Technical Advisory Body (TAB)

Public comments received on Material Changes submitted in April 2021

November 2021

Disclaimer: The public comments contained in this document are published as submitted by their authors, without any changes made by ICAO. The views and opinions expressed in the public comments contained herein are those of their authors and do not reflect the position of ICAO or the ICAO Council's Technical Advisory Body. ICAO expressly disclaims all liability arising in connection with any interpretation or use of the comments in this document.

ICAO reserves its rights to exclude any comments that can be perceived as offensive or defamatory. If, despite this moderation policy, a comment posted in this document appears to be offensive or defamatory, you are invited to immediately contact the Organization by email (officeenv@icao.int). If your request appears legitimate, the comment will be withdrawn.

Comment Set #1

Name:

Breanna Lujan

Organization:

Environmental Defense Fund

Date of receipt:

26 May 2021

From: <u>Breanna Lujan</u>

To: Office of the Environment

Subject: Environmental Defense Fund inputs to the May 2021 TAB public comment process

Date: 26-May-21 06:54:33 **Attachments:** image001.jpg

EDF ICAO Public Comment May 2021.pdf

Dear Technical Advisory Body members,

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) would like to thank you for the opportunity to submit our comments on the material updates to previously-assessed programmes submitted for assessment by the TAB. EDF, Conservation International and The Nature Conservancy prepared joint inputs to the TAB public comment process.

Please find inputs on behalf of Environmental Defense Fund. Thank you for both your time and consideration.

Best, Breanna

Breanna Lujan

Manager, Forest and Climate Policy

Environmental Defense Fund

3rd Floor 41 Eastcheap London EC3M 1DT T+1-202-572-3505 blujan@edf.org edf.org



PUBLIC COMMENT ON ICAO EMISSIONS UNIT PROGRAMME REVIEW – May 2021

Commenter Name: Breanna Lujan, Manager, Forest and Climate Policy

Commenter Organization: Environmental Defense Fund

Introduction

This public comment period represents a significant and positive step towards the operationalization of CORSIA, and the applications show a range of thoughtful responses to the EUCs. There is great interest and commitment from civil society and across the private sector, non-profit organizations, and governments to see CORSIA's promise fully realized with environmental integrity. In this document, we provide several overarching points regarding these programmes' applications as well as a more detailed analysis of the material changes submitted.

Four greenhouse gas programmes are under consideration for public comment, all of which are material updates to previous assessments. We have analyzed each of the four programmes for their technical merit in fulfilling the Emissions Unit Criteria (EUCs).

Regarding double claiming

Strong provisions to consistently avoid double counting are fundamental to ensuring the environmental integrity of any emissions units. In the Technical Advisory Body's (TAB) recommendations from March 2020, many programmes were requested "to update, or finalize updates to, programme procedures related to the guidelines for host country attestation, for TAB to assess in respect of future recommendations on the extension of the eligibility dates..." We would like to reiterate the importance of host country attestation to prevent double claiming and to ensure that a corresponding adjustment is made. We recognize the TAB's desire to avoid being prescriptive on this topic; however, guidance on best practices for addressing this situation would help other greenhouse gas programmes eligible under CORSIA ensure the avoidance of double claiming of post-2020 units.

We commend the recent update by Verra and the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions, which follows those by the Gold Standard and American Carbon Registry in providing specific details of how no double counting will be ensured through Letters of Attestation. We encourage the remaining CORSIA-eligible standards to provide these details at their earliest convenience. This is especially urgent as some trading of CORSIA-eligible credits is already occurring through exchanges and over-the-counter transactions.



Programme Name	Reference in Programme Application Form	Emissions Unit Criteria reference*	Comment
American Carbon Registry	Commenters' overall summary	 American Carbon Registry (ACR) submitted two material updates: The primary mailing address of ACR has been changed to the address of Winrock International's (ACR's parent organization) headquarters following Winrock's decision to create a wholly-owned nonprofit subsidiary, Environmental Resources Trust (ERT) to operate ACR. There has been a change in governance, management and staff of ERT/ACR, which includes a new Board and Management structure and updates to ACR staff, to reflect the status of ACR as a wholly-owned nonprofit subsidiary of Winrock International. 	
Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART TREES)	Commenters' overall summary	In addition to changing its primary mailing address, the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART) also updated requirements for avoiding double counting with ICAO's CORSIA as part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document. These updated requirements, which were added to ensure conformance with Paris Agreement and ICAO CORSIA requirements for avoiding double counting of post 2020 units, are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and strengthen the ART TREES standard. Although these material ICAO-relevant changes were approved by the ART Board resolutions in June and August 2020, at the time of this review, TREES 2.0 has not yet been approved by the Board for publication in May 2021 so these revisions are not yet final. For future updates by any standard, we would encourage that only final changes are submitted for the TAB's review.	



	Double counting	Are only counted once towards a mitigation obligation	As part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document, ART has updated the requirements for host country letters of assurance and authorization, reporting of corresponding adjustments to the UNFCCC and compensation for, or replacement of, units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC (the "compensation mechanism"). The updated requirements for avoiding double counting with CORSIA do not alter any information submitted to the TAB. Rather, they reflect full implementation of requirements that ART indicated would be put in place in its April 2020 application, namely that "If approved by ICAO to supply emission reductions for the CORSIA, ART [would] include ICAO specific requirements as an annex to TREES and in its legal Terms of Use Agreement." Such ICAO-specific requirements include: • Long-term monitoring, reporting and verification and a commitment to four five-year crediting periods to be eligible to supply units for CORSIA. • Procedures to ensure required Host Country reporting of emissions reductions units used for the CORSIA and application of required adjustments, including the applicable timeline. • Requirements to compensate for, replace or otherwise reconcile instances of units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC ("compensation mechanism").
Clean Development Mechanism	Commenters' overall summary	 No material changes to the operation of the programme registry were reported, but additional detail was presented on voluntary cancellations, underscoring that these are not reversible. The main material changes reported in the application respond to COVID 19 constraints for onsite inspections as follows: Due to COVID, site visits for onsite inspections required by CDM validation and verification standards for project activities or programme activities may be postponed 	



	T	1	
		justit o If it o othe	e visit cannot be postponed, the Designated Operational Entity (DOE) needs to by and explain the implications. Cannot be postponed but still is not conducted due to COVID, the DOE may use restandard auditing techniques for validation or verification. has been extended to June 30, 2021.
Verified Carbon Standard	Commenters' overall summary	requirements for do	e major updates around: (1) the use of global warming potentials, (2) the puble counting procedures, and (3) the update of the Jurisdictional Nested All three updates are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and help clarify Verra's VCS and JNR standards.
	Overall methodological update		Verra's requirement for projects to use the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report global warming potential (GWP) values for emission reductions occurring on or after 1 January 2021 will ensure that projects will be in line with the latest, most recent scientific understanding of GWP.
	Double counting		Verra has prepared a revised version of the CORSIA Label Guidance, which includes a requirement for a Letter of Authorization. This meets the EUC requirements.
JNR Update	3.3b	Procedures related to the duration and renewal of crediting periods	The most recent update to the JNR standard requires a minimum of 20 year crediting periods for programmes seeking to sell units in CORSIA, and to clarify the procedures for JNR programmes when updating the crediting periods meet the EUCs. This meets the EUC requirements.



3.6a	Are standards and procedures in place for validation and verification processes	The most recent update to the JNR standard replaces requirements for a JNR expert panel assessment with requirements to include technical expertise on the validation/verification body team. This meets the EUC requirements.
4.2	Are based on a realistic and credible baseline	The most recent update to the JNR standard includes updated requirements to calculate the historical average of emissions (instead of using a trend-based approach) and requires that programmes set and re-assess reference levels every 4- 6 years, instead of every 8-10. These meet the EUC requirements. Additionally, Verra references upcoming tools to help projects set their baselines; as with our recommendation in the ART/TREES section above, we recommend that any standards wait to submit updates to the TAB until the updates are finalized.
4.3	Are quantified, monitored, reported, and verified	Verra's JNR standard has updated its requirements for calculating baselines to a period of 4 to 6 years, and now require more frequent monitoring (every 2 years rather than every 5). These updates meet the EUC requirements.
4.5	Represent permanent emissions reductions	No major updates have been made with respect to non-permanence, with one minor update around reporting potential loss events within a 6-month timeframe. This meets the EUCs. Regarding upcoming updates, we would recommend that Verra and any other standards refrain from submitting draft updates until such updates are final.

Comment Set #2

Name:

Kelley Hamrick

Organization:

The Nature Conservancy

Date of receipt:

26 May 2021

From: Kelley Hamrick

To: Office of the Environment

Cc: <u>John Verdieck</u>

Subject: The Nature Conservancy Public Comments for CORSIA, May 2021

Date: 26-May-21 14:41:57

Attachments: The Nature Conservancy Joint ICAO Public Comment May 2021.pdf

Dear Technical Advisory Committee,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on programmes seeking approval for use in CORSIA. Please find attached our comments, which were drafted jointly with Conservation International and the Environmental Defense Fund.

Best regards, Kelley

Kelley Hamrick

Policy Advisor +1 (703) 247-3734 The Nature Conservancy Kelley.hamrick@tnc.org Kelley.hamrick (Skype)

nature.org



PUBLIC COMMENT ON ICAO EMISSIONS UNIT PROGRAMME REVIEW May 2021

Commenter Name: Kelley Hamrick, International Climate Policy Advisor

Commenter Organization: The Nature Conservancy

Introduction

This public comment period represents a significant and positive step towards the operationalization of CORSIA, and the applications show a range of thoughtful responses to the EUCs. There is great interest and commitment from civil society and across the private sector, non-profit organizations, and governments to see CORSIA's promise fully realized with environmental integrity. In this document, we provide several overarching points regarding these programmes' applications as well as a more detailed analysis of the material changes submitted.

Four greenhouse gas programmes are under consideration for public comment, all of which are material updates to previous assessments. We have analyzed each of the four programmes for their technical merit in fulfilling the Emissions Unit Criteria (EUCs).

Regarding double claiming

Strong provisions to consistently avoid double counting are fundamental to ensuring the environmental integrity of any emissions units. In the Technical Advisory Body's (TAB) recommendations from March 2020, many programmes were requested "to update, or finalize updates to, programme procedures related to the guidelines for host country attestation, for TAB to assess in respect of future recommendations on the extension of the eligibility dates..." We would like to reiterate the importance of host country attestation to prevent double claiming and to ensure that a corresponding adjustment is made. We recognize the TAB's desire to avoid being prescriptive on this topic; however, guidance on best practices for addressing this situation would help other greenhouse gas programmes eligible under CORSIA ensure the avoidance of double claiming of post-2020 units.

We commend the recent update by Verra and the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions, which follows those by the Gold Standard and American Carbon Registry in providing specific details of how no double counting will be ensured through Letters of Attestation. We encourage the remaining CORSIA-eligible standards to provide these details at their earliest convenience. This is especially urgent as some trading of CORSIA-eligible credits is already occurring through exchanges and over-the-counter transactions.

Programme Name	Reference in Programme Application Form	Emissions Unit Criteria reference*	Comment
American Carbon Registry	Commenters' overall summary	 The primary International create a whomal ACR. There has be a new Board 	egistry (ACR) submitted two material updates: mailing address of ACR has been changed to the address of Winrock al's (ACR's parent organization) headquarters following Winrock's decision to colly-owned nonprofit subsidiary, Environmental Resources Trust (ERT) to operate een a change in governance, management and staff of ERT/ACR, which includes d and Management structure and updates to ACR staff, to reflect the status of molly-owned nonprofit subsidiary of Winrock International.
Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART TREES)	Commenters' overall summary	In addition to changing its primary mailing address, the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART) also updated requirements for avoiding double counting with ICAO's CORSIA as part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document. These updated requirements, which were added to ensure conformance with Paris Agreement and ICAO CORSIA requirements for avoiding double counting of post 2020 units, are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and strengthen the ART TREES standard. Although these material ICAO-relevant changes were approved by the ART Board resolutions in June and August 2020, at the time of this review, TREES 2.0 has not yet been approved by the Board for publication in May 2021 so these revisions are not yet final. For future updates by any standard, we would encourage that only final changes are submitted for the TAB's review.	

	Change 2	Are only counted once towards a mitigation obligation	As part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document, ART has updated the requirements for host country letters of assurance and authorization, reporting of corresponding adjustments to the UNFCCC and compensation for, or replacement of, units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC (the "compensation mechanism"). The updated requirements for avoiding double counting with CORSIA do not alter any information submitted to the TAB. Rather, they reflect full implementation of requirements that ART indicated would be put in place in its April 2020 application, namely that "If approved by ICAO to supply emission reductions for the CORSIA, ART [would] include ICAO specific requirements as an annex to TREES and in its legal Terms of Use Agreement." Such ICAO-specific requirements include: • Long-term monitoring, reporting and verification and a commitment to four five-year crediting periods to be eligible to supply units for CORSIA. • Procedures to ensure required Host Country reporting of emissions
			reductions units used for the CORSIA and application of required adjustments, including the applicable timeline. • Requirements to compensate for, replace or otherwise reconcile instances of units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC ("compensation mechanism").
Clean Development Mechanism	Commenters' overall summary	 No material changes to the operation of the programme registry were reported, but additional detail was presented on voluntary cancellations, underscoring that these are not reversible. The main material changes reported in the application respond to COVID 19 constraints for onsite inspections as follows: 	

		 Due to COVID, site visits for onsite inspections required by CDM validation and verification standards for project activities or programme activities may be postponed. If the visit cannot be postponed, the Designated Operational Entity (DOE) needs to justify and explain the implications. If it cannot be postponed but still is not conducted due to COVID, the DOE may use other standard auditing techniques for validation or verification. This has been extended to June 30, 2021. 		
Verified Carbon Standard	Commenters' overall summary	Verra provided three major updates around: (1) the use of global warming potentials, (2) the requirements for double counting procedures, and (3) the update of the Jurisdictional Nested REDD+ Standard. All three updates are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and help clarify and/or strengthen Verra's VCS and JNR standards.		
	Overall methodological update		Verra's requirement for projects to use the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report global warming potential (GWP) values for emission reductions occurring on or after 1 January 2021 will ensure that projects will be in line with the latest, most recent scientific understanding of GWP.	
	Double counting		Verra has prepared a revised version of the CORSIA Label Guidance, which includes a requirement for a Letter of Authorization. This meets the EUC requirements.	
JNR Update	3.3b	Procedures related to the duration and renewal of crediting periods	The most recent update to the JNR standard requires a minimum of 20 year crediting periods for programmes seeking to sell units in CORSIA, and to clarify the procedures for JNR programmes when updating the crediting periods meet the EUCs. This meets the EUC requirements.	

3.6a	Are standards and procedures in place for validation and verification processes	The most recent update to the JNR standard replaces requirements for a JNR expert panel assessment with requirements to include technical expertise on the validation/verification body team. This meets the EUC requirements.
4.2	Are based on a realistic and credible baseline	The most recent update to the JNR standard includes updated requirements to calculate the historical average of emissions (instead of using a trend-based approach) and requires that programmes set and re-assess reference levels every 4- 6 years, instead of every 8-10. These meet the EUC requirements. Additionally, Verra references upcoming tools to help projects set their baselines; as with our recommendation in the ART/TREES section above, we recommend that any standards wait to submit updates to the TAB until the updates are finalized.
4.3	Are quantified, monitored, reported, and verified	Verra's JNR standard has updated its requirements for calculating baselines to a period of 4 to 6 years, and now require more frequent monitoring (every 2 years rather than every 5). These updates meet the EUC requirements.
4.5	Represent permanent emissions reductions	No major updates have been made with respect to non-permanence, with one minor update around reporting potential loss events within a 6-month timeframe. This meets the EUCs. Regarding upcoming updates, we would recommend that Verra and any other standards refrain from submitting draft updates until such updates are final.

Comment Set #3

Name:

Maggie Comstock

Organization:

Conservation International

Date of receipt:

26 May 2021

From: <u>Maggie Comstock</u>
To: <u>Office of the Environment</u>

Subject: Public Comment Submission: Conservation International

Date: 26-May-21 12:30:46

Attachments: Conservation International Joint ICAO Public Comment May 2021.pdf

Dear Technical Advisory Body members,

Conservation International (CI) would like to thank you for the opportunity to submit our comments on the responses to the call for applications that were submitted for assessment by the TAB. CI, Environmental Defense Fund and The Nature Conservancy prepared joint inputs to the TAB public comment process.

Please find inputs on behalf of Conservation International attached. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best,

Maggie

Maggie Comstock

Senior Director, Climate Policy | Conservation International 2011 Crystal Drive | Suite 600 | Arlington, VA 22202, USA

mcomstock@conservation.org | Mobile: +1 202-834-0030 | Skype: maggie.comstock



PUBLIC COMMENT ON ICAO EMISSIONS UNIT PROGRAMME REVIEW May 2021

Commenter Name: Maggie Comstock, Senior Director, Climate Policy

Commenter Organization: Conservation International

Introduction

This public comment period represents a significant and positive step towards the operationalization of CORSIA, and the applications show a range of thoughtful responses to the EUCs. There is great interest and commitment from civil society and across the private sector, non-profit organizations, and governments to see CORSIA's promise fully realized with environmental integrity. In this document, we provide several overarching points regarding these programmes' applications as well as a more detailed analysis of the material changes submitted.

Four greenhouse gas programmes are under consideration for public comment, all of which are material updates to previous assessments. We have analyzed each of the four programmes for their technical merit in fulfilling the Emissions Unit Criteria (EUCs).

Regarding double claiming

Strong provisions to consistently avoid double counting are fundamental to ensuring the environmental integrity of any emissions units. In the Technical Advisory Body's (TAB) recommendations from March 2020, many programmes were requested "to update, or finalize updates to, programme procedures related to the guidelines for host country attestation, for TAB to assess in respect of future recommendations on the extension of the eligibility dates..." We would like to reiterate the importance of host country attestation to prevent double claiming and to ensure that a corresponding adjustment is made. We recognize the TAB's desire to avoid being prescriptive on this topic; however, guidance on best practices for addressing this situation would help other greenhouse gas programmes eligible under CORSIA ensure the avoidance of double claiming of post-2020 units.

We commend the recent update by Verra and the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions, which follows those by the Gold Standard and American Carbon Registry in providing specific details of how no double counting will be ensured through Letters of Attestation. We encourage the remaining CORSIA-eligible standards to provide these details at their earliest convenience. This is especially urgent as some trading of CORSIA-eligible credits is already occurring through exchanges and over-the-counter transactions.

Programme Name	Reference in Programme Application Form	Emissions Unit Criteria reference*	Comment
American Carbon Registry	Commenters' overall summary	 The primary International create a who ACR. There has both new Board and ACR. 	egistry (ACR) submitted two material updates: mailing address of ACR has been changed to the address of Winrock I's (ACR's parent organization) headquarters following Winrock's decision to olly-owned nonprofit subsidiary, Environmental Resources Trust (ERT) to operate een a change in governance, management and staff of ERT/ACR, which includes a and Management structure and updates to ACR staff, to reflect the status of ACR owned nonprofit subsidiary of Winrock International.
Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART TREES)	Commenters' overall summary	In addition to changing its primary mailing address, the Architecture for REDD+ Transactions (ART) also updated requirements for avoiding double counting with ICAO's CORSIA as part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document. These updated requirements, which were added to ensure conformance with Paris Agreement and ICAO CORSIA requirements for avoiding double counting of post 2020 units, are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and strengthen the ART TREES standard.	
		and August 2020, a publication in May 2	erial ICAO-relevant changes were approved by the ART Board resolutions in June at the time of this review, TREES 2.0 has not yet been approved by the Board for 2021 so these revisions are not yet final. For future updates by any standard, we not only final changes are submitted for the TAB's review.

	1	T	,
	Change 2	Are only counted once towards a mitigation obligation	As part of the TREES 2.0 consultation document, ART has updated the requirements for host country letters of assurance and authorization, reporting of corresponding adjustments to the UNFCCC and compensation for, or replacement of, units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC (the "compensation mechanism"). The updated requirements for avoiding double counting with CORSIA do not alter any information submitted to the TAB. Rather, they reflect full implementation of requirements that ART indicated would be put in place in its April 2020 application, namely that "If approved by ICAO to supply emission reductions for the CORSIA, ART [would] include ICAO specific requirements as an annex to TREES and in its legal Terms of Use Agreement." Such ICAO-specific requirements include: Long-term monitoring, reporting and verification and a commitment to four five-year crediting periods to be eligible to supply units for CORSIA. Procedures to ensure required Host Country reporting of emissions reductions units used for the CORSIA and application of required adjustments, including the applicable timeline. Requirements to compensate for, replace or otherwise reconcile instances of units used under the CORSIA and also claimed by the Host Country towards meetings its NDC ("compensation mechanism").
Clean Development Mechanism	Commenters' overall summary	 No material changes to the operation of the programme registry were reported, but additional detail was presented on voluntary cancellations, underscoring that these are not reversible. The main material changes reported in the application respond to COVID 19 constraints for onsite inspections as follows: Due to COVID, site visits for onsite inspections required by CDM validation and verification standards for project activities or programme activities may be postponed. 	

		justit o If it c othe	e visit cannot be postponed, the Designated Operational Entity (DOE) needs to fy and explain the implications. cannot be postponed but still is not conducted due to COVID, the DOE may use or standard auditing techniques for validation or verification. has been extended to June 30, 2021.
Verified Carbon Standard	Commenters' overall summary	requirements for do Standard. All three	te major updates around: (1) the use of global warming potentials, (2) the buble counting procedures, and (3) the update of the Jurisdictional Nested REDD+ updates are in line with CORSIA EUC requirements and help clarify and/or VCS and JNR standards.
	Overall methodological update		Verra's requirement for projects to use the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report global warming potential (GWP) values for emission reductions occurring on or after 1 January 2021 will ensure that projects will be in line with the latest, most recent scientific understanding of GWP.
	Double counting		Verra has prepared a revised version of the CORSIA Label Guidance, which includes a requirement for a Letter of Authorization. This meets the EUC requirements.
JNR Update	3.3b	Procedures related to the duration and renewal of crediting periods	The most recent update to the JNR standard requires a minimum of 20 year crediting periods for programmes seeking to sell units in CORSIA, and to clarify the procedures for JNR programmes when updating the crediting periods meet the EUCs. This meets the EUC requirements.

3.6a	Are standards and procedures in place for validation and verification processes	The most recent update to the JNR standard replaces requirements for a JNR expert panel assessment with requirements to include technical expertise on the validation/verification body team. This meets the EUC requirements.
4.2	Are based on a realistic and credible baseline	The most recent update to the JNR standard includes updated requirements to calculate the historical average of emissions (instead of using a trend-based approach) and requires that programmes set and re-assess reference levels every 4- 6 years, instead of every 8-10. These meet the EUC requirements. Additionally, Verra references upcoming tools to help projects set their baselines; as with our recommendation in the ART/TREES section above, we recommend that any standards wait to submit updates to the TAB until the updates are finalized.
4.3	Are quantified, monitored, reported, and verified	Verra's JNR standard has updated its requirements for calculating baselines to a period of 4 to 6 years, and now require more frequent monitoring (every 2 years rather than every 5). These updates meet the EUC requirements.
4.5	Represent permanent emissions reductions	No major updates have been made with respect to non-permanence, with one minor update around reporting potential loss events within a 6-month timeframe. This meets the EUCs. Regarding upcoming updates, we would recommend that Verra and any other standards refrain from submitting draft updates until such updates are final.