology described in this document.

2 Scope and Normative References

For the following elements in the supply chain, information on life cycle emissions must be provided:

Relevant supply chain elements

- a) Feedstock production (extraction or cultivation)
- b) Processing units (companies, that process raw materials/input materials and thereby change relevant physical or chemical properties)
- c) Transport and distribution

The requirements for the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) value calculation and verification requirements for auditors are explained in this document.

As the methodology, rules and guidelines for calculating, reporting and verifying emissions reductions do not differ between ISCC CORSIA and ISCC CORSIA PLUS, all references made to ISCC CORSIA in this document apply to ISCC CORSIA PLUS as well.

References

As a basic principle, all relevant ISCC CORSIA documents are valid for the scope. The normative references display the documents whose contents are linked and have to be considered.

Scope of application

3 Options for obtaining life cycle emissions values

The amount of emissions reductions generated by the use of a CORSIA eligible fuel depends on its life cycle emissions value. There are two ways of obtaining this value:

Life cycle emissions value

1. Use of a default value
2. Calculating an actual value

3.1 Use of default values

Default values are provided in the CORSIA document “Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels”, annexed to this document. The table contains total default values for different types of fuel conversion processes, regions and feedstocks. It provides the core LCA value as well as the indirect land use change (ILUC) LCA value and the total life cycle emissions factor (LS_f), which is the sum of the aforementioned two.

Source of default values

The auditor will verify that the default LCA value applied by the economic operator matches the value and associated feedstock and conversion process (pathway) specified by ICAO in the ICAO document “CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels” or specified in the annex to this document. Please note that the values shown in the annex are from 2020 and not kept up to date by ISCC regarding any adjustments by ICAO that may have taken place since. It is the responsibility of economic operators and auditors to make sure they use the latest version of default values available. If in doubt please check the latest official ICAO document regarding CORSIA default values.

Verification

3.2 Use of actual values

An Aeroplane Operator may use an actual core LCA value as part of an accepted fuel sustainability certification process if a fuel producer can demonstrate lower core life cycle emissions compared to the CORSIA default core life cycle values provided or if a fuel producer has defined a new pathway that does not have a default core life cycle value.

Individual calculation of emissions

If the Aeroplane Operator chooses to use an actual core life cycle value, the auditor will ensure that the CORSIA LCA methodology specified in the ICAO document “CORSIA Methodology for Calculating Actual Life Cycle Emissions Values” or specified in this document below is accurately followed and that the LCA value calculation is complete, accurate and transparent. The auditor shall also ensure that relevant information on GHG emissions is transmitted through the chain of custody. ISCC will record detailed information about the

Verification

calculation of actual values within the ISCC System and provide this information to ICAO on request.

3.3 Total life cycle emissions value

After the core LCA value has been calculated according to the methodology described below or has been obtained via a default value, the appropriate ILUC value must be added in order to generate the total life cycle emissions value (LS_f). The ILUC value must be gathered from the ICAO Document "CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels" or in the Annex of this document. The unit of the LS_f is grams of CO₂e per megajoule of fuel produced and combusted in an aircraft engine, in terms of LHV (gCO₂e/MJ).

Adding of ILUC value

Core LCA value + ILUC LCA value = LS_f (gCO₂e/MJ)

4 General requirements

If a fuel was produced from a feedstock that is defined as a waste, residue, or by-product according to the ISCC CORSIA Document 201-1 "Waste, Residues, By-Products" then the actual core LCA value shall be the total LS_f . If the feedstock is not a waste, residue, or by-product, then a default core LCA value and an ILUC value will need to be added to the ICAO document entitled "CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels" before the fuel can be included in CORSIA.¹

No ILUC value for waste, residues or by-products

The system boundary of the core LCA value calculation shall include the full supply chain of CEF production and use. As such, emissions associated with the following life cycle stages of the CEF supply chain must be accounted for:

Life cycle steps

- (1) production at source (e.g., feedstock cultivation);
- (2) conditioning at source (e.g., feedstock harvesting, collection, and recovery);
- (3) feedstock processing and extraction;
- (4) feedstock transportation to processing and fuel production facilities;
- (5) feedstock-to-fuel conversion processes;
- (6) fuel transportation and distribution to the blend point; and
- (7) fuel combustion in an aircraft engine.

For life cycle stages 1-6 described in paragraph 3, carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) emissions of CH₄, N₂O and non-biogenic CO₂ from these activities

Calculating CO₂e emissions

¹ Information on how fuels can be added to the ICAO document entitled "CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels" can be found in the CORSIA Supporting Document "CORSIA Eligible Fuels - Life Cycle Assessment Methodology".

shall be calculated on the basis of a 100-year global warming potential (GWP). CO₂e values for CH₄ and N₂O shall be based on the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (28 and 265, respectively). Only non-biogenic CO₂ emissions from fuel combustion shall be included in the calculation of CO₂e emissions.

The functional unit for final LS_f results shall be grams of CO₂e per megajoule of fuel produced and combusted in an aircraft engine, in terms of lower heating value (gCO₂e/MJ).

Functional unit

The calculated LS_f values shall include emissions generated during on-going operational activities (e.g., operation of a fuel production facility, feedstock cultivation), as well as emissions associated with the material and utility inputs to operational activities, such as processing chemicals, electricity, and natural gas. Emissions generated during one-time construction or manufacturing activities (e.g., fuel production facility construction, equipment manufacturing) shall not be included.

Emissions of on-going operational activities

In many cases, the CEF supply chain of interest will result in the co-production of multiple commodities. These co-products may include non-CEF liquid fuels, chemicals, electricity, steam, hydrogen, and/or animal feed. Energy allocation shall be used to assign emissions burdens to all co-products in proportion to their contribution to the total energy content (measured as lower heating value) of the products and co-products. CO₂e emissions shall not be allocated to waste, residues and by-products that result from the CEF supply chain of interest.

Emissions allocation to co-products

CEF feedstocks can be broadly categorized into three groups - primary or co-products, by-products, and wastes and residues. Further information on how feedstocks are categorized in these groups for the purposes of ISCC CORSIA can be found in ISCC CORSIA Document 201-1 "Waste, Residues, By-Products".

Feedstock categorization

Feedstocks that are "low risk" for land use change (LUC) have been identified and assigned as having zero emissions from land use change. The low land use change risk feedstock list includes:

Feedstocks with zero LUC emissions

- (1) feedstocks that do not result in expansion of global agricultural land use for their production (see also chapter 7);
- (2) wastes, residues, and by-products (see ISCC CORSIA Document 201-1); and
- (3) feedstocks that have yields per surface unit significantly higher than terrestrial crops (~ one order of magnitude higher) such as some algal feedstocks.

The feedstocks in these three categories shall all receive an ILUC value of zero in the fourth column of the table in the ICAO document "CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels" or in the Annex to this document.

Aeroplane Operators may choose to capture the benefits of utilizing land use change-risk mitigation practices, (e.g., land management practices) to avoid ILUC emissions as part of the ISCC CORSIA certification process. Mitigation practices that avoid ILUC emissions and the requirements that shall be met to obtain these reductions can be found in chapter 7. The ILUC value of zero shall be used in place of the default ILUC value to calculate total LS_f . If the Aeroplane Operator chooses to claim emissions reductions from the implementation of land use change-risk mitigation practices, then the Aeroplane Operator shall provide documentation that the fuel was produced using land use change-risk mitigation practices according to chapter 7.

*Claiming
emissions
reductions from
low LUC
practices*

Waste, residue, and by-product feedstocks are assumed to incur zero emissions during the feedstock production step of the lifecycle. Emissions generated during the collection, recovery, extraction, and processing of these wastes, residues, and by-products, however, shall be included (life cycle stages 2-7).

*Lower emissions
for waste,
residues and by-
products*

The production of CEF from wastes, residues or by-products, as defined in ISCC CORSIA Document 201-1, may generate emission credits that can be subtracted from the actual LCA values to calculate total LS_f . If the Aeroplane Operator chooses to use a Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) that would generate such an emission credit, then the auditor must ensure that the calculation of emission credits is in accordance with the specific methodologies defined in this document, as follows.

Emission credits

- > Avoided Landfill Emissions Credit (LEC) for SAF derived from Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) – chapter 8.1
- > Recycling Emissions Credit (REC) for SAF derived from Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) – chapter 8.2

The analysis to calculate these emission credits values shall be documented in a technical report citing fully the data sources, such that the results are replicable and use the most recent data available. The technical report must also demonstrate that the emission credits claimed are permanent; directly attributable to the SAF production; exceed any emissions reductions required by law, regulation or legally binding mandate; avoid double counting (including double issuance¹ or double claiming²) of such credits; and exceed emissions reductions that would otherwise occur in a business-as-usual scenario.

*Emissions
credits in the
technical report*

During the pilot phase of CORSIA, and until additional requirements and guidance have been developed to (a) ensure that emission credits for SAF generated under CORSIA are of an equivalent quality and quantity to emission units and (b) resolve concerns regarding double counting, after the subtraction of the LEC and/or REC applicable to a SAF, the total LS_f value cannot be smaller than 0 gCO₂e/MJ.

*Emissions
credits during
the pilot phase of
CORSIA*

5 Technical report requirements

5.1 Reporting requirements

Economic operators must document all relevant data appropriately in a Technical Report, which is verified by an accredited certification body cooperating with ISCC. Upon request, the economic operator will submit the technical report to ISCC and on request, ISCC will submit the report to ICAO.

Technical Report

Relevant data include:

- a) GHG emissions by life cycle step within the scope of certification, broken out by GHG emission species and aggregated in CO₂e (100 year GWP). With regard to the life cycle steps, see chapter 5.
- b) The LCA inventory data by life cycle step within the scope of certification, including all energy and material inputs. For life cycle steps 1-4, the inventory data are to be provided per mass of feedstock, for the other steps per total fuel energy yield (MJ of fuel).
- c) Emission factors used for calculating GHG emissions associated with energy and material inputs, including information about the source for the emission factors.
- d) All relevant feedstock characteristics within the scope of certification, such as, for example, agricultural yield, lower heating value, moisture content, the content of sugar, starch, cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, vegetable oil, or any other energy carrier (as applicable to feedstock of interest).
- e) Quantities for all final and intermediate products, per total energy yield.
- f) If Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) is being used as a feedstock, then all relevant data required for the calculation of landfill emissions credits and recycling emissions credit will be disclosed according to the MSW crediting methodology in chapter 8
- g) In case a low LUC risk practice is being used, all relevant data required for the calculation and certification will be disclosed according to the Low LUC Risk Practices methodology.

5.2 Flow of information along the supply chain for actual LCA values

Each economic operator along the supply chain will implement a robust and transparent system to track the flow of data in each life cycle step as described in chapter 5, along the supply chain ("chain of custody system"). Tracking will occur each time the feedstock or fuel passes through an internal processing step or changes ownership along the supply chain. During the ISCC audit the auditor will verify that the economic operator has used an appropriate chain of custody system.

*Track of data
flow in the
supply chain*

5.3 Verification, data record and reporting

ISCC will report evidence that the certification body has verified that the economic operator has accurately followed the methodology specified in this document to calculate its actual LCA value using the most recent and scientifically rigorous data available, and that the LCA value calculation is complete, accurate and transparent. ISCC will report information on chain of custody system employed. Data will be recorded and reported to ICAO upon request in a format conducive to re-calculation and verification, for example as a spreadsheet in .csv or .txt file format.

*Verification of
LCA
methodology*

6 Low Land Use Change (LUC) risk practices

Using certain types of land, land management practices (LMP), and the incorporation of innovative agricultural practices at the production step could be considered as a contribution to low risk for LUC and therefore receive a value of zero for ILUC instead of the default value (see chapter 4). The implementation of these low LUC risk practices for a project should avoid market mediated responses that lead to changes in land use, and lead to additional SAF feedstock available relative to a baseline, without increasing land requirements.

*Low risk for LUC
allows zero ILUC
emissions*

There are two approaches for low LUC risk SAF feedstock production:

Two approaches

- a) Yield Increase Approach
- b) Unused Land Approach

The practices will be verified by the certification body as a net enhancement in sustainable aviation feedstock available per unit of land. The feedstock producer needs to provide credible and verifiable evidence of the nature of the new land management practice, timing of its implementation and level of additional feedstock production.

*Verification and
Transparency*

Any economic operator who would like to claim low LUC risk practices as described in this chapter, is required to document them in a written report. The report must, in sufficient detail, describe the low LUC risk measure implemented. ISCC will provide a template for the report that will include fields for every required information, such as crop type, the approach used, the practice used or a description of the area, where the measures were carried out.

*Report for low
LUC risk
practices*

The truthfulness of the report and its compliance with the ISCC CORSIA low LUC risk requirements will be verified by the auditor. In addition, the auditor will forward the report to ISCC along with the audit report and all other relevant certification documents. The certificates of any System User in the downstream supply chain who owns and/or handles the certified low LUC risk feedstock/material will include information about the low LUC risk practice applied.

*Verification of
report for low
LUC risk
practices*

Low LUC risk practices implemented on or after January 1, 2016 could be eligible. Exceptionally, practices implemented between January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015 may be accepted where it can be demonstrated that low LUC risk practices were implemented primarily as a result of demand for biofuels. This would have to be demonstrated on a project-specific basis.

*Limitations for
low LUC risk
practices*

Please note that the methodology for low LUC risk practices described in this chapter is only applicable during the pilot phase of CORSIA (2021 through 2023). Feedstocks designated under the low LUC risk practices approach during the CORSIA pilot phase are designated as such only until 2030 and they will be subject to periodic audits to ensure ongoing compliance with the original requirements when the feedstocks were certified.

*Low LUC risk
practices during
the CORSIA pilot
phase*

6.1 Yield increase approach

Eligible land management practices for the yield increase approach could include, among others, sequential cropping where more than one crop is planted per year, cover crops, the use of fallow land in a prescribed crop rotation, significant post-harvest loss reduction, and significant project level productivity increases due to the introduction of good practices and technology.

*Example
measures*

The yield increase approach applies to any situation where feedstock producers are able to increase the amount of available feedstock out of a fixed area of land (i.e. without expanding the surface of the land). An increase in the harvested feedstock may be the result of:

- a) An improvement in agricultural practices, (practices that increase yields through means such as increased organic matter content, reduced soil compaction/erosion, decreased pests, post-harvest loss reduction, etc.);
- b) Intercropping, (i.e. the combination of two or more crops that grow simultaneously, for example as hedges or through an agroforestry system);
- c) Sequential cropping, (i.e. the combination of two or more crops that grow at different periods of the year); and/or
- d) Improvements in post-harvest losses, (i.e. losses that occur at cultivation and transport up to but not including the first conversion unit in the supply chain).

If there is a decrease of the available feedstock for the food or feed market at the project level resulting from the land management practices (e.g., reduced yield from the main crop) this should be accounted for in calculating the volume of low LUC risk sustainable aviation fuel feedstock (i.e., the volume of low LUC risk sustainable aviation fuel feedstock represents the net increase in feedstock after accounting for any reduction in production of the primary food/feed crop that had been grown historically).

*Accounting for
reduced
production of
food/feed*

Measurements of yield increases and post-harvest loss reduction relative to a baseline are calculated based on historical practices using the annual yield per unit of land based on data from the preceding five years before the LMP measure takes effect from similar producers within the same region for the duration of the LMP measure. The low LUC risk feedstock thus represents additional feedstock obtained as a consequence of the improvement relative to the baseline.

Additionality requirement

The amount of additional feedstock available and considered eligible for low LUC risk feedstock is calculated as follows:

Conditions for low LUC risk feedstock

1. The average amount of feedstock available historically, from similar producers within the same region, is calculated based on actual net feedstock production (i.e., amount harvested less post-harvest losses) in the five years before the LMP measure takes effect. Similar producers can be defined as producers growing the same (or equivalent) crops and using a similar management model (e.g., smallholder, small or large scale plantation).
2. The amount of feedstock available as a consequence of the land management practice is calculated based on the current/new net feedstock production (amount harvested less post-harvest losses) that is attributable to the adoption of the new LMP measure.
3. The additional low LUC risk feedstock represents the difference between the values calculated via the two previous steps.

6.2 Unused land approach

Eligible lands for the unused land approach could include, among others, marginal lands, underused lands, unused lands, degraded pasture lands, and lands in need of remediation. For a land to be eligible for the unused land approach, it needs to meet one of the following criteria, while simultaneously complying with the ISCC CORSIA sustainability requirements (see ISCC CORSIA Document 202):

Eligible land

- a) The land was not considered to be arable land or used for crop production during the five years preceding the reference date.
- b) The land is identified as severely degraded land or undergoing a severe degradation process for at least three years.

Land degradation in the context of ISCC CORSIA and based on the definition of the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) is a reduction or loss “of the biological or economic productivity and complexity of rainfed cropland, irrigated cropland, or range, pasture, forest and woodlands resulting from land uses or from a process or combination of processes, including processes arising from human activities and habitation patterns, such as: (i) soil erosion caused by wind and/or water; (ii) deterioration of the

Definition of degraded land

physical, chemical and biological or economic properties of soil; and (iii) long-term loss of natural vegetation”.²

For a land to be eligible for the unused land approach, it also needs to have little risk for displacement of services from that land onto different and equivalent amounts of land elsewhere. Services refer to products obtained from ecosystems such as food, animal feed, or bioenergy feedstocks.

Little risk of service displacement

The amount of feedstock considered eligible for low LUC risk feedstock is equal to the amount of feedstock harvested for sustainable aviation fuel production from the eligible land.

Eligibility of feedstock as low LUC risk

7 Emissions credits

The production of sustainable aviation fuels from Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) may generate emission credits that can be subtracted from the actual LCA values to calculate total LS_F. The calculation of emission credits will be audited by the CB in order to assess whether it is in accordance with the specific methodologies of:

Two different emissions credits for SAF from MSW

- > Avoided Landfill Emissions Credit (LEC) for sustainable aviation fuels derived from Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) – Chapter 8.1 or
- > Recycling Emissions Credit (REC) for sustainable aviation fuels derived from Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) – Chapter 8.2

7.1 Methodology for the calculation of landfill emissions credits

Sustainable aviation fuels produced from MSW feedstocks may generate an avoided LEC. The value of the LEC shall be calculated as follows:

LEC

Step 1 – Estimate the proportional shares of each of the following four waste categories (j) that make up the MSW diverted from landfilling:

- > paper/textiles;
- > wood/straw;
- > other (non-food) organic putrescible/garden and park waste;
- > food waste/sewage sludge.

These shares should be expressed in terms of the dry mass of each waste category (j) per dry mass of MSW diverted from landfilling (before additional sorting and recycling, if applicable) (e. g. $W_{\text{paper/textiles}} = 0.4$ dry ton per dry ton of MSW).

Step 2 – Select the degradable organic carbon content (DOC) and the fraction of carbon dissimilated (DOC_F) values from table 1 that best represent each waste category (j) in the MSW. Use weighted averages to generate DOC and

DOC

² UNCCD Article 1(f).

DOC_F values that accurately represent each of the four waste categories of the MSW feedstock of interest.

Table 1: DOC and DOC_F

Material	DOC (% of dry matter)	DOC _F (%)
Corrugated containers	47%	45%
Newspaper	49%	16%
Office paper	32%	88%
Coated paper	34%	26%
Food waste	50%	84%
Grass	45%	46%
Leaves	46%	15%
Branches	49%	23%
Gypsum board	5%	45%
Dimensional lumber	49%	12%
Medium-density fiberboard	44%	16%
Wood flooring	46%	5%

Step 3 – Select the methane correction factor (MCF) from table 2 that most accurately represents the conditions of the landfill in question.

*Methane
correction factor*

Table 2: Methane correction factor (MCF)

Landfill conditions	MCF
Anaerobic managed solid waste disposal site	1.0
Unmanaged solid waste disposal site – deep	0.8
Semi-aerobic managed solid waste disposal site	0.5
Unmanaged solid waste disposal site – shallow	0.4

Step 4 – Use Equation 1 below to calculate total CH₄ generation, Q, from each waste category, j, per dry ton of diverted MSW.

*Total CH₄
generation*

Equation 1: Total CH₄ generation from waste category j, per dry ton of diverted MSW [g CH₄/t dry diverted MSW]

$$Q_j = W_j * DOC_j * DOC_{F,j} * F * MCF * (16/12) * 10^6$$

Where:

Q_j = total CH₄ generation over a 100-year period from waste category j

W_j	= dry mass of waste category j per dry mass of MSW diverted from landfilling [%] DOC = degradable organic carbon content from table 1 [%]
DOC_F	= fraction of degradable organic carbon dissimilated from table 1 [%]
F	= CH ₄ concentration in LFG, 50%
MCF	= Methane correction factor from table 2
16/12	= CH ₄ to carbon ratio
10 ⁶	= grams per ton conversion [g / t]

Step 5 – Select the lifetime LFG collection efficiency (LFGCE) that most accurately represents the landfill-specific conditions in table 3, for each waste category of the organic MSW diverted from the landfill. If the landfill in question is not managed, and LFG is not collected, use a value of 0%. Note that in this case, it would be inappropriate to also select an MCF value of 1.0 which corresponds to an anaerobic managed solid waste disposal site.

*Lifetime LFG
collection
efficiency*

Table 3: Landfill gas collection efficiency (LFGCE)

Climate zone		Boreal and temperate (MAT ≤ 20°C)						Tropical (MAT > 20°C)					
		Dry (MAP/PET < 1)			Wet (MAP/PET > 1)			Dry (MAP < 1000 mm)			Moist and wet (MAP > 1000 mm)		
LFG collection		Active ^a	Moderate ^b	Minimal ^c	Active ^a	Moderate ^b	Minimal ^c	Active ^a	Moderate ^b	Minimal ^c	Active ^a	Moderate ^b	Minimal ^c
Waste category, j													
Slowly degrading waste	Paper/textiles waste	78%	70%	56%	82%	71%	56%	79%	70%	56%	83%	71%	56%
	Wood/straw waste	68%	63%	51%	74%	67%	54%	71%	65%	53%	76%	68%	55%
Moderately degrading waste	Other (non-food) organic putrescible/garden and park waste	80%	71%	56%	83%	69%	54%	83%	71%	56%	80%	61%	55%
Rapidly degrading waste	Food waste/Sewage sludge	82%	71%	56%	79%	59%	49%	84%	70%	55%	72%	46%	43%

MAT – Mean annual temperature; MAP – Mean annual precipitation; PET – Potential evapotranspiration.

^a Active: Typically, the landfill operator is using horizontal LFG collectors from the early stage of cell development while still accepting MSW (less than a year after cells' first waste disposal), and vertical collectors once cells are capped.

^b Moderate: Horizontal collectors are installed to capture LFG 1-3 years after cells' first waste disposal, and vertical collectors are used once cells are capped.

^c Minimal: LFG is not collected during waste acceptance, but vertical collectors are used once cells are capped.

Step 6 – Select the oxidation rate that best represents the landfill conditions: 10% should be used for modern, sanitary, and well-managed landfills; 0% should be used in all other cases.

Oxidation rate

Step 7 – Calculate non-captured CH₄ emissions, CH₄ⁿ, per dry ton of diverted MSW using Equation 2. Note that Q_j and LFGCE_j are defined for each waste category, j.

Non-captured CH₄

Equation 2: Non-captured CH₄ emissions (CH₄ⁿ) [g CH₄ / t dry MSW]

$$CH_4^n = \sum_j [Q_j * (1 - LFGCE_j) * (1 - \text{oxidation rate})]$$

Step 8 – Calculate biogenic CO₂ in non-captured CH₄ emissions, CO₂ⁿ, and biogenic CO₂ that remains as carbon in the landfill, CO₂^s, using Equation 3.

Biogenic CO₂

Equation 3: CO₂ⁿ and CO₂^s [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

$$CO_2^n = CH_4^n * \frac{44}{16}$$

$$CO_2^s = \sum_j \left[W_j * DOC * (1 - DOC_F) * \left(\frac{44}{12} \right) * 10^6 \right]$$

Step 9 – In the case that the project of interest diverts MSW from a landfill where collected CH₄ is used for electricity generation instead of flaring, calculate the avoided electricity credit using Equation 4.

Avoided electricity credit

Equation 4: Avoided electricity credit [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Avoided electricity credit} \\ = LHV_{CH_4} * \eta * CF * \left[\sum_j (Q_j * LFGCE_j) \right] * Cl_{elec} * 10^{-3} \end{aligned}$$

where:

LHV _{CH₄}	= LHV of CH ₄ , 0.0139 MWh / kg
η	= net electricity generation efficiency (e.g. 30%, dependent on landfill of interest)
CF	= capacity factor including downtime (e.g. 85%, dependent on landfill of interest)
Q _j	= total CH ₄ generation from waste category j from Equation 1 [g CO ₂ e / t dry MSW]
LFGCE _n	= landfill gas collection efficiency selected from table 3 [%]
Cl _{elec}	= average carbon intensity of grid electricity in the region where the landfill generating electricity is located (use the highest spatial resolution regional-level CI published by a relevant national entity) [gCO ₂ e/MWh]
10 ⁻³	= kilogram per gram conversion [kg / g]

Step 10 - Calculate the final LEC of the SAF production process, as shown in Equation 5. This landfill- and waste-specific LEC value is to be subtracted from the core LCA value (g CO₂e/MJ) of MSW-derived SAF.

Final LEC

Equation 5: Final LEC calculation [g CO₂e/MJ]

$$LEC = \frac{CH_4^n * (GWP_{CH_4}) - CO_2^n - CO_2^s - [avoided\ electricity\ credit]}{Y}$$

Where:

CH₄ⁿ = non-captured CH₄ emissions [g CH₄ / t dry MSW]

GWP_{CH₄} = 100-year global warming potential of CH₄, 28 g CO₂e / g CH₄

CO₂ⁿ = Biogenic CO₂ in non-captured CH₄ emissions [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

CO₂^s = Biogenic CO₂ that remains as carbon in the landfill [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

[avoided electricity credit]

= Emissions offset by replacing grid electricity with electricity from captured CH₄ [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

Y = Total energy yield (liquid fuels, other fuel and energy co-products and non-energy co-products) from MSW [MJ/ t dry MSW]. Note that this is calculated on the basis of MSW diverted from the landfill, before any additional sorting or recycling takes place.

7.2 Methodology for the calculation of recycling emissions credits

Sustainable aviation fuels produced from MSW feedstocks may generate a REC, due to additional recyclable material being recovered and sorted during feedstock preparation. The emissions avoided for additional recycling of plastics and metals, calculated separately, are summed to generate a total REC value. REC shall be calculated as follows:

REC

7.2.1 Plastics

Step 1a - Select the energy consumption factors for virgin plastic production and recycling from table 4, for the plastic types recovered from the MSW feedstock in question.

Energy factors

Table 4: Energy factors for virgin plastic production and recycling

Specific electricity consumption for virgin plastic production (SEC _{bl})	Specific fossil fuel consumption for the production of virgin plastic (SFC)	Specific electricity consumption for plastic recycling (SEC _{rec})
[MWh / t]		[MWh / t]

	[GJ / t]		
PET	1.11	15.0	0.83
HDPE	0.83	15.0	0.83
LDPE	1.67	15.0	0.83
PP	0.56	11.6	0.83

Step 1b – Select appropriate emission factors for electricity, and direct fossil fuels use, for virgin plastic production, that accurately represent the specific project in question.

Emission factors

CI_{elec} = average carbon intensity of grid electricity in the region where the virgin plastic production is being offset (use the highest spatial resolution regional-level CI published by a relevant national entity) [gCO₂e / MWh].

CI_{ff} = carbon intensity of fossil fuel used in the virgin plastic production process [g CO₂e / GJ]. The life cycle CIs of coal, natural gas, fuel oil, and diesel, used as stationary fuels in US industrial processes, are 100.7, 69.4, 95.6, and 93.4 g CO₂e/MJ, respectively. Note that more regionally or context appropriate data should be substituted for the values given here, if available.

Step 1c – Estimate the emissions avoided by using recycled plastics to reduce virgin plastic production, per ton of diverted MSW feedstock. This calculation should be carried out for each plastic type, and summed up as shown in Equation 6.

Avoided emissions

Equation 6: REC associated with additional recycled plastic [g CO₂e / t dry MSW]

$$REC_{plastic} = \sum_i q_i * [L_i * (SEC_{bl,i} * CI_{elec} + SFC_i * CI_{ff}) - (SEC_{rec,i} * CI_{elec})]$$

Where:

- q_i = quantity of plastic i recycled [t / dry t MSW]. This is on the basis of per ton of dry MSW diverted from the landfill, before additional recycling takes place
- i = type of plastic recycled (e.g. PET, HDPE, LDPE or PP)
- L_i = adjustment factor for degradation in material quality and loss when using the recycled material, 0.75
- $SEC_{bl,i}$ = specific electricity consumption for virgin material production for plastic i [MWh / t plastic]
- $SEC_{rec,i}$ = specific electricity consumption for recycling of plastic i [MWh / t plastic]
- SFC_i = specific fossil fuel consumption for virgin material production of plastic i [GJ / t plastic]

7.2.2 Metals

Step 2a - Select the energy consumption factors for virgin metal production and recycling from table 5, for the metal types recovered from the MSW feedstock in question.

Energy factors

Table 5: Emissions and energy factors for virgin metal production recycling

	Emissions factor for virgin metal production (CI) [gCO ₂ e / t]	Specific electricity consumption for metal recycling (SEC _{rec}) [GJ / t]
Aluminium	8.40 x 10 ⁶	0.66
Steel	1.27 x 10 ⁶	0.9

Step 2b – Select an appropriate emission factor for electricity use in virgin metal production that accurately represents the specific project in question.

Emission factors

CI_{elec} = average carbon intensity of grid electricity in the region where virgin metal production is being offset (use the highest spatial resolution regional-level CI published by a relevant national entity) [gCO₂e / MWh].

Step 2c – Estimate the emissions avoided by using recycled metals to reduce virgin metal production, per ton of diverted MSW feedstock. This calculation should be carried out for each metal type, and summed up, as shown in Equation 7.

Avoided emissions

Equation 7: REC associated with additional recycling metal [gCO₂e / t dry MSW]

$$REC_{metal} = \sum_i q_i * [L_i * (CI_i) - (SEC_{rec,i} * CI_{elec})]$$

Where:

q_i = quantity of metal i recycled [t/dry t MSW]. This is on the basis of per ton of dry MSW diverted from the landfill, before additional recycling takes place

i = type of metal recycled (e.g. steel or aluminium)

CI_i = emission factor for virgin production of metal i [gCO₂e/t metal]

L_i = adjustment factor for degradation in material quality and loss when using the recycled material, 0.75

SEC_{rec,i} = specific electricity consumption for recycling of metal i [MWh/t metal]

Step 3 – Sum up emissions credits from plastics and metals, and convert to a basis of per MJ of fuel, as shown in Equation 8.

Sum of credits

Equation 8: Final REC calculation [gCO₂e / MJ]

$$REC = \frac{REC_{plastic} + REC_{metal}}{Y}$$

Where:

Y = Total energy yield (liquid fuels, other fuel and energy co-products and non-energy co-products) from MSW [MJ/ t dry MSW]. Note that this is calculated on the basis of MSW diverted from the landfill, before any additional sorting or recycling takes place.

8 Calculation methodology for GHG savings

ISCC CORSIA requires a minimum of 10 % GHG savings for final fuels.

GHG saving requirements

To calculate the emissions reductions (ER_y) from CORSIA eligible fuels the Aeroplane Operator shall use the following formula:³

$$ER_y = FCF * \left[\sum_f MS_{f,y} * \left(1 - \frac{LS_f}{LC} \right) \right]$$

Formula GHG savings

Where:

ER_y = Emissions reductions of the CORSIA eligible fuel

FCF = Fuel conversion factor, fixed value, 3.16 kg CO₂/kg fuel for Jet-A/Jet-A1 or 3.10 kg CO₂/kg fuel for AvGas/Jet B

$MS_{f,y}$ = Total mass (tons) of CORSIA eligible fuel claimed in the year y, by fuel type f

LS_f = Life cycle emissions value of the CORSIA eligible fuel

LC = Baseline life cycle emissions, fixed value, 89 gCO₂e /MJ for jet fuels and 95 gCO₂e /MJ for AvGas

The baseline values with which the LS_f is compared are 89 gCO₂e/MJ for jet fuels and 95 gCO₂e /MJ for aviation gasoline (AvGas).

Fossil comparator

³ See also ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices, Annex 16, Volume IV, Part II, Chapter 3.3.

Annex CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels

Fuel Conversion Process	Region	Fuel Feedstock	Core LCA Value	ILUC LCA Value	LS _f (gCO ₂ e/MJ)
Fischer-Tropsch (FT)	Global	Agricultural residues	7.7 _{...}	0.0	7.7 _{...}
	Global	Forestry residues	8.3 _{...}		8.3 _{...}
	Global	Municipal solid waste (MSW), 0% non- biogenic carbon (NBC)	5.2 _{...}		5.2 _{...}
	Global	Municipal solid waste (MSW) (NBC given as a percentage of the non-biogenic carbon content)	NBC*170.5 + 5.2		NBC*170.5 + 5.2
	USA	Poplar (short-rotation woody crops)	12.2 _{...}	-5.2 _{...}	7.0 _{...}
	USA	Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)	10.4 _{...}	-32.9 _{...}	-22.5 _{...}
	EU	Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)	10.4 _{...}	-22.0 _{...}	-11.6 _{...}
	USA	Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops)	10.4 _{...}	-3.8 _{...}	6.6 _{...}
Hydroprocessed esters and fatty acids (HEFA)	Global	Tallow	22.5 _{...}	0.0	22.5 _{...}
	Global	Used cooking oil	13.9 _{...}		13.9 _{...}
	Global	Palm fatty acid distillate	20.7 _{...}		20.7 _{...}
	Global	Corn oil (from dry mill ethanol plant)	17.2 _{...}		17.2 _{...}
	USA	Soybean oil	40.4 _{...}	24.5 _{...}	64.9 _{...}
	Brazil	Soybean oil	40.4 _{...}	27.0 _{...}	67.4 _{...}
	EU	Rapeseed oil	47.4 _{...}	24.1 _{...}	71.5 _{...}
	Malaysia & Indonesia	Palm oil – closed pond	37.4 _{...}	39.1 _{...}	76.5 _{...}
Alcohol (isobutanol) to jet (ATJ)	Global	Agricultural residues	29.3 _{...}	0.0	29.3 _{...}
	Global	Forestry residues	23.8 _{...}		23.8 _{...}
	Brazil	Sugarcane	24.0 _{...}	7.3 _{...}	31.3 _{...}
	USA	Corn grain	55.8 _{...}	22.1 _{...}	77.9 _{...}
	USA	Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)	43.4 _{...}	-54.1 _{...}	-10.7 _{...}
	EU	Miscanthus (herbaceous energy crops)	43.4 _{...}	-31.0 _{...}	12.4 _{...}

	USA	Switchgrass (herbaceous energy crops)	43.4	-14.5	28.9
Alcohol (ethanol) to jet (ATJ)	Brazil	Sugarcane	24.1	8.7	32.8
	USA	Corn grain	65.7	25.1	90.8
Synthesized isoparaffins (SIP)	Brazil	Sugarcane	32.8	11.3	44.1
	USA	Sugar beet	32.4	20.2	52.6

Important: Please note that the values shown in this annex are from 2020 and not kept up to date by ISCC regarding any adjustments by ICAO that may have taken place since. It is the responsibility of economic operators and auditors to make sure they use the latest version of default values available. If in doubt please check the latest official ICAO document regarding CORSIA default values.

Note: The “LCA Methodology Supporting Document” describes the methodologies used by ICAO to calculate these Default Life Cycle Emissions Values, as well as the process for requesting the inclusion of a new conversion process, feedstock, and/or region on this table.

During the pilot phase, negative ILUC values, as shown above, will be provisionally allowed to obtain a negative LS_f . A decision on whether to continue allowing negative LS_f values, due to reductions from negative ILUC, will be made by the end of the pilot phase. However, the LS_f shall not be smaller than 0 gCO₂e/MJ, if REC or LEC have been subtracted.

*Up-to-date
values*

*LCA
Methodology
Supporting
Document*

*Negative ILUC
values*